## List of Tables

Table No.	Name of the Tables	Page No.
Table 4.1	Cutoff values of systolic (SBP) and Diastolic (DBP) blood pressure for	27
	classifying the subjects (Chobanian et al., 2003)	
Table 4. 2	GOLD Spirometric Criteria for COPD Severity	38
Table 5.1	Frequency (f) percentage (%) of educational status of the carpenters (n=	46
	256)	
Table 5.2	Economic status of carpenters	46
Table 5.3	Socioeconomic status of carpenters according to the modified	47
1 4010 3.3	Kuppuswami Scale (Raj et al, 2015)	77
Table 5.4	The physical characteristics of the carpenters (n=256)	49
	Frequency (f) and percentage (%) of carpenters (n=256) showing	
Table 5.5	different levels of Chronic Energy Deficiency (CED) according to	50
	BMI	
Table 5.6	Mean ± SD of body composition Parameters of carpenters (n=256).	52
Table 5.7	Correlation coefficient (r) between BMI and other body composition	50
Table 5.7	parameters -body density, body fat%, total body fat and lean body mass	52
Table 5.8	Mean ±SD of blood pressure of the carpenters (n=256)	53
Table 5.9	Frequency (f) and percentage (%) of carpenters of different blood	53
1 able 3.9	pressure categories	
	Frequency (f) and percentage (%) of musculoskeletal disorders (MSD) in	
Table 5.10	the different body segments of the carpenters during performing different	55
	tasks	
	Frequency (f) and percentage (%) of musculoskeletal disorders (MSD)	56
Table 5.11	among three groups of the carpenters according to their work experience	
14016 3.11	(Ex. I: experience = $1 - 5$ years ; Ex. II: experience = $6 - 10$ years ; Ex.	
	III: experience = > 10 years )	
	The Body part discomfort (BPD) rating (Mean ±SD) in different body	
Table 5.12	segments of the carpenters during performing different carpentry tasks (in	62
	a 10 point scale).	
	The Mean ±SD of Body part discomfort (BPD) rating (in a 10 point scale)	63
Table 5.13	in different segments of the body of three groups of the carpenters	
Table 5.13	according to their work experience (Ex. I: experience = $1 - 5$ years; Ex. II:	0.5
	experience = $6 - 10$ years; Ex. III: experience = $> 10$ years)	
Table 5.14	Mean ± SD of work time and rest time (minute) of workers in different	67
	carpentry tasks	07

Table No.	Name of the Tables	Page No.
Table 5.15	Mean ± SD, percentage (%) of different categories of rest pauses (in	68
	minute) in different carpentry tasks	08
Table 5.16	Percentage (%) and Mean ±SD of work time for different work posture	71
1 aute 3.10	adopted by carpenters	/1
Table 5.17	Action and risk levels of postural analysis of all carpentry tasks by	76
14010 5.17	different methods	70
Table 5.18	Percentage of carpenters belonging to different action categories (AC)	77
14010 0110	in different tasks according to three methods	, ,
Table 5.19	Postural analysis by QEC method: scores and risk levels in different	77
14010 5.17	carpentry tasks	, ,
Table 5.20	Centre of gravity (expressed as % of the length of the body) of the	80
14010 0.20	carpenters in different carpentry tasks	
	Mean and standard deviation EMG RMS values (µV) of different arm	
Table 5.21.A	muscles of carpenters in normal standing and three different working	82
	conditions (n=30)	
	Mean and standard deviation EMG RMS values ( $\mu V$ ) of shoulder and	
Table 5.21.B	back muscles of carpenters in normal standing and three different	83
	working conditions (n=30)	
Table 5.22	Categorization of work level on the basis of mean working heart rate	86
TAUIC J.ZZ	(Sari et al., 2016)	
Table 5.23	Resting and working heart rates in three different carpentry tasks	87
Table 5.24	Classification of cardiovascular stress index (CSI) by Brant, (2009)	88
Table 5.25	Mean ± SD of Cardiovascular Stress Index (CSI) of carpenters during	88
14010 3.23	different carpentry tasks	00
Table 5.26	Mean $\pm$ SD of CSI and % of work and rest periods of total work shift	89
14010 0.20	in different carpentry tasks.	0.7
Table 5.27	Comparison of CSI between workers of present study and workers of	89
14010 5.27	other study	09
Table 5.28	Mean ± SD and range of pulmonary function parameters of carpenters	91
Table 5.29	Pulmonary function parameters of three groups of the carpenters	
	according to their work experience (Ex. I: experience = $1 - 5$ years; Ex.	91
	II: experience =6 - 10 years; Ex. III: experience = > 10 years)	
Table 5.30	Comparison of pulmonary functions (Mean $\pm$ SD) between smoker and	92
1 4010 3.30	non-smoker carpenters (n=256)	12

Table No.	Name of the Tables	Page No.
Table 5.31	Comparison of pulmonary functional variables (Mean $\pm$ SD) of carpenters with other workers	93
Table 5.32	Severity of COPD in workers according to their work experience (n=60) (Ex. I: experience =1 - 5 years; Ex. II: experience =6 - 10 years; Ex. III: experience => 10 years)	93
Table 5.33	Physical characteristics of collected conventional chisels	96
Table 5.34	Percentage of carpenters (chisel users) reported musculoskeletal problems in different parts of the body during using existing chisel	97
Table 5.35:	Types and occurrence of overall pain / discomfort of the chisel users (n=33)  [Frequency and percentage (%)]	99
Table 5.36	User gratification regarding physical dimensions of handle of the existing chisel (n=33)	101
Table 5.37	User satisfaction regarding shape and griping of handle of the existing chisel (n=33)	101
Table 5.38	User preference (%) for the range of dimensions, shape and grip of the existing chisel (n=33)	102
Table 5.39	Anthropometric measure and percentile values of different hand dimensions of the chisel users (n=70)	104
Table 5.40	Physical dimensions and other criteria of four prototype models of chisel	106
Table 5.41	Score assigned by the subjects (n=10) for handle length during performing paired comparison test	108
Table 5.42	Resultant score of paired comparison test for handle length computed from Table 5.41	108
Table 5.43	Resultant score of paired comparison test for handle diameter of chisel Parameter: Handle diameter of chisel.	109
Table 5.44	Resultant score of paired comparison test for shape of the chisel handle  Parameter: shape of the chisel handle	111
Table 5.45	Resultant score of paired comparison test for Grip of the chisel handle  Parameter: Grip of the chisel handle	113
Table 5.46	Resultant score of paired comparison test for grip pad of the chisel handle	114
Table 5.47	Selection of recommended dimensions of handle of tong on the basis of body dimensions and the results of pair comparison test.	117

Table No.	Name of the Tables	Page No.
Table 5.48	Subjective assessment for modified chisel (figure in the parentheses	119
	indicated percentage)	
Table 5.49	Percentage of incidence of discomfort/ pain in different body parts of	120
	chisel users (n=25) diring handling existing and modified chisel	
Table 5.50	Resting and working heart rates and CSI of chisel users (n=25) during	121
14616 3.30	operation of existing and modified chisel	121
Table 5.51	Cost analysis for modified chisel	123
Table 5.52	Physical dimension of workstation used by carpenters during planning	125
14010 5.52	task	123
Table 5.53	Frequency and Percentage of carpenters reported musculoskeletal	127
1 4010 3.33	problems during performing task in the existing workstation	127
Table 5.54	Types and occurrence of pain / discomfort during performing task in the	127
1 4010 3.34	existing workstation (n=24) [Frequency and percentage (%)]	127
Table5.55	User satisfaction (Percentage of subjects) on physical dimensions of	128
1 40103.33	existing workstation (n=24)	128
Table 5.56	Anthropometric measures and Percentile values of the workstation users	129
1 4010 3.30	(n=70) in carpentry task	149
Table 5.57	Height of existing and modified workstations:	130
Table 5.58	Comparison between Physical dimensions of workstation and	130
14010 5.50	anthropometric measures	
Table 5.59	Body part discomfort (BPD) rating (Mean ±SD) of carpenters during	132
14010 3.37	working in existing and modified models of workstation(n=24)	132
Table 5.60	Different body joint angles (Mean ±SD) of carpenters working in existing	134
14010 3.00	and modified workstation with different heights (n=24)	
Table 5.61	Deviation of different body joint angles of carpenters working in	135
14010 5.01	workstation with different height from normal erect posture(n=24)	
	Mean and SD of EMG-RMS values ( $\mu V$ ) of shoulder muscle of carpenters	
Table 5.62	(plane users) working in existing and threemodified workstations of	136
	different heights(n=10)	
Table 5.63	Mean and SD of EMG-RMS values (µV) of back muscle of carpenters	
	(plane users) working in existing and three modified workstations with	137
	different heights(n=10)	