

Tables No.	LIST OF TABLES	Page No
4.1	Different narrow banded VIs for forest health assessment	44
4.2	Coefficients of determination (R^2) and Separability (S) values of forest health based on VIs Model	50
4.3	Accuracy assessment results (a) SAM based on Hyperion (b) SVM based on Hyperion, (c) VIs based on Hyperion, and (d) SVM based on Landsat OLI	56
4.4	Pearson method based correlation matrix amongst forest health classes, foliar dust concentration and mine (Kiriburu) distance.	57
5.1	Narrow bands vegetation indices used for forest pixels identification	66
5.2	Attributes of tree species at study area	67
5.3	Estimation of species diversity based on Narrow banded VIs	72
5.4	Wilk's lambda values for 21 optimal selected wavebands	75
5.5	JM distance values for Hyperion (a) & Landsat (b) images based on training sample values	75
5.6	Spectral similarity values between Hyperion image and ground reflectance spectra	76
5.7	Accuracy assessment results of (a) SVM on Hyperion (b) MD on Hyperion, (c) SAM on Hyperion, and (d) SVM on Landsat	78
5.8	Relationship between narrow banded VIs & Shannon Index based species diversity	79
5.9	Distance from Kiriburu and Meghataburu mines of tree species diversity with their foliar dust concentration	81
5.10	Spearman, Pearson and Kendall correlation matrix amongst species diversity, foliar dust concentration and mines distance to Meghahatuburu and Kiriburu.	82
5.11	Wilk's lambda selected wavebands and their significant for tree species	83
6.1	Summary of eight narrow-banded and broad-banded vegetation indices	94
6.2	Dust quality result of field dust samples (n=20)	100
6.3	Selection of Separability (S) values for healthy and defoliated areas	101
6.4	Percentage of pixel classified as healthy and dust contaminated areas	101
6.5	Relationship between VIs value and foliar dust amounts	103
6.6	Cross-image VI difference between Landsat TM and OLI sensors for the unchanged areas	104
6.7	Average distance from Kiriburu and Meghataburu mines for different dust classes	106
6.8	Accuracy of dust estimation based on VIs difference (n=20)	107
7.1	Final normalized weighted of forest health causative parameters developed from AHP-based model.	124
7.2	Zone-wise spatial coverage (%) of FHR results	125
7.3	Identification of very high forest health risk compartments in study area	125
7.4	Sensitivity analysis results of all forest health causative parameters	127
7.5	Person correlation matrix of sensitive parameters with FHR	128

