

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
2.1	Shrimp culture production statistics in India	10
3.1	Location map of the study area	32
3.2	General information of the study area	35
3.3	Shrimp culture production statistics in Purba Medinipur district	38
3.4	Percentage of the shrimp farm size distribution in the study area	39
4.1	(A) Single tile of the downloaded Google Earth image, (B) Google Earth Images of the study area in the of 2016	42
4.2	Ground Control Point (GCP) locations on the study area	44
4.3	Flow chart of the methods followed	57
4.4	Instruments used in this study	58
4.5	Data collection	59
4.6	Data collection	60
5.1	Flow chart for Land use and Land cover change detection and prediction	67
5.2	Methodology for change detection matrix	68
5.3	Land use and Land cover map of 2008, 2012 and 2016	72
5.4	Land use and Land cover map of Contai-I & Desopran block for year 2008, 2012 and 2016	73
5.5	Land use and Land cover map of Contai-III block for year 2008, 2012 and 2016	74
5.6	Land use and Land cover map of Ramnagar-I & Ramnagar-II block for year 2008, 2012 and 2016	75
5.7	Google Earth view of Land use and Land cover change in Hajrakola mouza of Desopran block	78
5.8	Land use and Land cover change map for the period of 2008 to 2012	81

5.9	Land use and Land cover change map for the period of 2012 to 2016	81
5.10	Map showing the increase in brackish water tanks/ponds in all five coastal blocks	83
5.11	Spatial variation in shrimp culture area obtained for different Gram Panchayats	84
5.12	Plot wise expansion of shrimp farms for three different years (2008, 2012, 2016)	87
5.13	LR plot wise Land use and Land cover change map for the period 2008 to 2016 of Purba Amtolia Mouza (JL No.447)	89
5.14	Comparison between Land use and Land cover projected of 2016 from the transition matrix between 2008 and 2012 and observed Land use and Land cover value of 2016.	90
5.15	Comparison between observed Land use and Land cover (2016) and predicted Land use and Land cover (2030)	92
6.1	Soil and water sampling locations in the study area	99
6.2	Bar graph representing temporal variation of block wise total waterbodies for the period of 2008 to 2016	104
6.3	Pie diagram representing temporal variation of block wise distribution of different classes of waterbodies	105
6.4	Soil pH and salinity (EC) of the nearby agricultural land with respect to the distance from shrimp pond for the year 2012 & 2016	106
6.5	The images show affects of shrimp culture in the nearby paddy field	108
6.6	Map representing change in Land use with respect to conversion of agricultural land to brackish water tanks/ponds for the period of 2008 to 2016 and also showing the affected agricultural lands because of increase in soil salinity	108
6.7	Affects of shrimp farming on vegetation cover	111
6.8	Affected areas showing the loss of vegetation cover as a result of shrimp farming	112
6.9	Spatial distributions of diesel engines in the shrimp farming villages on the basis of farming intensity and farming area	113
6.10	Affected parts of embankment as a result of shrimp farming	114

6.11	Shrimp farming in river beds and associated change and modification of embankment	115
6.12	Bargraph showing block wise variation in agricultural land for the study year 2008, 2012 and 2016	121
6.13	Affects of shrimp farming on paddy fields	122
6.14	Affected paddy field adjoining shrimp ponds due to increase of soil salinity	123
6.15	A) Shrimp farming affected agricultural land B) Releasing polluted water of shrimp pond directly to the nearby canal without any treatment of wastewater C) Use of Diesel Engine to run the paddle wheels of shrimp ponds D) Destroying embankments to set up the Diesel Engine E) Solid waste material of shrimp farming polluting the environment.	126
7.1	Methodology describing site suitability assessment of shrimp culture	135
7.2	AHP model for site suitability of shrimp culture	138
7.3	Thematic layer of available water	141
7.4	Thematic layer of soil pH	141
7.5	Thematic layer of agricultural land from available water	142
7.6	Thematic layer of distance to road	142
7.7	Thematic layer of distance to local market	143
7.8	Thematic layer of distance to processing unit	143
7.9	Thematic layer of distance to forest	144
7.10	Thematic layer of coastal regulation zone	144
7.11	Thematic layer of forest	145
7.12	Thematic layer of settlement	145
7.13	Areal distribution of each factor for potential site selection	147
7.14	Potential sites for shrimp culture in the study area	148
7.15	Bar graph showing block wise potential shrimp culture area	149