

# *List of Figures*

<i>Figure</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
<b>Chapter I</b>		
1.1	The Scheme of Reprecipitation method	2
1.2	The Scheme of Physical vapor deposition method	3
1.3	The Scheme of Microemulsion method	3
1.4	The Scheme of Ultra-Sonication method	4
1.5	The Scheme of Microwave method	5
1.6	The Scheme of Soft Template Method	5
1.7	The Scheme of Hard Template Method	6
1.8	Schematic representation of ACQ and AIE effect respectively.	7
1.9	Fluorescence photographs of solutions or suspensions of (left) perylene (20 $\mu$ M) and (right) hexaphenylsilole (HPS; 20 $\mu$ M) in THF/water mixtures with different fractions of water (fw), showing typical ACQ and AIEE effects, respectively.	7
1.10	Propeller-shaped tetraphenylethene (TPE) is non-luminescent in a dilute solution but becomes emissive in aggregated state, due to the restriction of intramolecular rotation (RIR).	9
1.11	Shell like THBA is non-luminescent in a dilute solution but becomes emissive in aggregated state, due to the restriction of intramolecular vibration (RIV).	10
1.12	Jablonski diagram illustrating coupled transitions between donor emission and acceptor absorbance in fluorescence resonance energy transfer.	13
1.13	Representative examples of fluorescent chemosensors for Zn <sup>2+</sup> on the basis of AIEgen. (A) Possible stoichiometry of sensing Zn <sup>2+</sup> ions. (B) Structure of zinc-bound AIEgen, and photographs of the aqueous solutions of after and before Zn <sup>2+</sup> taken under UV light illumination at 365 nm.	14
<b>Chapter III</b>		
3.1	SEM images of <b>P<sup>I</sup></b> microstructures, (i) sample-e, (ii) sample-f, (iii) sample-g, (iv) sample-h, (v) sample-i, (vi) sample-j.	42
3.2	Optical fluorescence microscopy images under UV excitation of (i) sample-e, (ii) sample-f, (iii) sample-g, (iv) sample-h, (v) sample-i, (vi) sample-j.	43
3.3	UV-visible absorption spectra of (i) <b>P<sup>I</sup></b> in THF (ii) sample-d, (iii) sample-e, (iv) sample-f, (v) sample-g, (vi) sample-h.	44
3.4	Fluorescence emission spectra of (i) <b>P<sup>I</sup></b> in THF, (ii) sample-a, (iii) sample-b, (iv) sample-c, (v) sample-d, (vi) sample-e. All	45

	emission spectra were taken with 336 nm excitation.	
3.5	The fluorescence decay profiles of (i) $P^1$ in THF, (ii) sample-c; excitation wavelength: 336 nm, emission wavelength: 410 nm	48
3.6	(i) Phosphorescence spectra of $P^1$ hydrosol (sample-c), (ii) phosphorescence decay curve of $P^1$ hydrosol (sample-c) at room temperature; excitation: 336 nm and emission: 493 nm.	48
<b>Chapter IV</b>		
4.1	(a) UV-visible absorption spectra and (b) Fluorescence Spectra of $P^2$ in different solvent; cyclohexane (black line), THF (red line), DMF (green line). Inset: picture taken during UV light illumination to $P^2$ solutions in various solvents. $\lambda_{ex} = 365$ nm.	56
4.2	Fluorescence life time of $P^2$ in different solvent. Excitation: 455 nm, Emission: 522 nm (cyclohexane), 530 nm (THF), 537 nm (DMF).	56
4.3	(a) UV-Vis absorption spectra of $P^2$ in various proportion of THF-DMF mixture. (b) Fluorescence emission spectra of $P^2$ in various proportion of THF-DMF mixture. (c) Plot of the Stokes shift of $P^2$ vs. the orientation polarizability ( $\Delta f$ ) of solvent (THF-DMF) mixtures.	57
4.4	(a) Concentration dependent luminescence of $P^2$ in THF. The concentrations from left to right are: $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ , $8 \times 10^{-4}$ , $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ and $8 \times 10^{-3}$ M. Inset: Pictures taken during illumination of UV light (365 nm) to different concentration of $P^2$ (b) Fluorescence life time of $P^2$ having different concentration in THF. Excitation: 455 nm, Emission: 530, 578, 630 nm.	58
4.5	UV-Vis absorbance spectra of $P^2$ ( $3.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M in THF) in presence of PA in THF.	59
4.6	(a) Fluorescence emission spectra of $P^2$ ( $1.36 \mu\text{M}$ in THF) in presence of increasing concentration (0-300 $\mu\text{M}$ ) of picric acid (PA), $\lambda_{ex} = 455$ nm. (b) Plot of $I_0/I$ Vs. $[\text{PA}]$ for $P^2$ in THF (Insert show Stern-Volmer curve of corresponding fluorescence quenching in presence of different amount of PA) (c) Fluorescence decay profiles of $P^2$ in THF and $P^2$ in presence 62 $\mu\text{M}$ PA; $\lambda_{em} = 529$ nm. All emission spectra were taken with 337 nm excitation.	60
4.7	(a) Fluorescence emission spectra of $P^2$ ( $1.36 \mu\text{M}$ in THF) upon addition of different nitro-derivatives ( $35.4 \times 10^{-5}$ M). Inset: UV light illumination of $P^2$ in presence of different nitro-derivatives. $\lambda_{ex} = 365$ nm. (b) Percentage quenching of fluorescence intensity of $P^2$ ( $1.36 \mu\text{M}$ in THF) after the addition of fixed concentration ( $35.4 \times 10^{-5}$ M) of various nitro-derivatives.	61
4.8	SEM images of $P^2$ microstructures with SDS (i) sample-d, (ii)	62

	sample-e, (iii) sample-g, (iv) sample-h, (v) sample-i, (vi) sample-j.	
4.9	SEM images of $P^2$ microstructures without SDS (i) sample-d1, (ii) sample-e1, (iii) sample-g1, (iv) sample-h1,	62
4.10	Optical fluorescence microscopy images under UV illumination of $P^2$ microstructures with SDS (i) sample-e, (ii) sample-g (iii) sample-h (iv) sample-i and (v) sample-j.	63
4.11	Optical fluorescence microscopic images of $P^2$ microstructures without SDS under UV illumination (i) sample-e <sub>1</sub> , (ii) sample-g <sub>1</sub> and (iii) sample-h <sub>1</sub> .	64
4.12	XRD patterns of film prepared from the microcrystal of sample-h <sub>1</sub> .	64
4.13	The UV-visible absorption spectra of (i) $P^2$ in THF (ii) sample-a, (iii) sample-b, (iv) sample-c, (v) sample-d, (vi) sample-e, (vii) sample-f, (viii) sample-g, (ix) sample-h.	65
4.14	(a) Fluorescence emission spectra of (i) $P^2$ in THF, (ii) sample-a <sub>1</sub> (iii) sample-b <sub>1</sub> (iv) sample-c <sub>1</sub> (v) sample-d <sub>1</sub> (vi) sample-e <sub>1</sub> . (vii) sample-f <sub>1</sub> , (viii) sample-g <sub>1</sub> , (ix) sample-h <sub>1</sub> . $\lambda_{ex} = 455$ nm. (b) Fluorescence emission spectra of (i) $P^2$ in THF, (ii) sample-a (iii) sample-b (iv) sample-c (v) sample-d (vi) sample-e. (vii) sample-f, (viii) sample-g, (ix) sample-h. $\lambda_{ex} = 455$ nm (c) Fluorescence life time of $P^2$ in THF and its aggregated hydrosols (sample-h <sub>1</sub> ); $\lambda_{ex} = 455$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 615, 675$ nm.	66
<b>Chapter V</b>		
5.1	<sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of $P^3$ in CDCl <sub>3</sub> .	72
5.2	<sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of $P^3$ in CDCl <sub>3</sub> .	73
5.3	FT-IR spectra of $P^3$ showing sharp peak at 1586 cm <sup>-1</sup> for C=N bond, 3426 cm <sup>-1</sup> (O – H), 1637 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C = O), 1478 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C = C), 1143 cm <sup>-1</sup> (N – N).	73
5.4	Powder XRD spectra of $P^3$ .	74
5.5	SEM images of $P^3$ microstructures prepared in presence of 4 mM SDS (i) sample-c, (ii) sample-e, (iii) sample-g, (iv) sample-h.	74
5.6	SEM images of $P^3$ microstructures prepared in the absence of SDS (i) sample-c <sub>1</sub> , (ii) sample-e <sub>1</sub> , (iii) sample-h <sub>1</sub> .	75
5.7	Optical fluorescence microscopy images (under UV excitation) of $P^3$ microstructure prepared in presence of 4 mM SDS (i) sample-c, (ii) sample-e (iii) sample-g (iv) sample-h.	76
5.8	Optical fluorescence microscopy images (under UV excitation) of aggregated microstructures prepared in the absence of SDS (i) sample-c <sub>1</sub> , (ii) sample-e <sub>1</sub> (iii) sample-h <sub>1</sub> .	77
5.9	UV-Vis absorption spectra of $P^3$ in (i) 0% water ( $P^3$ in MeOH),	77

	(ii) 20% water, (iii) 40% water, (iv) 80% water, (v) 90% water and (vi) 99.9% water.	
5.10	UV-Vis spectra of 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde and 4-aminoantipyrine in MeOH.	78
5.11	UV-Vis spectra of NDAP in different solvent polarity.	78
5.12	a) UV-Vis absorption spectra of (i) $P^3$ in MeOH; $P^3$ hydrosol prepared in the absence of SDS (ii) sample-a1, (iii) sample-b1, (iv) sample-c1, (v) sample-d1, (vi) sample-e1, (vii) sample-f1, (viii) sample-g1, c) UV-Vis absorption spectra of $P^3$ hydrosol prepared in the presence of 4 mM SDS (i) $P^3$ in MeOH (ii) sample-a, (iii) sample-b, (iv) sample-c, (v) sample-d, (vi) sample-e, (vii) sample-f, (viii) sample-g.	79
5.13	(a) Fluorescence emission spectra of (i) $P^3$ in MeOH, $P^3$ hydrosol prepared in the absence of SDS (ii) sample-a1, (iii) sample-b1, (iv) sample-c1, (v) sample-d1, (vi) sample-e1, (vii) sample-f1, (viii) sample-g1, (ix) sample-h1 (b) The Fluorescence emission spectra of $P^3$ hydrosol prepared in the presence of 4 mM SDS (i) $P^3$ in MeOH (ii) sample-a, (iii) sample-b, (iv) sample-c, (v) sample-d, (vi) sample-e, (vii) sample-f, (viii) sample-g, (ix) sample-h. All emission spectra were taken with 410 nm excitation. Inset Fluorescence images of $P^3$ in MeOH and its aggregated hydrosol under illumination of 366 nm radiation. (c) Emission spectra of freshly prepared $P^3$ (62 $\mu$ M) in 0% -99.9% water. $\lambda_{ex}$ : 410 nm. (d) Plot of relative variation of PL intensity against water content (fw) in the MeOH/water mixture. Insets: Fluorescence images of $P^3$ (0% and 99% H <sub>2</sub> O) under 366 nm illuminations.	80
5.14	a) Optimized structure of $P^3$ . b) Frontier molecular orbital plots of HOMO and LUMO energy levels of $P^3$ calculated by using DFT-B3LYP/6-31 G (d,p) level of theory as implemented on Gaussian 09.	81
5.15	(a) UV-Vis spectra and (b) Fluorescence emission spectra of $P^3$ hydrosol (sample-d) in presence of increasing concentration (0-50 $\mu$ M) of PA in water. $\lambda_{ex}$ : 465 nm.(c) Plot of I <sub>0</sub> /I versus [PA] for $P^3$ hydrosol. (Inset: Linear variation of the plot in presence of increasing PA concentration) (d) Fluorescence lifetime of $P^3$ hydrosol in absence and presence of PA ( $\lambda_{em}$ : 500 nm and $\lambda_{ex}$ = 402 nm).	82
5.16	a) Fluorescence emission spectra of $P^3$ hydrosol (sample-d) in presence of fixed concentration (20 $\mu$ M) of different nitro-derivatives (PA, DNP, NP, DNT, DNBA, DNB). Inset: Images of $P^3$ hydrosol in presence of fixed concentration (20 $\mu$ M) of	84

	different nitroaromatics (PA, DNP, NP, DNT, DNBA, DNB) under illumination of 366 nm radiation. (b) Comparison of percentage fluorescence quenching of $P^3$ hydrosol (sample-d) after the addition of fixed concentration (20 $\mu$ M) of various nitroaromatics.	
5.17	Fluorescence Quenching of $P^3$ hydrosol in presence of picric acid (PA) 2,4-dinitro-phenol (DNP), 4-nitro-phenol (NP), dinitro-toluene (DNT), dinitro-benzoic acid (DNBA), dinitro-benzene (DNB).	85
5.18	Anti-interference experiment study of $P^3$ hydrosol.	86
5.19	Photographs (under illumination of 366 nm radiation) of fluorescence quenching of $P^3$ on test strips for the visual detection of small amount of PA (a) test strip without picric acid ; PA of different concentrations (b) $1 \times 10^{-2}$ M, (c) $1 \times 10^{-3}$ M, (d) $1 \times 10^{-5}$ M, and (e) $1 \times 10^{-7}$ M.	86
5.20	Photographs (under illumination of 366 nm radiation) of $P^3$ on test strips (a) test strip without picric acid (b) picric acid crystal on test strip and (c) upon removal of picric acid crystals after 5 s.	86
<b>Chapter VI</b>		
6.1	SEM images of particles prepared in presence of 4mM SDS (a) sample-a <sub>1</sub> , (b) sample-f <sub>1</sub> .	93
6.2	Optical fluorescence microscopy images under UV excitation of particles prepared in presence of 4mM SDS (a) sample-a <sub>1</sub> , (b) sample-f <sub>1</sub> .	93
6.3	(a)The UV-Vis absorption spectra of (i) $P^4$ in THF (ii) sample-a, (iii) sample-b, (iv) sample-c, (v) sample-d, (vi) sample-e, (vii) sample-f, (b) UV-Vis absorption spectra of $P^4$ in (i) 0% water ( $P^4$ in THF), (ii) 20%water, (iii) 40% water, (iv) 80% water, (v) 90% water and (vi) 99.9% water.	94
6.4	(a)The Fluorescence emission spectra of (i) $P^4$ in THF (ii) sample-a, (iii) sample-b, (iv) sample-c, (v) sample-d, (vi) sample-e, (vii) sample-f, $\lambda_{ex}$ : 315 nm. Inset Fluorescence images of $P^4$ ( $P^4$ in THF and aggregated hydrosol) under 365 nm UV light illumination. (b) Emission spectra of freshly prepared $P^4$ (62 $\mu$ M) in 0% -99.9% water. $\lambda_{ex}$ : 315 nm. c) Plot of relative variation of PL intensity Vs. Volm percentage of water in the THF/water mixture. Insets: Fluorescence images of $P^4$ (0% and 90% H <sub>2</sub> O) under 365 nm illuminations.	95
6.5	Fluorescence anisotropy of $P^4$ in the absence and presence H <sub>2</sub> O (9:1, v/v) solution [ $P^4$ in THF] = 8 $\mu$ M, [sample-e] = 90 $\mu$ M, $\lambda_{ex}$ = 315 nm.	97

6.6	(a) UV-Vis absorption spectra of $\mathbf{P}^4$ hydrosol (38.4 $\mu$ M) in the THF/water mixture (10 : 90 v/v) at different pH values of the medium (pH $\sim$ 1.0–12.0). (b) PL spectra of $\mathbf{P}^4$ hydrosol (38.4 $\mu$ M) in the THF/water mixture (10: 90 v/v) at different pH values of the medium (pH $\sim$ 1.0–12.0). $\lambda_{ex}$ : 300 nm. (c) Ratiometric calibration curve of $I_{345}/I_{397}$ (ratio of fluorescence intensity at 345 nm to that of at 397 nm) as a function of pH of the medium and pH dependent color shift from blue to sky with decreasing concentration of $H^+$ ions in the medium under 366 nm illumination. (d) Time resolved fluorescence decay curve of $\mathbf{P}^4$ hydrosol (90 $\mu$ M $\mathbf{P}^4$ ) in presence of acid medium (pH $\sim$ 4) at ( $\lambda_{em}$ : 397 nm) and basic medium (pH $\sim$ 10). $\lambda_{em}$ =346 nm; All spectra are taken using $\lambda_{em}$ = 291 nm.	99
6.7	Photographic picture of $\mathbf{P}^4$ and protonated $\mathbf{P}^4$ hydrosol under normal light and UV light (365 nm) irradiation.	100
6.8	(a) Normalized UV-Vis spectra and (b) Normalized PL spectra of aggregated $\mathbf{P}^4$ by changing the pH of the medium from basic to acidic. (c) Reversible switching of the emission wavelength of $\mathbf{P}^4$ by repeated addition of acid and alkali to the medium.	101
6.9	Theoretically calculated frontier orbital of $\mathbf{P}^4$ and $\mathbf{P}^4H^+$ using the DFT B3LYP/6-31G (d, p) level of theory. The optimized geometry, dipole moment and dihedral angle of $\mathbf{P}^4$ and $\mathbf{P}^4H^+$ molecules are calculated using the TDDFT B3LYP/6-31G (d, p) level of theory.	102-103
6.10	(a) UV-Vis spectra and (b) Fluorescence spectra of $\mathbf{P}^4$ hydrosol (90 $\mu$ M $\mathbf{P}^4$ ) upon gradual addition of TNP (0-30 $\mu$ M). $\lambda_{ex}$ : 315 nm. (c) Plot of $I_0/I$ versus concentration of TNP [TNP], added to $\mathbf{P}^4$ hydrosol. Inset: Stern-Volmer curve with Error Bars of fluorescence quenching in presence of different amount of TNP (d) Time resolved fluorescence decay curve of $\mathbf{P}^4$ hydrosol in absence and presence of TNP. $\lambda_{ex}$ : 291 nm & $\lambda_{em}$ : 346 nm.	105
6.11	a) Fluorescence emission spectra of $\mathbf{P}^4$ hydrosol (90 $\mu$ M) in presence of a fixed concentration (14.3 $\mu$ M) of different nitro-derivatives. (b) Bar diagram of fluorescence intensity of $\mathbf{P}^4$ hydrosol (90 $\mu$ M) after the addition of fixed concentration (14.3 $\mu$ M) of various nitro-aromatics.	106
6.12	Anti-interference experiment study of $\mathbf{P}^4$ hydrosol	106
6.13	Fluorescence Quenching of $\mathbf{P}^4$ hydrosol in presence of (a) 4-Nitro-phenol (NP), (b) 2,4-dinitro-phenol (DNP), (c) 4-Nitroaniline (NA), (d) Dinitro-toluene (DNT), (e) Dinitro-benzene (DNB).	107
6.14	Comparison of Stern-Volmer plot of different nitroaromatics.	107

<b>Chapter VII</b>		
7.1	<sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectra of <b>P<sup>5</sup></b> in CDCl <sub>3</sub> .	115
7.2	<sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectra of <b>P<sup>5</sup></b> in CDCl <sub>3</sub>	115
7.3	FT-IR of chemosensor <b>P<sup>5</sup></b> .	116
7.4	Optical fluorescence microscopy images (under UV excitation) of OVAP microstructure prepared in presence of 4 mM SDS (a) sample-e, (b) sample-f (c) sample-g.	116
7.5	UV-Vis spectra of O-Vanillin (OV) and 4-aminoantipyrine (AP) in EtOH.	117
7.6	UV-Vis absorption spectra of (a) OVAP with increasing PH (b) o-vaniline (OV) in the absence and presence of base ( PH = 10).	118
7.7	UV-Vis absorption spectra of OVAP in (i) 0% water (OVAP in EtOH), (ii) 20% water, (iii) 40% water, (iii) 60% water, (iv) 80% water, (v) 90% water and (vi) 99% water.	118
7.8	The UV-Vis absorption spectra of (i) OVAP in EtOH (ii) sample-a, (iii) sample-b, (iv) sample-c, (v) sample-d, (vi) sample-e, (vii) sample-f.	119
7.9	(a) Fluorescence emission spectra of (i) OVAP in EtOH, OVAP hydrosol prepared in the presence of 4 mM SDS (ii) sample-a, (iii) sample-b, (iv) sample-c, (v) sample-d, (vi) sample-e, (vii) sample-f, (viii) sample-g, (b) The Fluorescence emission spectra of OVAP hydrosol prepared in the absence of SDS (i) OVAP in EtOH (ii) sample-a1, (iii) sample-b1, (iv) sample-c1, (v) sample-d1, (vi) sample-e1, (vii) sample-f1, (viii) sample-g1. All emission spectra were taken with 360 nm excitation. Inset Fluorescence images of OVAP in EtOH and its aggregated hydrosol under illumination of 366 nm radiation. (c) Emission spectra of freshly prepared OVAP (62 μM) in 0% -99.9% water. λ <sub>ex</sub> : 360 nm. (d) Plot of relative variation of PL intensity against water content (fw) in the EtOH/water mixture. Insets: Fluorescence images of OVAP (0% and 99% H <sub>2</sub> O) under 366 nm illuminations.	119
7.10	a) Optimized structure of OVAP. b) Frontier molecular orbital plots of HOMO and LUMO energy levels of OVAP calculated by using DFT-B3LYP/6-31 G (d,p) level of theory as implemented on Gaussian 09.	120
7.11	Solid state emission of OVAP, (Ex-360 nm).	121
7.12	(a) Time-resolved fluorescence spectra of OVAP (0% water; red), OVAP (99% water; blue) and Lamp (black).(b) Time resolved fluorescence spectra of OVAP (47 μM) and different metal of Al <sup>3+</sup> and Zn <sup>2+</sup> in EtOH respectively. Lamp (black), OVAP in EtOH (red), 1: 1 equiv Al <sup>3+</sup> (blue), 1:1 equiv Zn <sup>2+</sup>	122

	(pink), ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 372 \text{ nm}$ , $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 520 \text{ nm}$ for $\text{Al}^{3+}$ and $535 \text{ nm}$ for $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ).	
7.13	Particle size distribution of OVAP ( $47 \mu\text{M}$ ) in mixed aqueous media of 99% water content determined by DLS measurements.	123
7.14	Changes in absorption spectra of OVAP ( $47\mu\text{M}$ ) with (a) the incremental addition of $\text{Al}^{3+}$ . Inset: colour change upon the addition of $\text{Al}^{3+}$ and $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ to OVAP, (b) the incremental addition of $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ .	123
7.15	Job's plot of OVAP according to the method for continuous variations, indicating the 1:1 stoichiometry for (a) OVAP– $\text{Al}^{3+}$ (b) OVAP– $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ .	124
7.16	(a) Changes in emission spectra of OVAP ( $47\mu\text{M}$ ) (a) with the incremental addition of $\text{Al}^{3+}$ . [Inset: intensity at $520 \text{ nm}$ vs. $[\text{Al}^{3+}]$ plot, visible colour change upon the addition of $\text{Al}^{3+}$ to OVAP under UV lamp] ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 360 \text{ nm}$ ). (b) With incremental addition of $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ , [Inset: intensity at $535 \text{ nm}$ vs. $[\text{Zn}^{2+}]$ plot, visible colour change upon the addition of $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ to OVAP under UV lamp].	125
7.17	Fluorescence emission spectra of OVAP ( $60 \text{ mM}$ ) in presence of (a) $20 \text{ mM}$ each of $\text{Al}^{3+}$ & $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ , (b) $40 \text{ mM}$ each of $\text{Al}^{3+}$ & $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ .	125
7.18	Time resolved fluorescence spectra of OVAP ( $47 \mu\text{M}$ ) in EtOH and OVAP with $\text{Al}^{3+}$ & $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ respectively. Lamp (black), OVAP in EtOH (red), 1: 1 equiv OVAP & $\text{Al}^{3+}$ (blue); 1:1 equiv OVAP & $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ (pink); ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 372 \text{ nm}$ , $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 520 \text{ nm}$ for $\text{Al}^{3+}$ & OVAP and $535 \text{ nm}$ for $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ & OVAP).	126
7.19	a) UV–Vis absorption spectra of antipyrene chemosensor OVAP ( $47\mu\text{M}$ ) in the absences and presence of different metal ions ( $45\mu\text{M}$ ) at $25^\circ\text{C}$ . b) Fluorescence emission spectra of OVAP ( $47\mu\text{M}$ ) in Ethanol in the presence of different metal ions ( $45\mu\text{M}$ ) such as $\text{Na}^+$ , $\text{K}^+$ , $\text{Ag}^+$ , $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ , $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , $\text{Co}^{2+}$ , $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ , $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ , $\text{Cd}^{2+}$ , $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ , $\text{Pb}^{2+}$ , $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , $\text{Cr}^{3+}$ , $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ , $\text{Al}^{3+}$ and $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ . Excitation wavelength was at $360 \text{ nm}$ .	127
7.20	Selectivity of OVAP for $\text{Al}^{3+}$ ions in the presence of other competitive metal ions, excitation wavelength and emission maximum are $360 \text{ nm}$ and $520 \text{ nm}$ , respectively. Orange bars represent fluorescent intensity after the addition of the appropriate metal ion in a $47 \mu\text{M}$ solution of OVAP. Light green bars represent the subsequent addition of same equiv. of $\text{Al}^{3+}$ ions in each of the samples.	127
7.21	Selectivity of OVAP for $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ions in the presence of other competitive metal ions, excitation wavelength and emission maximum are $360 \text{ nm}$ and $535 \text{ nm}$ , respectively. Violet bars represent fluorescent intensity after the addition of the	128



	appropriate metal ion in a 47 $\mu\text{M}$ solution of OVAP. Green bars represent the subsequent addition of same equiv. of $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ions in each of the samples.	
7.22	Selectivity of OVAP for $\text{Al}^{3+}$ , $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ions in the presence of other competitive metal ions, excitation wavelength 360 nm and emission maximum 520 nm for $\text{Al}^{3+}$ and 535 nm for $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ respectively.	128
7.23	Visual color change observed with addition of different metal ions to OVAP as seen under daylight (upper) and UV light (lower) ( $\lambda = 365$ nm).	129
7.24	(a) The plot of absorbance of OVAP- $\text{Al}^{3+}$ complex at 405 nm with increasing concentration of $\text{Al}^{3+}$ (b) The plot of absorbance of OVAP- $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ complex at 425 nm with increasing concentration of $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ .	130
7.25	(a) The plot of normalized emission intensity of OVAP- $\text{Al}^{3+}$ complex at 520 nm with increasing concentration of $\text{Al}^{3+}$ (b) The plot of normalized emission intensity of OVAP- $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ complex at 520 nm with increasing concentration of $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ .	130
7.26	a) Fluorescence intensity versus OVAP concentration plot for measuring standard deviation; b) Fluorescence intensity versus $\text{Al}^{3+}$ concentration plot for measuring slope; the detection limit [LOD ( $\text{Al}^{3+}$ ) = $(3 \times 0.12791)/3.64097 \times 10^8 \text{ M} = 1.05 \times 10^{-9} \text{ M}$ ] c) Fluorescence intensity versus $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ concentration plot for measuring slope; the detection limit [LOD ( $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ) = $(3 \times 0.12791)/1.63353 \times 10^8 \text{ M} = 2.35 \times 10^{-9} \text{ M}$ ].	131
7.27	Photographic fluorescence images of OVAP, OVAP+ $\text{Al}^{3+}$ , & OVAP+ $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ under UV light illumination at 365 nm.	131
7.28	$^1\text{H}$ NMR (400 MHz) spectra of probe OVAP with $\text{Al}^{3+}$ (0.0–1.0 eq.) in DMSO- $d_6$ .	132
7.29	$^1\text{H}$ NMR (400 MHz) spectra of probe OVAP with $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ (0.0–1.0 eq.) in DMSO- $d_6$ .	133
7.30	The optimized geometry of OVAP and its corresponding $\text{Al}^{3+}$ , $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ complexes along with their HOMO-LUMO energy gap.	134
7.31	(a) Fluorescence spectra of OVAP (47 $\mu\text{M}$ ) in the presence of $\text{Al}^{3+}$ before and after the treatment with excess EDTA ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 360$ nm), (b) Fluorescence spectra of OVAP (47 $\mu\text{M}$ ) in the presence of $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ before and after the treatment with excess EDTA ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 360$ nm), (c) Truth table of logic gate, (d) General representation of an INHIBIT logic gate circuit.	134

