

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Barind tract, a large fan	3
Figure 1.2 The Himalayan range and its foredeep. 1. Rivers, 2. Central Himalayan Thrust, 3. Main Boundary Thrust, 4. The Siwaliks, 5. Margin of Deccan and Meghalaya Plateaus, 6. Chel River Basin falls within this red rectangle.	4
Fig.1.3 Conceptual workflow diagram	8
Figure 1.4 (A) India with the state of West Bengal, (B) West Bengal with location of Chel river Basin and (C) Chel River Basin (Study Area).	12
Figure 2.1 Surface elevation of the Chel River Basin	29
Figure 2.2 Gradient of land surface in Chel Basin	30
Figure 2.3 Geomorphology of Chel River Basin	31
Figure 2.4 Climograph of Rangamutee Tea Garden, 2015 on the piedmont of Chel River representing typical Tropical monsoonal (Am) type of climate according to Koppen's Climate classification system experienced in the basin	32
Figure 2.5 Drainage network of the Chel River Basin	34
Figure 2.6 Geology and high erosional and accumulation levels, based on Heim and Gansser (1939), Nakata (1972) and Froehlich and Starkel (1993).1. Darjeeling Gneiss; 2. Daling Phyllites and Slates; 3.Damuda Series; 4. Siwalik Series; 5. Main Boundary Fault; 6. Himalaya Front Tectonic Line; 7. Ambootia Surface; 8. Gorubatahan Surface; 9. Rangamati Surface. Chel basin demarcated by red rectangle	35
Figure 2.7 Geological section of Darjeeling Himalaya in North- South direction, after Heim and Gansser (1939). A,Holocene alluvium; P, Pleistocene Boulders; S, Siwaliks; C, Damuda Series; D, Daling phyllites and slates; M, Mica schists; G, Darjeeling gneisses; MBF and HFTL, two main fault lines	35
Figure 2.8 Exposed Ramgarh thrust (RT) in Gorubathan town carrying Daling phyllites over Gondwana sandstones at the base of the T4 deformed terrace (Yellow shaded area is exposed fault surface).	37

Figure 2.9 Map showing Sinuosity in the Himalayan arc expressed as convex-to-the-foreland salients and convex-to-the-hinterland recesses. Location of Chel River basin in Gorubathan Recess marked with red square	38
Figure 2.10 Geology of the frontal Darjiling Himalaya. Eleven imbricate thrusts in the footwall of the MBT were observed in the Dharan salient (Kundu, 2013).	39
Figure 2.11 Distribution of soil types in the Chel River Basin	40
Figure 2.12 Land Use Land Cover map of Chel River Basin	42
Figure 2.13 Transport network in the Chel River Basin	44
Figure 3.1 Perspective view of Chel River Basin (Study Area)	53
Figure 3.2 DEM (A) and Contour map (B) of Chel Basin	65
Figure 3.3 Longitudinal profile	66
Figure 3.4 Hypsometric Curve of Chel Basin	68
Figure 3.5 (A) Slope map, (B) Aspect map (C) Relief map and (D) Stream Order- Vector map of Chel River Basin (Study Area)	69
Figure 4.1.1 Positions of cross profiles drawn along the study reach. Red labeled cross profiles were measured during 2014, 2015 and 2017. Inset image showing regional setting of study reach.	79
Figure 4.1.2 Cross sections at 2km interval along the study reach showing bed configuration during 2017.	84
Figure 4.1.3 Superimposition of cross profile measured across three positions representative of three segments of the study reach showing variability in channel configuration.	86
Figure 4.1.4 Relation between channel shape, velocity and turbulence	87
Figure 4.1.5 Hydraulic radius (m) variability at at surveyed cross-sections during pre-monsoon and post-monsoon, 2017	93
Figure 4.1.6 Width-Depth variability at at surveyed cross-sections during pre-monsoon and post-monsoon, 2017	93

Figure 4.1.7 Cross Sectional Area (m <sup>2</sup> ) variability at surveyed cross-sections during pre-monsoon and post-monsoon, 2017	94
Figure 4.1.8 Wetted Perimeter (m) variability at at surveyed cross-sections during pre-monsoon and post-monsoon, 2017	94
Figure 4.1.9 Surface water velocity (m/sec) variability in relation to slope at surveyed cross-sections during pre-monsoon, 2017	95
Figure 4.1.10 Surface water velocity (m/sec) variability in relation to slope at surveyed cross-sections during post-monsoon,2017	95
Figure 4.1.11 Different axis of a pebble for determining its size	104
Fig. 4.1.12- Profile-wise distribution of coarse sediments (>2mm) along the study reaches of Chel River (Pre- monsoon and Post-monsoon of 2017)	107
Fig.4.1.13- Mean sediment size distribution along the cross profiles at 2kms interval along the study reach in the year 2017	109
Figure.4.1.14 Generation of Sub-Basins and outlet poins in SWAT 2012	111
Figure 4.1.15 SWAT 2012 reclassified slope map, Soil map and Landuse map	112
Figure 4.1.16 Correlation between sub-basin wise Sediment out and water outflow	114
Figure 4.1.17 Sub-Basin wise annual water out and Sediment out	115
Figure 4.2.1 Pan Sharpened Landsat 8 OLI/ TIRS image (1 December 2017, Path and Row- 139/41) showing the study section of river Chel	122
Figure 4.2.2 Temporal Landsat images used in the study showing morphological Changes over time	124
Figure 4.2.3 Channel polygons of 1955, 1970 and 1976 illustrating the episode of channel straightening through neck cut-off in Reach-C of the Chel River	128
Figure 4.2.4 Reach-wise morphological changes over time	129
Figure 4.2.5 Channel configuration maps of Chel River, showing epochal change in channel location. Channel at the start of the epoch is shown by hollow polygon, at the end with solid fill	130

Figure 4.2.6 Location of transects at 1kms interval. Polygon encompasses all mid channel bars	132
Figure 4.2.7 Transect wise mean centerline migrated distance of Chel River (1955-2017)	135
Figure 4.2.8 Channel centerline migration over time	138
Figure 4.2.9 Temporal trends in total centerline migration distance for the Chel River (1955-2017)	139
Figure 4.2.10 Prediction for centerline in 2025 and 2035 using linear regression method	144
Figure 4.2.11 Predicted centerline migration of River Chel	144
Figure 4.2.12 Hazard assessment at zone-1	145
Figure 4.2.13 Hazard assessment at zone-2	146
Figure 4.2.14 Right bank migration during the period 1955-2017	150
Figure 4.2.15 Left bank migration during the period 1955 to 2017	155
Figure 4.2.16 Bankline migration during the period 1955-2017	156
Figure 4.2.17 Variation in channel length and area from 1955 to 2017 in the Chel River	159
Figure 4.2.18 Transect-wise channel width variation in the Chel River from 1955 to 2017	161
Figure 4.2.19 Mean channel width variation from 1955-2017 in the Chel River	162
Figure 4.2.20 a-c Channel width reduction at reaches near Manabari (a), Chelkari (b) and Baragharia (c) of three Segments A, B and C	163
Figure 4.2.21 Diagrams representing the methods employed in the study for calculation of (a) Sinosity Index for single-channel and multi-channel rivers, Friend and Sinha,1993; (b) Measurement of Braiding Index (Brice,1964); (c) Braid-Channel Ratio by Friend and Sinha,1993.	165
Figure 4.2.22 Determination of channel pattern based on Sinosity (P) and Braid-channel Ratio (B), Friend and Sinha, 1993	166

Figure 4.2.23 Temporal variability of Sinoucity( B) and Braid-channel Ratio (P) of River Chel	167
Figure 4.2.24 - Reach- wise temporal variability of Sinoucity (B) and Braid-channel Ratio (P) of River Chel.	167
Figure 4.2.25 Variation in Sinoucity Index and Braiding Index values from 1976 to 2017 in the Chel River	169
Figure 4.3.1 (A) Base map showing the study area in the Sub-Himalayan West Bengal. (B) Landsat 8 OLI / TIRS image of 1 <sup>st</sup> December, 2017. Red dotted circles represent two confluence points, the Chel-Kumlai confluence and Chel-Neora confluence, under investigation.	172
Figure 4.3.2 (A) Measurement of junction angle (adapted from Roy and Sinha,2007). (B) Relation between junction angle and zone of separation; low junction angle favours mouth bar formation immediately downstream of the confluence point (adapted from Roy and Sinha,2007) (C) Plot showing variation in junction angle for the Chel-Kumlai and Chel-Neora confluences for the period 1955-2017	175
Figure 4.3.3 Channel confluence junction angle dynamics of (A) Chel-Kumlai and (B) Chel-Neora rivers during 1955-2017	176
Figure 4.3.4 Temporal Channel configuration maps showing location of confluence points of Chel-Kumlai and Chel-Neora. Note: Chel-Kumlai confluence points are represented by A1-A7 and Chel-Neora confluence points are represented by B1-B7	178
Figure 4.3.5 Enlarged representations of channel confluence point dynamics between the Chel-Kumlai Rivers during the period 1955-2017 and probable reasons	184
Figure 4.3.6 Enlarged representations of channel confluence point dynamics between the Chel-Neora Rivers during the period 1955-2017 and probable reasons	185
Figure 4.3.7 Google Earth Image (12.05.2017) showing confluence of Chel and Kumlai Rivers near Rajadanga	189
Figure 4.3.8 Prediction for channel junction angle variation of the Chel river in 2025 and 2035 using linear regression method.	192

Figure 4.4.1 Enlarged area demonstrates an example for the identification of erosion and accretion areas between transects 27 and 35. (A) Erosion and accretion areas along the right bank of river Chel during 1970-1976. (B) Erosion and accretion areas along the left bank of river Chel during 1970-1976.	196
Fig. 4.4.2 Spatial patterns of erosion and accretion areas along the Chel River	203
Fig.4.4.3 Field photographs showing bank erosion along the entire study reach of Chel River	204
Figure 4.5.1 Location of spot heights, used for accuracy measurement of SRTM DEM	210
Figure 4.5.2 Correlation plots of spot heights derived from SOI toposheets and corresponding points on SRTM DEM.	211
Figure 4.5.3 a. Block diagram shows the effect of an asymmetry factor with a left side tilt on tributaries lengths (From Keller and Pinter 2002, figure 4.3, p. 125). b. Tectonic tilting of Chel Basin, 40.31% area of basin is to the right side of trunk stream.	213
Figure 4.5.4 Basin shape index (Bs) calculation of Chel basin following Ramiez-Herrera, 1998.	214
Figure 4.5.5 Hypsometric Curve of Chel Basin	216
Figure 4.5.6 Schematic diagram for calculation of Stream length Gradient after Hack J.T., 1973.	217
Figure 4.5.7 SL gradient Index of Chel River with lithological boundaries	218
Figure 4.5.8 a- An idealized diagram illustrating how Vf is calculated. Right and left is determined by looking downstream. (Modified from Keller and Pinter 2002, figure 4.16, p. 139); b- Transverse valley profile of the Chel River sculpted by unpaired terraces connected by blue lines.	220
Figure 4.5.9 Google Earth image showing highly incised valley floor with multiple terrace development on the left side of flow in the upper course near Ambiok T.E.	221
Figure 4.5.10- A. Calculating Mountain front sinuosity (Smf) index (Modified from Keller and Pinter 2002, figure 4.14, p.137); B. Showing total length of	

mountain front (Lmf) and straight-line length (Ls) of the mountain front (after Bull and McFadden, 1977)	223
Figure 4.5.11 Mapping of mountain front, Lmf and Ls of Chel basin	224
Figure 4.5.12 Longitudinal profile of the Chel river with Knick points	226
Figure 4.5.13 Map showing cumulative effect of lithology, transverse topographic symmetric factor (T), SL gradient index and major structural features present in the Chel river basin. YPt2l-Lingtse Granite Gneiss (Proterozoic-II), Q2b= Baikunthapur Foration (Holocene), Q1c= Middle to upper Chalsa formation (Pleistocene), Ptr = Reyang formation (Proterozoic), Ptd2= Chungthang Formation (Proterozoic), Ptb= Buxa Formation (Proterozoic)	227
Figure 4.6.1 Google Earth Images showing sediment processing units. (A) at Toribari and (B) at Odlabari.	235
Figure 4.6.2 Cross profiles showing Bed elevation change and movement of thalweg during 2014 and 2017at 400m upstream of Rail Bridge (A) and 400m downstream of the Road Bridge, Odlabari (B)	237
Figure 4.6.3 Huge mechanized mining pits near to Odlabari Processing unit	238
Figure 4.6.4 Bottleneck condition of the River Chel (marked with yellow lines) due to Rail and Road Bridge at Odlabari	244
Figure 4.6.5 Surface elevation change from Putharjhora till Odlabari bridges in the upstream section in 2000 (a) and in 2011 (b)	245
Figure 4.6.6 Surface elevation change from the Odlabari bridges till Purba Damdim in 2000 and in 2011 (d).	246
Figure 4.6.7 a-f Channel migration and planform change from 1913-2014 showing role of bridge in changing downstream morphology. (a-1913, b-1936, c-1942, d-1990, e-2006, f-2010, g-2014).	248
Figure 4.6.8 Channel widening due to cumulative effects of bottleneck condition and vegetative mid-channel bars in the middle reach of River Chel.	250
Figure 4.6.9 Extension of Embankments between 1970 and 2017. Source- SOI 262 Topographical Map (1970) and Landsat 8 OLI/TIRS (2017).	254
Figure 4.6.10 Graphical representation of LULC change (%) during 2005-2015. (Adopted from Biswas and Banerjee, 2018)	255