

# List of Figures

1.3.1 Structure of some polymer . . . . .	26
1.3.2 Chemical Structure of <i>ZnTTBPC</i> . . . . .	28
1.4.1 Structural representation of Graphene Oxide ( <i>GO</i> ) . . . . .	33
1.4.2 Structural representation of Reduced Graphene Oxide ( <i>RGO</i> ) . . . . .	35
1.5.1 Two- dimensional transition metal dichalcogenide ( <i>TMDC's</i> ) . . . . .	37
1.5.2 Band Gap of different <i>TMDC'S</i> . . . . .	39
1.6.1 Mechanism of photocatalytic degradation . . . . .	40
1.6.2 Cartoon of Photocurrent Generation . . . . .	50
2.1.1 Schematic diagram of Applications of RGO-ZnS . . . . .	60
2.2.1 Preoxidized graphite and GO synthesis . . . . .	62
2.2.2 Synthesis of RGO . . . . .	64
2.4.1 (A) The <i>XRD</i> patterns of <i>GO</i> , <i>ZnS</i> nanorod and <i>RGO-ZnS</i> composite. (B) <i>TEM</i> images of <i>RGO-ZnS</i> composite. (C) <i>XPS</i> survey scan of <i>RGO-ZnS</i> composite. (D) High resolution <i>XPS</i> spectra with <i>C 1s</i> peak deconvolution of <i>RGO-ZnS</i> composite. . . . .	67
2.4.2 <i>HRTEM</i> images of <i>ZnS</i> nanorod attached on <i>RGO</i> . . . . .	68

2.4.3 High resolution <i>XPS</i> spectra of (A) <i>Zn</i> . (B) <i>S</i> . (C) <i>O</i> . . . . .	69
2.4.4 (A) <i>FTIR</i> transmission spectra of <i>GO</i> , <i>ZnS</i> and <i>RGO-ZnS</i> composite (B) <i>UV-vis</i> absorption spectra of <i>ZnS</i> and <i>RGO-</i> <i>ZnS</i> composite. . . . .	71
2.4.5 Band gap energy of <i>ZnS</i> material . . . . .	72
2.4.6 Photoluminescence spectra of controlled- <i>ZnS</i> and <i>RGO-ZnS</i> composite . . . . .	73
2.4.7 (A) The cartoon of our photodetector device along with the electrical transport measurement setup (B) <i>I - V</i> character- istics for <i>RGO - ZnS</i> nanocomposite thin film device under dark and different illumination intensity. (C) The variation of photo sensitivity with illumination intensity of our thin film device. The mechanism of photocurrent generation is shown in the inset. (D) The growth and decay of current with fit- ting of Eqn. 2.4.2 and 2.4.3 respectively, when the illuminated light was turned <i>ON</i> and <i>OFF</i> for four cycles. . . . .	75
2.4.8 <i>UV - vis</i> absorption spectrum of aqueous solution of (A) 4 - <i>NP</i> and 4 - <i>NP</i> with <i>NaBH<sub>4</sub></i> . (B) 4 - <i>NP</i> and <i>NaBH<sub>4</sub></i> without catalyst under dark. (C) Photocatalytic reduction of 4- <i>NP</i> under solar light irradiation with <i>ZnS</i> and <i>RGO-ZnS</i> composites. . . . .	77

2.4.9 (A) Schematic representation of different reaction conditions for possible reduction of 4-NP. UV-vis absorption spectra of 4-NP in alkali medium with (B) controlled ZnS (C) RGO-ZnS composite for different time of simulated solar light irradiation. . . . .	78
2.4.10(A) The comparison of the photo reduction efficiency as a function of time under illumination over ZnS and RGO-ZnS. (B) Plot of $\ln(C_0/C)$ as a function of irradiation time for the photocatalysis of 4-NP solution containing ZnS and RGO-ZnS composite. . . . .	80
2.4.11 Schematic representation of reduction mechanism of 4-NP. . . . .	81
2.4.12 Variation of (A) reduction efficiency and (B) $k$ with varying loading ratio of RGO in the RGO-ZnS composite. . . . .	82
2.4.13(A) Photoreduction efficiency of RGO-ZnS composite for different cycle (B) The XRD pattern of RGO-ZnS composite after five cycles of reduction of 4-NP. . . . .	83
3.2.1 Synthesis of CdS nano rod and RGO-CdS nano composite . . . . .	90
3.4.1 (A) XRD patterns of Graphite, GO, RGO, CdS, and RGO-CdS composite. TEM images of (B) CdS and (C) RGO-CdS composite (D) HRTEM image of RGO-CdS composite. . . . .	92
3.4.2 (A) Raman spectra of GO and RGO-CdS. (B) Optical absorption spectra of CdS and RGO-CdS. (C) Plot of $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ vs $h\nu$ for CdS. (D) Photoluminescence spectra of controlled-CdS and RGO-CdS nano composite . . . . .	94

3.5.1 Steps of photocatalytic procedure . . . . .	95
3.5.2 Adsorption capacity of (A) Controlled- <i>CdS</i> and (B) <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdS</i> nanocomposite . . . . .	96
3.5.3 <i>UV – vis</i> absorption spectra of aqueous <i>TC</i> solution with (A) <i>CdS</i> and (B) <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdS</i> composite for different illumination time. (C) Comparison of photo degradation efficiency and (D) plot of $\ln(C_0/C)$ as a function of illumination time for controlled- <i>CdS</i> and <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdS</i> composite. . . . .	97
3.5.4 Recycle use of <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdS</i> as photocatalyst . . . . .	98
3.5.5 (A)The cartoon of our photodetector device along with the electrical transport measurement setup. (B) <i>I – V</i> charac- teristics for controlled- <i>CdS</i> thin film device under dark and different illumination intensity. (C) <i>I – V</i> characteristics for <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdS</i> nanocomposite thin film device under dark and different illumination intensity. (D) The variation of photo sensitivity with illumination intensity of our thin film device. . . . .	99
4.2.1 GO Synthesis diagram . . . . .	106
4.2.2 Synthesis of <i>CdZnS</i> nano rod and <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdZnS</i> nano com- posite . . . . .	107
4.5.1 (A) The <i>XRD</i> patterns of Graphite, <i>GO</i> , controlled <i>CdZnS</i> , <i>RGO</i> and <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdZnS</i> composite. (B) <i>TEM</i> image of <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdZnS</i> composite. The <i>HRTEM</i> image of <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdZnS</i> is shown in the inset of (B). . . . .	110

4.5.2	Micro-Raman spectrum of (A) <i>CdZnS</i> (B) <i>GO</i> and <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdZnS</i> composite. . . . .	111
4.5.3	(A) Optical absorption spectra of controlled <i>CdZnS</i> and <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdZnS</i> composite. Plot of $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ vs photon energy ( $h\nu$ ) for controlled- <i>CdZnS</i> is shown in the inset of (A). (B) Photoluminescence spectra of controlled- <i>CdZnS</i> and <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdZnS</i> composite. . . . .	113
4.6.1	(A) The cartoon of our photo photodetector device along with the electrical transport measurement setup (B) $I - V$ characteristics for <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdZnS</i> nanocomposite thin film device under dark condition and under different level of illumination intensity. $I - V$ characteristics for controlled- <i>CdZnS</i> thin film device under dark and illumination condition is shown in the inset. (C) The variation of $P$ with illuminated intensity of <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdZnS</i> thin film device. Photocurrent generation mechanism in <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdZnS</i> device is shown in inset C. (D) Current versus time for several cycles as the light was turned on and off. . . . .	115
4.6.2	(A) Growth. (B) Decay of current in our <i>RGO</i> – <i>CdZnS</i> thin film along with fittings of Eqn. 4.6.1 and 4.6.2 respectively. . .	116

4.7.1 <i>UV-Vis</i> absorption spectra of 4-nitrophenol ion (A) controlled- <i>CdZnS</i> (B) <i>RGO - CdZnS</i> composite for different time of simulated solar light. The comparison of (C) the photo degra- dation efficiency and (D) $\ln(C_0/C)$ as a function of illumina- tion time for the photocatalysis of 4- <i>NP</i> solution containing <i>CdZnS</i> and <i>RGO - CdZnS</i> composite. . . . .	119
5.1.1 Photo Catalytic degradation mechanism of <i>PNP</i> by <i>MoS<sub>2</sub> - ZnTTBPc</i> . . . . .	124
5.2.1 Synthesis of Bulk <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> . . . . .	126
5.2.2 Synthesis of mono or few layer <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> . . . . .	127
5.2.3 Synthesis of <i>MoS<sub>2</sub> - ZnTTBPc</i> nano composite (Different weight ratio) . . . . .	128
5.5.1 (A) <i>XRD</i> patterns of <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> , <i>ZnTTBPc</i> , and <i>MoS<sub>2</sub>-ZnTTBPc</i> (3 : 1) composite. (B) <i>HRTEM</i> image of <i>MoS<sub>2</sub> - ZnTTBPc</i> (3 : 1) composite. . . . .	132
5.5.2 (A) Optical absorption spectra of controlled- <i>ZnTTBPc</i> and <i>MoS<sub>2</sub> - ZnTTBPc</i> (3 : 1) composite. The optical absorp- tion of controlled- <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> is shown in the inset of (A). (B) Pho- toluminescence spectra of controlled- <i>ZnTTBPc</i> , controlled- <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> , and <i>MoS<sub>2</sub>-ZnTTBPc</i> (3 : 1) composite. (C) Lifetime transients of controlled- <i>ZnTTBPc</i> , and <i>MoS<sub>2</sub> - ZnTTBPc</i> (3 : 1) composite. . . . .	133

5.5.3	Optical absorption spectra of $MoS_2 - ZnTTBPC$ composite with varying ratio of $MoS_2$ and $ZnTTBPC$ (A) 1 : 1, (B) 2 : 1, (C) 3 : 1, (D) 4 : 1, (E) 5 : 1. (F) A comparison of change in absorption after 300 min of sonication for the varying ratio of $MoS_2$ in the $MoS_2 - ZnTTBPC$ composite. . . . .	134
5.5.4	Photoluminescence spectra of controlled- $ZnTTBPC$ , and $MoS_2 - ZnTTBPC$ composite with varying ratio of $MoS_2$ and $ZnTTBPC$ (A) 1 : 1, (B) 2 : 1, (C) 3 : 1, (D) 4 : 1, (E) 5 : 1. (F) Variation of quenching efficiency of the $MoS_2 - ZnTTBPC$ composite for different $MoS_2$ content in the composite after 300 min of sonication. . . . .	137
5.5.5	(A) <i>FTIR</i> spectra of controlled- $ZnTTBPC$ , controlled- $MoS_2$ , and $MoS_2 - ZnTTBPC$ (3 : 1) composite. The magnified view of <i>FTIR</i> of controlled- $MoS_2$ (range : 500 – 400 $cm^{-1}$ ) is shown in the inset of (A). (B) Raman spectra of bulk $MoS_2$ , few layers of $MoS_2$ (controlled- $MoS_2$ ), and $MoS_2 - ZnTTBPC$ (3 : 1) composite. The vibration of $E_{2g}^1$ and $A_g^1$ is shown in the inset of (B). . . . .	139
5.5.6	(A) <i>AFM</i> image of $MoS_2 - ZnTTBPC$ (3 : 1) composite films on a silicon wafer. (B) <i>TEM</i> image of $MoS_2 - ZnTTBPC$ (3 : 1) composite. . . . .	140
5.6.1	<i>UV – vis</i> absorption spectra of 4 – <i>NP</i> and $NaBH_4$ solution (A) without catalyst under dark and simulated solar light illumination for 1 h (B) with and without $MoS_2 - ZnTTBPC$ (3 : 1) composite under dark. . . . .	142

5.6.2 <i>UV-vis</i> absorption spectra of 4 – <i>NP</i> and <i>NaBH<sub>4</sub></i> solution with (A) <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> – <i>ZnTTBPc</i> (3 : 1) composite, (B) controlled- <i>ZnTTBPc</i> , and (C) controlled- <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> for different time of simulated solar light illumination. . . . .	143
5.6.3 (A) Comparison of photodegradation efficiency as a function of time under simulated solar-light illumination for controlled- <i>ZnTTBPc</i> , controlled- <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> , and <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> – <i>ZnTTBPc</i> (3 : 1) composite. (B) Plot of $\ln(C_0/C)$ as a function of simulated solar-light irradiation time for the photocatalysis of 4 – <i>NP</i> containing controlled- <i>ZnTTBPc</i> , controlled- <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> , and <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> – <i>ZnTTBPc</i> (3 : 1) composite. . . . .	144
5.6.4 Comparison of the photo degradation efficiency with varying ratio of <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> and <i>ZnTTBPc</i> in the <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> – <i>ZnTTBPc</i> composite. . . . .	145
5.6.5 Mechanism of photocatalytic reduction of 4 – <i>NP</i> using <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> – <i>ZnTTBPc</i> (3 : 1) under solar light illumination. . . . .	147
5.6.6 (A) Photodegradation efficiency of <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> – <i>ZnTTBPc</i> (3 : 1) composite for different cycle. (B) The <i>XRD</i> pattern of <i>MoS<sub>2</sub></i> – <i>ZnTTBPc</i> (3 : 1) composite after five cycles of reduction of 4 – <i>NP</i> . . . . .	148