Chapter 3

Doubt intuitionistic fuzzy H-ideals in *BCK/BCI*-algebras*

3.1 Introduction

Several concepts in FS theory are accepted in IFS theory such as IF relations, intuitionistic L-FSs, IF implications, DIFSs etc.

Khalid and Ahmad [50] in 1999 brought the idea of FH-ideals in BCI-algebras. In BCK-algebras, characterization of DFH-ideals and concept of IFH-ideals are investigated in 2003 by Zhan and Tan [92] and in 2010 by Satyanarayan et al. [66, 67].

Jun [47], in 2001 made the DP and T-product of T-fuzzy SAs. Abdulla et al. [5, 6], gave some interesting results on DP of fuzzy ideals in different algebraic structures. Furthermore in *BCK*-algebras, the notion of DP of IFH-ideals are proposed by Abdullah et al [7] in 2012,.

In this current chapter, we have introduced DIFH-ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras and have made a detailed study of its properties. The outcomes made us conclude that in BCK/BCI-algebras, an IFS is a DIFH-ideal if the complement of this IFS is an IFH-ideal. Besides we have also investigated relations among DIF-ideals and DIFH-ideals.

Another unique inclusion that we have made in this chapter the DP of two DIFSAs and two DIFH-ideals of two BCK/BCI-algebras. We have also studied few important

^{*}Part of the works presented in this chapter are published in

⁽¹⁾ Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 8(4) 593-605 (2014), (2)International Journal of Pure and Applied Researches, 2(1) (2016), 11-21.

properties and relationships among them. It is noted that the DP of two IFSs appears as DIFH-ideals and DIFSAs if and only if for any $a, b \in [0, 1]$, UC of level a and LC of level b of that IFSs are H-ideals or SAs in BCK/BCI-algebra $U \times V$.

3.2 DIFH-ideals in *BCK/BCI*-algebras

In the current section, in BCK/BCI-algebras the notion of DIFH-ideals is initiated and different features connected to these are investigated.

Definition 3.2.1. Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be an IFS of a BCK/BCI-algebra U, then M is termed as a **DIFH-ideal** in U if (i) $\alpha_M(0) \leq \alpha_M(q'), \zeta_M(0) \geq \zeta_M(q')$ (ii) $\alpha_M(q'*s') \leq \alpha_M(q'*(r'*s')) \bigvee \alpha_M(r')$ (iii) $\zeta_M(q'*s') \geq \zeta_M(q'*(r'*s')) \bigwedge \zeta_M(r')$, for all $q', r', s' \in U$.

Theorem 3.2.1. In an associative BCK/BCI-algebra U if the inequility $q' * w \le x$ meets for a DIFH-ideal, then (i) $\alpha_M(q' * w) \le \alpha_M(x)$ (ii) $\zeta_M(q' * w) \ge \zeta_M(x)$.

Proof. Let $q', w, x \in U$ with $q' * w \le x$ then (q' * w) * x = 0 and as M is a DIFH-ideal in U, so

$$\alpha_{M}(q' * w) \leq max\{\alpha_{M}(q' * (x * w)), \alpha_{M}(x)\}$$

$$= max\{\alpha_{M}((q' * x) * w), \alpha_{M}(x)\} \text{ [Since U is associative]}$$

$$= max\{\alpha_{M}((q' * w) * x), \alpha_{M}(x)\}$$

$$= max\{\alpha_{M}(0), \alpha_{M}(x)\}$$

$$= \alpha_{M}(x) \text{ [because } \alpha_{M}(0) \leq \alpha_{M}(x) \text{]}$$

Therefore, $\alpha_M(q' * w) \leq \alpha_M(x)$.

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_M(q' * w) &\geq \min\{\zeta_M(q' * (x * w)), \zeta_M(x)\}, \\ &= \min\{\zeta_M((q' * x) * w), \zeta_M(x)\} \quad [\text{Since U is associative}] \\ &= \min\{\zeta_M((q' * w) * x), \zeta_M(x)\} \\ &= \min\{\zeta_M(0), \zeta_M(x)\} \\ &= \zeta_M(x) \; [\text{because } \zeta_M(0) \geq \zeta_M(x) \;] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\zeta_M(q' * w) \geq \zeta_M(x)$. Thus the theorem proves.

Proposition 3.2.2. For a DIFH-ideal $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ in a BCK-algebra U. We have $\alpha_M(0*(0*q')) \le \alpha_M(q') \text{ and } \zeta_M(0*(0*q')) \ge \zeta_M(q'), \text{ for all } q' \in U.$

Proof. It can be easily proved.

Lemma 3.2.1. If an IFS $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be a DIFH-ideal in a BCK/BCI-algebra U. Then for $q' \leq a$, we have, $\alpha_M(q') \leq \alpha_M(a)$ and $\zeta_M(q') \geq \zeta_M(a)$, for all $q', a \in U$.

Proof. Let $q', a \in U$ such that $q' \leq a$ then q' * a = 0. Now, $\alpha_M(q') = \alpha_M(q' * 0) \leq \alpha_M(q') < \alpha_$ $max\{\alpha_{M}(q^{'}*(a*0)),\alpha_{M}(a)\} = max\{\alpha_{M}(q^{'}*a),\alpha_{M}(a)\} = max\{\alpha_{M}(0),\alpha_{M}(a)\} = max$ $\alpha_M(a)$. Therefore, $\alpha_M(q') \leq \alpha_M(a)$.

Again, $\zeta_M(q') = \zeta_M(q'*0) \ge \min\{\zeta_M(q'*(a*0)), \zeta_M(a)\} = \min\{\zeta_M(q'*a), \zeta_M(a)\} =$ $min\{\zeta_M(0), \zeta_M(a)\} = \zeta_M(a).$ Therefore, $\zeta_M(q') \ge \zeta_M(a).$

EXAMPLE 9. Let $U = \{0, k, l, m, n\}$ be a BCK-algebra as given in table below:

*	0	k	l	m	n
0	0	0	0	0	0
k	k	0	k	0	0
l	l	l	0	0	0
m	m	m	m	0	0
n	0 k l m n	m	n	k	0

Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be an IFS in U as defined by

U	0	k	l	m	n
α_M	0.1	0.4	0.7	0.8	0.8
$lpha_M$ ζ_M	0.9	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2

Then $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ is a DIFH-ideal in U.

Theorem 3.2.3. In a BCK-algebra U, the necessity operator over a DIFH-ideal M that is, $\bigoplus M = \{\langle q', \alpha_M(q'), \bar{\alpha}_M(q') \rangle / q' \in U\}$ is also a DIFH-ideal.

Proof. Since $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ is a DIFH-ideal in U, then $\alpha_M(0) \le \alpha_M(q')$ and $\alpha_M(q' * s') \le \alpha_M(q' * (r' * s')) \bigvee \alpha_M(r')$.

Now, $\alpha_M(0) \leq \alpha_M(q')$, or $1 - \bar{\alpha}_M(0) \leq 1 - \bar{\alpha}_M(q')$, or $\bar{\alpha}_M(0) \geq \bar{\alpha}_M(q')$, for any $q' \in U$. Now for any $q', r', s' \in U$, $\alpha_M(q' * s') \leq max\{\alpha_M(q' * (r' * s')), \alpha_M(r')\}$. This gives, $1 - \bar{\alpha}_M(q' * s') \leq max\{1 - \bar{\alpha}_M(q' * (r' * s')), 1 - \bar{\alpha}_M(r')\}$ or, $\bar{\alpha}_M(q' * s') \geq 1 - max\{1 - \bar{\alpha}_M(q' * (r' * s')), 1 - \bar{\alpha}_M(r')\}$. Finally, $\bar{\alpha}_M(q' * s') \geq min\{\bar{\alpha}_M(q' * (r' * s')), \bar{\alpha}_M(r')\}$. Hence, $\bigoplus M = \{(q', \alpha_M(q'), \bar{\alpha}_M(q'))/q' \in U\}$ is a DIFH-ideal in U.

Theorem 3.2.4. For a DIFH-ideal $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ in U. The possibility operator over M, which is $\bigotimes M = \{\langle q', \overline{\zeta}_M(q'), \zeta_M(q') \rangle / q' \in U\}$ is also a DIFH-ideal in U.

Proof. Since $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ is a DIFH-ideal in U, then $\zeta_M(0) \ge \zeta_M(q')$. Also, $\zeta_M(q' * z) \ge \zeta_M(q' * (r' * z) \bigwedge \zeta_M(r')$.

Again, we have, $\zeta_M(0) \ge \zeta_M(q')$, or $1 - \bar{\zeta}_M(0) \ge 1 - \bar{\zeta}_M(q')$, or $\bar{\zeta}_M(0) \le \bar{\zeta}_M(q')$, for any $q' \in U$. Also for any $q', r', z \in U$, $\zeta_M(q' * z) \ge \min\{\zeta_M(q' * (r' * z), \zeta_M(r')\}$ This implies, $1 - \bar{\zeta}_M(q' * z) \ge \min\{1 - \bar{\zeta}_M(q' * (r' * z), 1 - \bar{\zeta}_M(r')\}$. That is, $\bar{\zeta}_M(q' * z) \le$ $1 - \min\{1 - \bar{\zeta}_M(q' * (r' * z), 1 - \bar{\zeta}_M(r')\}$ or, $\bar{\zeta}_M(q' * z) \le \max\{\bar{\zeta}_M(q' * (r' * z), \bar{\zeta}_M(r')\}$. Hence, $\bigotimes M = \{\langle q', \bar{\zeta}_M(q'), \zeta_M(q') \rangle / q' \in U\}$ is a DIFH-ideal in U.

Theorem 3.2.5. Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be an IFS in U. Then $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ is a DIFH-ideal in U iff $\bigoplus M$, and $\bigotimes M$ are DIFH-ideals in U.

Proof. The proof is same as Theorem 3.2.3 and Theorem 3.2.4.

Let us illustrate the Theorem 3.2.3, Theorem 3.2.4 and Theorem 3.2.5 by the help of the example as defined by.

EXAMPLE 10. Let $U = \{0, t, u, v, w\}$ be a BCK-algebra as follows:

*	0	t	u	v	w
0	$egin{array}{c} 0 \\ t \\ u \\ v \\ w \end{array}$	0	0	0	0
t	t	0	t	t	t
u	u	u	0	u	u
v	v	v	v	0	v
w	w	w	w	w	0

Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be a DIFH-ideal in U as defined by

U	0	t	u	v	w
α_M	0.2 0.8	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6
ζ_M	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.4

Then $\bigoplus M$, is defined as follows:

U	0	t	u	v	w
α_M	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6
$\bar{\alpha}_M$	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4

Also $\bigotimes M$ is defined by

U	0	t	u	v	w
$\bar{\zeta}_M$	0.2 0.8	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.6
ζ_M	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.4

So, it can be verified that $\bigoplus M = \{\langle q', \alpha_M(q'), \bar{\alpha}_M(q') \rangle / q' \in U\}$ and $\bigotimes M = \{\langle q', \bar{\zeta}_M(q'), \zeta_M(q'), \zeta_M(q') \rangle / q' \in U\}$ are DIFH-ideals in U.

Theorem 3.2.6. An IFS $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ is a DIFH-ideal in U iff the FSs α_M and $\overline{\zeta}_M$ are DFH-ideals in U.

Proof. Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be a DIFH-ideal in U. Then it is obvious that α_M is a DFH-ideal in U, and from Theorem 3.8, we conclude that $\overline{\zeta}_M$ is a DFH-ideal in U.

Conversely, let α_M be a DFH-ideal in U. Therefore $\alpha_M(0) \leq \alpha_M(q')$, $\alpha_M(q') \leq \max\{\alpha_M(q'*(r'*s')), \alpha_M(r')\}, \forall q', r', s' \in U$. Again, since $\bar{\zeta}_M$ is a DFH-ideal in U, so, $\bar{\zeta}_M(0) \leq \bar{\zeta}_M(q')$, gives $1 - \zeta_M(0) \leq 1 - \zeta_M(q')$, implies $\zeta_M(0) \geq \zeta_M(q')$.

Also, $\bar{\zeta}_{M}(q'*s') \leq max\{\bar{\zeta}_{M}(q'*(r'*s')), \bar{\zeta}_{M}(r')\}$ or, $1-\zeta_{M}(q'*s') \leq max\{1-\zeta_{M}(q'*(r'*s')), 1-\zeta_{M}(r')\}$ or, $\zeta_{M}(q'*s') \geq 1-max\{1-\zeta_{M}(q'*(r'*s')), 1-\zeta_{M}(r')\}$. Finally, $\zeta_{M}(q'*s') \geq min\{\zeta_{M}(q'*(r'*s')), \zeta_{M}(r')\}$, for all $q', r' \in U$. Hence, $M = (\alpha_{M}, \zeta_{M})$ is a DIFH-ideal in U.

Corollary 3.2.1. Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be a DIFH-ideal in U. Then the sets, $D_{\alpha_M} = \{q' \in U/\alpha_M(q') = \alpha_M(0)\}$, and $D_{\zeta_M} = \{q' \in U/\zeta_M(q') = \zeta_M(0)\}$ are H-ideals in U.

Proof. Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be a DIFH-ideal in U. Obviously, $0 \in D_{\alpha_M}$ and D_{ζ_M} . Now, let $q', r', s' \in U$, such that $q' * (r' * s'), r' \in D_{\alpha_M}$. Then $\alpha_M(q' * (r' * s')) = \alpha_M(0) = \alpha_M(r')$. Now, $\alpha_M(q' * s') \leq max\{\alpha_M(q' * (r' * s')), \alpha_M(r')\} = \alpha_M(0)$.

Again, since α_M is a DFH-ideal in U, $\alpha_M(0) \leq \alpha_M(q' * s')$. Therefore, $\alpha_M(0) = \alpha_M(q' * s')$. It follows that, $q' * s' \in D_{\alpha_M}$, for all $q', r', s' \in U$. Therefore, D_{α_M} is a H-ideal in U. In the similar fashion we can conclude that D_{ζ_M} is also a H-ideal in U.

Definition 3.2.2. Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be an IFS in U, and $c, d \in [0, 1]$, then UC of level c and LC of level d of M, is as follows:

$$\alpha_{M,c}^{\leq} = \{q' \in U/\alpha_M(q') \leq c\}$$

and
$$\zeta_{M,d}^{\geq} = \{q' \in U/\zeta_M(q') \geq d\}.$$

Theorem 3.2.7. If $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be a DIFH-ideal in U, then $\alpha_{M,c}^{\leq}$ and $\zeta_{M,d}^{\geq}$ are H-ideals in U for any $c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be a DIFH-ideal in U, and let $c \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha_M(0) \leq c$. Then we have, $\alpha_M(0) \leq \alpha_M(q')$, for all $q' \in U$, but $\alpha_M(q') \leq c$, for all $q' \in \alpha_{M,c}^{\leq}$. So, $0 \in \alpha_{M,c}^{\leq}$. Let $q', r', s' \in U$ with $q'*(r'*s') \in \alpha_{M,c}^{\leq}$ and $r' \in \alpha_{M,c}^{\leq}$, then, $\alpha_M(q'*(r'*s')) \in \alpha_{M,c}^{\leq}$ and $\alpha_M(r') \in \alpha_{M,c}^{\leq}$. Therefore, $\alpha_M(q'*(r'*s')) \leq c$ and $\alpha_M(r') \leq c$. Since α_M is a DFH-ideal in U, it follows that, $\alpha_M(q'*s') \leq \alpha_M((q'*(r'*s')) \bigvee \alpha_M(r') \leq c$ and hence $q'*s' \in \alpha_{M,c}^{\leq}$, for all $q', r', s' \in U$. Therefore, $\alpha_{M,c}^{\leq}$ is a H-ideal in U for $c \in [0, 1]$. In the similar fashion it can also be proved that $\zeta_{M,d}^{\geq}$ is a H-ideal in U for $d \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 3.2.8. If $\alpha_{M,c}^{\leq}$ and $\zeta_{M,d}^{\geq}$ are either empty or H-ideals in U for $c, d \in [0, 1]$, then $M = [\alpha_M, \zeta_M]$ is a DIFH-ideal in U.

Proof. Let $\alpha_{M,c}^{\leq}$ and $\zeta_{M,d}^{\geq}$ be either empty or H-ideals in U for $c, d \in [0,1]$. For any $q' \in U$, let $\alpha_M(q') = c$ and $\zeta_M(q') = d$. Then $q' \in \alpha_{M,c}^{\leq} \wedge \zeta_{M,d}^{\geq}$, so $\alpha_{M,c}^{\leq} \neq \phi \neq \zeta_{M,d}^{\geq}$. Since $\alpha_{M,c}^{\leq}$ and $\zeta_{M,d}^{\geq}$ are H-ideals of U, therefore $0 \in \alpha_{M,c}^{\leq} \wedge \zeta_{M,d}^{\geq}$. Hence, $\alpha_M(0) \leq c = \alpha_M(q')$ and $\zeta_M(0) \geq d = \zeta_M(q')$, where $q' \in U$. If there exist $a', b', c' \in U$ to the extent that $\alpha_M(a' * c') > max\{\alpha_M(a' * (b' * c')), \alpha_M(b')\}$, now considering, $c_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_M(a' * (b' * c')), \alpha_M(a' * (b' * c')), \alpha_M(b')\}$. We have, $\alpha_M(a' * c') > c_0 > max\{\alpha_M(a' * (b' * c')), \alpha_M(b')\}$. Hence, $a' * c' \notin \alpha_{M,c_0}^{\leq}$, $(a' * (b' * c')) \in \alpha_{M,c_0}^{\leq}$ and $b' \in \alpha_{M,c_0}^{\leq}$, that is α_{M,c_0}^{\leq} is not an H-ideal in U, here a contradiction arises. Therefore, $\alpha_M(q' * s') \leq \alpha_M((q' * (r' * s')) \bigvee \alpha_M(r'))$, for any $q', r', s' \in U$.

Eventually, suppose that there exist $e', f', g' \in U$ s.t $\zeta_M(e' * g') < \min\{\zeta_M(e' * (f' * g')), \zeta_M(f')\}$. Taking $d_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta_M(e' * g') + \min\{\zeta_M(e' * (f' * g')), \zeta_M(f')\})$, then $\min\{\zeta_M(e' * (f' * g')), \zeta_M(f')\} > d_0 > \zeta_M(e' * g')$. Therefore, $e' * (f' * g') \in \zeta_{M,d}^{\geq}$ and $f' \in \zeta_{M,d}^{\geq}$ but $e' * g' \notin \zeta_{M,d}^{\geq}$. Again a contradiction springs. Thus the proof ends. \Box

But, if an IFS $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$, is not a DIFH-ideal in U, then $\alpha_{M,c}^{\leq}$ and $\zeta_{M,d}^{\geq}$ are not H-ideals in U for $c, d \in [0, 1]$, which is described through the example as given below.

EXAMPLE 11. Let consider a BCK-algebra V that was given in Example 6 in the below tabulated form:

*	0	d_1	e_1	f_1
0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ d_1 \end{array}$	0	0	0
d_1	d_1	0	d_1	d_1
e_1	e_1 f_1	d_1	0	0
f_1	f_1	d_1	f_1	0

Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be an IFS in V defined by

V	0	d_1	e_1	f_1
α_M	0.1	0.5	0.7	0.6
ζ_M	0.8	0.4	0.2	0.4

which is not a DIFH-ideal in U.

For c = 0.671 and d = 0.252, we get $\alpha_{M,c}^{\leq} = \zeta_{M,d}^{\geq} = \{0, d_1, f_1\}$, which are not *H*-ideals in *U*, as $e_1 * (d_1 * 0) = e_1 * d_1 = d_1 \in \{0, d_1, f_1\}$, and $d_1 \in \{0, d_1, f_1\}$, but $e_1 * 0 \notin \{0, d_1, f_1\}$.

Theorem 3.2.9. Union of any two DIFH-ideals in U, is also a DIFH-ideal in U.

Proof. Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ and $N = (\alpha_N, \zeta_N)$ be two DIFH-ideals in U. Again let, $C = M \cup N = (\alpha_C, \zeta_C)$, where $\alpha_C = \alpha_M \vee \alpha_N$ and $\zeta_C = \zeta_M \wedge \zeta_N$. Let $q' \in U$, then, $\alpha_C(0) = (\alpha_M \vee \alpha_N)(0) = max\{\alpha_M(0), \alpha_N(0)\} \leq max\{\alpha_M(q'), \alpha_N(q')\} = (\alpha_M \vee \alpha_N)(q') = \alpha_C(q')$ and $\zeta_C(0) = (\zeta_M \wedge \zeta_N)(0) = min\{\zeta_M(0), \zeta_N(0)\} \geq min\{\zeta_M(q'), \zeta_N(q')\} =$

$$\begin{aligned} (\zeta_M \wedge \zeta_N)(q') &= \zeta_C(q') \text{ Also,} \\ \alpha_C(q'*s') &= maq' \{ \alpha_M(q'*s'), \alpha_N(q'*s') \} \\ &\leq max \{ max[\alpha_M(q'*(r'*s'), \alpha_M(r')], max[\alpha_N(q'*(r'*s'), \alpha_N(r')] \} \\ &= max \{ max[\alpha_M(q'*(r'*s'), \alpha_N(q'*(r'*s')], max[\alpha_M(r'), \alpha_N(r')] \} \\ &= max[\alpha_C(q'*(r'*s'), \alpha_C(r')]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that, $\zeta_C(q' * s') \ge \min[\zeta_C(q' * (r' * s'), \zeta_C(r')].$ Thus the proof ends.

Theorem 3.2.10. Let consider two IFSs M and N in U, such that one is contained in another. Also M and N are two DIFH-ideals in U. Then intersection of M and Nis also DIFH-ideal in U.

Proof. Consider two DIFH-ideals $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ and $N = (\alpha_N, \zeta_N)$ in U. Again let, $D = M \cap N = (\alpha_D, \zeta_D)$, where $\alpha_D = \alpha_M \wedge \alpha_N$ and $\zeta_D = \zeta_M \vee \zeta_N$. Let $q', r', s' \in U$, then $\alpha_D(0) = \alpha_M(0) \wedge \alpha_N(0) \leq \alpha_M(q') \wedge \alpha_N(q') = \alpha_D(q')$ and $\zeta_D(0) = \zeta_M(0) \vee \zeta_N(0) \geq \zeta_M(q') \vee \zeta_N(q') = \zeta_D(q')$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_D(q'*s') &= \alpha_M(q'*s') \land \alpha_N(q'*s') \\ &\leq max[\alpha_M(q'*(r'*s')), \alpha_M(r')] \land max[\alpha_N(q'*(r'*s')), \alpha_N(r')] \\ &= max\{[\alpha_M(q'*(r'*s')) \land \alpha_N(q'*(r'*s'))], [\alpha_M(r') \land \alpha_N(r')]\}, \\ &\qquad [because one is contained in another] \\ &= max[\alpha_D(q'*(r'*s')), \alpha_D(r')]. \end{aligned}$$

In similar manner we can proof that, $\zeta_D(q' * s') \ge \min[\zeta_D(q' * (r' * s')), \zeta_D(r')].$ Thus the proof ends.

The example given below supports the Theorem 3.2.9 and Theorem 3.2.10.

EXAMPLE 12. Let a BCI-algebra $U = \{0, i, j, k\}$ be considered as given in below tabulated form:

*		i		
0	0	i	j	k
i	i	0	k	
j	j	k	0	i
k	k	j	i	0

Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be an IFS in U as follows:

U	0	i	j	k
α_M	0	0.3	0.2	0.3
ζ_M	1	0.7	0.8	0.7

Then $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ is a DIFH-ideal in U. Again, let $N = (\alpha_N, \zeta_N)$ be an IFS in U as defined by

U	0	i	j	k
α_N	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.5
ζ_N	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.5

Then $N = (\alpha_N, \zeta_N)$ is a DIFH-ideal in U.

We also assume that $P = M \cup N = (\alpha_P, \zeta_P)$ where $\alpha_P = \alpha_M \vee \alpha_N$ and $\zeta_P = \zeta_M \wedge \zeta_N$ and P is defined as:

U	0	i	j	k
α_P	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.5
	0.8			

Then $P = (\alpha_P, \zeta_P)$ is a DIFH-ideal in U.

Now let, $Q = M \cap N = (\alpha_Q, \zeta_Q)$ where $\alpha_Q = \alpha_M \wedge \alpha_N$ and $\zeta_Q = \zeta_M \vee \zeta_N$. Then Q is an IFS in U which can be defined as:

So, $Q = (\alpha_Q, \zeta_Q)$ is a DIFH-ideal in U.

Theorem 3.2.11. Every DIFH-ideal in U is a DIF-ideal in U.

Proof. Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be a DIFH-ideal in U, then (i) $\alpha_M(0) \leq \alpha_M(q'); \zeta_M(0) \geq \zeta_M(q'),$ (ii) $\alpha_M(q'*s') \leq \alpha_M(q'*(r'*s')) \bigvee \alpha_M(r'),$ and (iii) $\zeta_M(q'*s') \geq \zeta_M(q'*(r'*s')) \bigwedge \zeta_M(r'), \forall q', r', s' \in U$. If we put s' = 0, then from (ii) and (iii), we get $\alpha_M(q') \leq \alpha_M(q'*r') \bigvee \alpha_M(r')$ and $\zeta_M(q') \geq \zeta_M(q'*r') \bigwedge \zeta_M(r'),$ for all $q', r', s' \in U$, since q'*0 = q', for all $q' \in U$.

Hence, M is a DIF-ideal in U.

The theorem may not hold in reverse direction. That is every DIF-ideal in U is not a DIFH-ideal in U and this can be substantiated by example below:

EXAMPLE 13. Let $U = \{0, q, r\}$ be a BCI-algebra given by the table below:

Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be an IFS in U as defined by

U	0	q	r
α_M	0	0.8	0.8
ζ_M	1	0.2	0.2

Then $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ is a DIF-ideal in U.

Where M is not a DIFH-ideal in U, since $\alpha_M(q*r) \nleq \max\{\alpha_M(q*(0*r)), \alpha_M(0)\}$. Because, $\alpha_M(q*r) = 0.8$ and $\max\{\alpha_M(q*(0*r)), \alpha_M(0)\} = \alpha_M(0) = 0$.

For the IFS $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$, which is a DIF-ideal in U to be a DIFH-ideal in U, now a condition is created.

Theorem 3.2.12. In an associative BCK/BCI-algebra U, every DIF-ideal becomes a DIFH-ideal in U.

Proof. Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be a DIFI in U. Then, $\alpha_M(0) \leq \alpha_M(q'); \zeta_M(0) \geq \zeta_M(q')$. Now, since U is associative, then for $q', r', s' \in U, q' * (r' * s') = (q' * r') * s'$. Now,

$$\alpha_M(q'*(r'*s')) \bigvee \alpha_M(r') = \alpha_M((q'*r')*s') \bigvee \alpha_M(r')$$
$$= \alpha_M((q'*s')*r') \bigvee \alpha_M(r')$$
$$\geq \alpha_M(q'*s')$$

[because M is a DIF-ideal.]

Therefore, $\alpha_M(q' * s') \leq \alpha_M(q' * (r' * s')) \bigvee \alpha_M(r')$.

Similarly we can prove that, $\zeta_M(q' * s') \ge \zeta_M(q' * (r' * s')) \bigwedge \zeta_M(r'), \forall q', r', s' \in U$. Hence, M is a DIFH-ideal in U. Thus the proof ends.

Let illustrate the Theorem 3.2.12 with the help of the example below.

EXAMPLE 14. Let consider a BCK-algebra U that was given in Example 10, with the table below:

*	0	t	u	v	w
0	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ t \\ u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}$	0	0	0	0
t	t	0	t	t	t
u	u	u	0	u	u
v	v	v	v	0	v
w	w	w	w	w	0

Here U is an associative BCK-algebra. Let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be an IFS in U as represented by

U	0	t	u	v	w
$\bar{\zeta}_M$	0	0.6	0.4	0.8	0.9
ζ_M	1	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.1

Hence, M is a DIF-ideal as well as DIFH-ideal in U.

3.3 DP of DIFH-ideals in *BCK/BCI*-algebras

In BCK/BCI-algebras the DP of DIFSAs and DIFH-ideals are initiated in this current section, and to study this we first define the product of DIFSs in $U \times V$. Some properties connected to these are investigated.

Definition 3.3.1. Let U, V be two BCK/BCI-algebras. Again let $K = (\alpha_K, \zeta_K)$ and $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be two DIF sets in U and V respectively. Then the DP of DIFSAs K and M is symbolized by $K \times M = (\alpha_{K \times M}, \zeta_{K \times M})$, here $\alpha_{K \times M} : U \times V \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\alpha_{K \times M}(k, m) = max\{\alpha_K(u), \alpha_M(v)\}$ and $\zeta_{K \times M} : U \times V \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\zeta_{K \times M}(k, m) = min\{\zeta_K(u), \zeta_M(v)\} \forall (k, m) \in U \times V$.

Definition 3.3.2. An IFS $K \times M = (\alpha_{K \times M}, \zeta_{K \times M})$ of BCK/BCI-algebra $U \times V$ is named as a DIFSA in $U \times V$ if $(K_1)\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2)) \leq max\{\alpha_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\}$ $(K_2)\zeta_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2)) \geq min\{\zeta_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1), \zeta_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\}, \text{ for all } (k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2) \in U \times V.$

Theorem 3.3.1. Let $K = (\alpha_K, \zeta_K)$ and $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be two DIFSAs in U and V respectively. Then $K \times M = (\alpha_{K \times M}, \zeta_{K \times M})$ is also a DIFSA in $U \times V$.

Proof. For any $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2) \in U \times V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2)) &= \alpha_{K \times M}(k_1 * k_2, m_1 * m_2) \\ &= max\{\alpha_K((k_1 * k_2), \alpha_M((m_1 * m_2))\} \\ &\leq max\{max\{\alpha_K(k_1), \alpha_K(k_2)\}, max\{\alpha_M(m_1), \alpha_M(m_2)\}\} \\ &= max\{max\{\alpha_K(k_1), \alpha_M(m_1)\}, max\{\alpha_K(k_2), \alpha_M(m_2)\}\} \\ &= max\{\alpha_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2) \end{aligned}$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2)) &= \zeta_{K \times M}(k_1 * k_2, m_1 * m_2) \\ &= \min\{\zeta_K((k_1 * k_2), \zeta_M((m_1 * m_2))\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\zeta_K(k_1), \zeta_K(k_2)\}, \max\{\zeta_M(m_1), \zeta_M(m_2)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{\zeta_K(k_1), \zeta_M(m_1)\}, \max\{\zeta_K(k_2), \zeta_M(m_2)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\zeta_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1), \zeta_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2) \in U \times V, K \times M$ is a DIFSA in *BCK/BCI*algebra $U \times V$. Thus the proof ends.

Theorem 3.3.2. Let $K = (\alpha_K, \zeta_K)$ and $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be two DIFSA in BCK/BCIalgebras U and V. Then

(i) $\alpha_{K \times M}(0,0) \le \alpha_{K \times M}(k,m)$ (ii) $\zeta_{K \times M}(0,0) \ge \zeta_{K \times M}(k,m), \forall (k,m) \in U \times V.$

Proof. By definition, $\alpha_{K \times M}(0,0) = \alpha_{K \times M}\{(k,m)*(k,m)\} \le \alpha_{K \times M}(k,m) \bigvee \alpha_{K \times M}(k,m) \le \alpha_{K \times M}(k,m).$

$$\therefore \alpha_{K \times M}(0,0) \le \alpha_{K \times M}(k,m), \forall (k,m) \in U \times V.$$

Again, $\zeta_{K \times M}(0,0) = \zeta_{K \times M}\{(k,m)*(k,m)\} \ge \zeta_{K \times M}(k,m) \bigwedge \zeta_{K \times M}(k,m) \ge \zeta_{K \times M}(k,m).$
$$\therefore \zeta_{K \times M}(0,0) \ge \zeta_{K \times M}(k,m), \forall (k,m) \in U \times V.$$

Lemma 3.3.1. Let $K = (\alpha_K, \zeta_K)$ and $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be two DIFSAs in U and V. Then the assertions below are fulfilled.

(i) $\alpha_K(0) \leq \alpha_M(v)$ and $\alpha_M(0) \leq \alpha_K(u), \forall u \in U, v \in V.$ (ii) $\zeta_K(0) \geq \zeta_M(v)$ and $\zeta_M(0) \geq \zeta_K(u), \forall u \in U, v \in V.$

Proof. Let $\alpha_M(v) < \alpha_K(0)$ and $\alpha_K(u) < \alpha_M(0)$, for several $u \in U$ and $v \in V$. Then, $\alpha_{K \times M}(k, m) = max[\alpha_K(u), \alpha_M(v)] \le max[\alpha_M(0), \alpha_K(0)] = \alpha_{K \times M}(0, 0)$. Which is a contradiction.

Similarly, let $\zeta_K(u) > \zeta_M(0)$ and $\zeta_M(v) > \zeta_K(0)$, for some $u \in U$ and $v \in V$. Now, $\zeta_{K \times M}(k,m) = \min[\zeta_K(u), \zeta_M(v)] \ge \min[\zeta_M(0), \zeta_K(0)] = \zeta_{K \times M}(0,0).$

Hence a contradiction arises. Thus the result is proved.

Theorem 3.3.3. If $K \times M$ is a DIFSA in $U \times V$, then either K or M is a DIFSA in $U \times V$.

Proof. Since $K \times M$ is a DIF-SA in $U \times V$, then for all $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2) \in U \times V$, we have, $\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2)) \leq max\{\alpha_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\}$. By putting $k_1 = k_2 = 0$, we get,

 $\alpha_{K \times M}((0, m_1) * (0, m_2)) \le max\{\alpha_{K \times M}(0, m_1), \alpha_{K \times M}(0, m_2)\} \cdots (i).$

Also we have, $\alpha_{K \times M}((0, m_1) * (0, m_2)) = \alpha_{K \times M}((0 * 0), (m_1 * m_2)) = max\{\alpha_K(0 * 0), \alpha_M(m_1 * m_2)\} = \alpha_M(m_1 * m_2) \cdots (ii).$

Again by using Lemma3.3.1 we have, $max\{\alpha_{K\times M}(0, m_1), \alpha_{K\times M}(0, m_2)\}$

 $= max\{\alpha_M(m_1), \alpha_M(m_2)\}\cdots(iii).$

So from (i), (ii) and (iii) we get, $\alpha_M(m_1 * m_2) \leq max[\alpha_M(m_1), \alpha_M(m_2)].$

Similar way we can prove, $\zeta_M(m_1 * m_2) \ge \min[\zeta_M(m_1), \zeta_M(m_2)]$. Hence M is a DIFSA in $U \times V$.

Definition 3.3.3. An IFS $K \times M = (\alpha_{K \times M}, \zeta_{K \times M})$ in $U \times V$ is named as a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$ if $(K_3)\alpha_{K \times M}(0,0) \leq \alpha_{K \times M}(k,m)$ and $\zeta_{K \times M}(0,0) \geq \zeta_{K \times M}(k,m)$ $(K_4)\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1,m_1)*(k_3,m_3)) \leq max\{\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1,m_1)*((k_2,m_2)*(k_3,m_3))), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2,m_2)\}$ $(K_5)\zeta_{K \times M}((k_1,m_1)*(k_3,m_3)) \geq min\{\zeta_{K \times M}((k_1,m_1)*((k_2,m_2)*(k_3,m_3))), \zeta_{K \times M}(k_2,m_2)\},$ for all $(k_1,m_1), (k_2,m_2), (k_3,m_3) \in U \times V.$

Now, let us study and investigate different marked properties of DPs unreached so far.

Theorem 3.3.4. Let $K = (\alpha_K, \zeta_K)$ and $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be two DIFH-ideal in BCK/BCIalgebras U and V. Then $K \times M = (\alpha_{K \times M}, \zeta_{K \times M})$ is a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$.

Proof. Let $(k, m) \in U \times V$.

 $\begin{aligned} \alpha_{K \times M}(0,0) &= max\{\alpha_{K}(0), \alpha_{M}(0)\} \leq max\{\alpha_{K}(u), \alpha_{M}(v)\} = \alpha_{K \times M}(k,m). \\ \text{And, } \zeta_{K \times M}(0,0) &= min\{\zeta_{K}(0), \zeta_{M}(0)\} \geq min\{\zeta_{K}(u), \zeta_{M}(v)\} = \zeta_{K \times M}(k,m). \\ \text{Now for any } (k_{1},m_{1}), (k_{2},m_{2}), (k_{3},m_{3}) \in U \times V, \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_3, m_3)) \\ &= &\alpha_{K \times M}(k_1 * k_3, m_1 * m_3) \\ &= &max\{\alpha_K(k_1 * k_3), \alpha_M(m_1 * m_3)\} \\ &\leq &max\{max\{\alpha_K(k_1 * (k_2 * k_3)), \alpha_K(k_2)\}, max\{\alpha_M(m_1 * (m_2 * m_3)), \alpha_M(m_2)\}\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= max\{max\{\alpha_{K}(k_{1} * (k_{2} * k_{3})), \alpha_{M}(m_{1} * (m_{2} * m_{3}))\}, max\{\alpha_{K}(k_{2}), \alpha_{M}(m_{2})\}\}$$
$$= max\{\alpha_{K \times M}\{(k_{1} * (k_{2} * k_{3})), (m_{1} * (m_{2} * m_{3}))\}, \alpha_{K \times M}(k_{2}, m_{2})\}$$
$$\leq max\{\alpha_{K \times M}((k_{1}, m_{1}) * ((k_{2}, m_{2}) * (k_{3}, m_{3}))), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_{2}, m_{2})\}.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_3, m_3)) \\ = \zeta_{K \times M}(k_1 * k_3, m_1 * m_3) \\ = min\{\zeta_K(k_1 * k_3), \zeta_M(m_1 * m_3)\} \\ \geq min\{min\{\zeta_K(k_1 * (k_2 * k_3)), \zeta_K(k_2)\}, min\{\zeta_M(m_1 * (m_2 * m_3)), \zeta_M(m_2)\}\} \\ = min\{min\{\zeta_K(k_1 * (k_2 * k_3)), \zeta_M(m_1 * (m_2 * m_3))\}, min\{\zeta_K(k_2), \zeta_M(m_2)\}\} \\ = min\{\zeta_{K \times M}\{(k_1 * (k_2 * k_3)), (m_1 * (m_2 * m_3))\}, \zeta_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\} \\ \geq min\{\zeta_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * ((k_2, m_2) * (k_3, m_3))), \zeta_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for all $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2), (k_3, m_3) \in U \times V, K \times M = (\alpha_{K \times M}, \zeta_{K \times M})$ is a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$.

The above Theorem is interpreted by the help of the example given below.

EXAMPLE 15. Let a BCI-algebra $U = \{0, i, j, k\}$ be considered in below tabulated form:

*	0	i	j	k
0	0	i	j	k
i	i	0	k	j
j	j	k	0	i
k	k	j	i	0

Let $K = (\alpha_K, \zeta_K)$ be a DIFH-ideal in U as defined by

U	0	i	j	k
α_K	0	0.3	0.2	0.3
ζ_K	1	0.7	0.8	0.7

Again, let $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be a DIFH-ideal in U as defined by

U	0	i	j	k
α_M	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.5
	0.8			

Obviously, $U \times U$ is also a BCI-algebra.

Here we get, $\alpha_{K \times M}(0,0) = \alpha_{K \times M}(j,0) = 0.2$, also, $\alpha_{K \times M}(0,i) = \alpha_{K \times M}(j,i) = \alpha_{K \times M}(i,i) = \alpha_{K \times M}(k,i) = 0.4$, again, $\alpha_{K \times M}(0,j) = \alpha_{K \times M}(0,k) = \alpha_{K \times M}(j,j) = \alpha_{K \times M}(j,k) = \alpha_{K \times M}(i,j) = \alpha_{K \times M}(i,k) = \alpha_{K \times M}(k,k) = \alpha_{K \times M}(k,j) = 0.5$. Again, $\alpha_{K \times M}(i,0) = \alpha_{K \times M}(k,0) = 0.3$.

Also, $\zeta_{K\times M}(0,0) = \zeta_{K\times M}(j,0) = 0.8$, also, $\zeta_{K\times M}(0,i) = \zeta_{K\times M}(j,i) = \zeta_{K\times M}(i,i) = \zeta_{K\times M}(k,i) = 0.6$, again, $\zeta_{K\times M}(0,j) = \zeta_{K\times M}(0,k) = \zeta_{K\times M}(j,j) = \zeta_{K\times M}(j,k) = \zeta_{K\times M}(i,j) = \zeta_{K\times M}(i,k) = \zeta_{K\times M}(k,k) = \zeta_{K\times M}(k,j) = 0.5$. Again, $\zeta_{K\times M}(i,0) = \zeta_{K\times M}(k,0) = 0.7$. Then clearly $K \times M$ is a DIFH-ideal in $U \times U$.

Theorem 3.3.5. Let $K = (\alpha_K, \zeta_K)$ and $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be two DIFH-ideal in U and V respectively. If $K \times M$ is a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$, then $K \times M$ must be a DIFSA in $U \times V$.

Proof. Since $K \times M$ is a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$, then for all $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2), (k_3, m_3) \in U \times V$, we have,

 $\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_3, m_3)) \le \max\{\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * ((k_2, m_2) * (k_3, m_3))), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\}.$ By putting $k_3 = m_3 = 0$, we get,

 $\alpha_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1) \leq \max\{\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2)), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\} \cdots (i)$ Again since, $((k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2)) \leq (k_1, m_1)$, for all $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2) \in U \times V$. Then, $\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2)) \leq \alpha_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1) \cdots (ii)$.

Hence from (i) and (ii) we get, $\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2)) \leq \alpha_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1) \leq \max\{\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2)), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\} \leq \max\{\alpha_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\},$

for all $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2) \in U \times V$.

In the similar manner we can prove that, $\zeta_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2)) \ge \min\{\zeta_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1), \zeta_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\}$ for all $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2) \in U \times V$. Thus $K \times M$ is a DIFSA in $U \times V$. \Box

But the reverse of Theorem 3.3.5 may not be hold in general.

Lemma 3.3.2. Let $K = (\alpha_K, \zeta_K)$ and $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be two DIFH-ideal in U and V respectively. If $K \times M$ is a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$, then the followings are true. (i) $\alpha_K(0) \leq \alpha_M(v)$ and $\alpha_M(0) \leq \alpha_K(u)$, for all $u \in U$, $v \in V$. (ii) $\zeta_K(0) \geq \zeta_M(v)$ and $\zeta_M(0) \geq \zeta_K(u)$, for all $u \in U$, $v \in V$.

Proof: Proof is same as Lemma3.3.1.

Lemma 3.3.3. In a BCK/BCI-algebra $U \times V$, let $K \times M = (\alpha_{K \times M}, \zeta_{K \times M})$ be a DIFH-ideal. If $(s,t) \leq (k,m)$, then $\alpha_{K \times M}(k,m) \leq \alpha_{K \times M}(s,t)$ and $\zeta_{K \times M}(k,m) \geq \zeta_{K \times M}(s,t)$, for all $(s,t), (k,m) \in U \times V$.

Proof: Let $(s,t), (k,m) \in U \times V$, such that $(s,t) \leq (k,m)$ implies (s,t) * (k,m) = (0,0). Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{K \times M}(k,m) &= & \alpha_{K \times M}((k,m) * (0,0)) \\ &\leq & max\{\alpha_{K \times M}((k,m) * ((s,t) * (0,0))), \alpha_{K \times M}(s,t)\} \\ &= & max\{\alpha_{K \times M}((k,m) * (s,t)), \alpha_{K \times M}(s,t)\} \\ &= & \alpha_{K \times M}(s,t). \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{K \times M}(k,m) &= \zeta_{K \times M}((k,m) * (0,0)) \\ &\geq \min\{\zeta_{K \times M}((k,m) * ((s,t) * (0,0))), \zeta_{K \times M}(s,t)\} \\ &= \min\{\zeta_{K \times M}((k,m) * (s,t)), \zeta_{K \times M}(s,t)\} \\ &= \zeta_{K \times M}(s,t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof ends.

Theorem 3.3.6. Let $K = (\alpha_K, \zeta_K)$ and $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be two DIFH-ideals in U and V. Then $\bigoplus (K \times M) = (\alpha_{K \times M}, \bar{\alpha}_{K \times M})$ is a DIFH-ideal of $U \times V$, where, $\bar{\alpha}_{K \times M} = 1 - \alpha_{K \times M}$.

Proof. Since by Theorem 3.3.4, $K \times M$ is a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$. Hence for $(k, m) \in U \times V$.

 $\alpha_{K \times M}(0,0) \leq \alpha_{K \times M}(k,m)$. Or, $1 - \alpha_{K \times M}(0,0) \geq 1 - \alpha_{K \times M}(k,m)$. That is $\bar{\alpha}_{K \times M}(0,0) \geq \bar{\alpha}_{K \times M}(k,m)$

Now for any $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2), (k_3, m_3) \in U \times V$, we have

 $\begin{aligned} &\alpha_{K\times M}((k_1,m_1)*(k_3,m_3)) \leq \max\{\alpha_{K\times M}((k_1,m_1)*((k_2,m_2)*(k_3,m_3))), \alpha_{K\times M}(k_2,m_2)\}.\\ &\operatorname{Mext} 1 - \alpha_{K\times M}((k_1,m_1)*(k_3,m_3)) \geq 1 - \max\{\alpha_{K\times M}((k_1,m_1)*((k_2,m_2)*(k_3,m_3))), \alpha_{K\times M}(k_2,m_2)\}.\\ &\operatorname{That} \operatorname{is}, \bar{\alpha}_{K\times M}((k_1,m_1)*(k_3,m_3)) \geq \min\{1 - \alpha_{K\times M}((k_1,m_1)*((k_2,m_2)*(k_3,m_3))), 1 - \alpha_{K\times M}(k_2,m_2)\}. \end{aligned}$

Finally, $\bar{\alpha}_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_3, m_3)) \ge \min\{\bar{\alpha}_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * ((k_2, m_2) * (k_3, m_3))), \bar{\alpha}_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\}.$ Hence, $\bigoplus(K \times M)$ is a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V.$

Theorem 3.3.7. Let $K = (\alpha_K, \zeta_K)$ and $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be two DIFH-ideals in U and V. Then $\bigotimes(K \times M) = (\bar{\zeta}_{K \times M}, \zeta_{K \times M})$ is a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$, where, $\bar{\zeta}_{K \times M} = 1 - \zeta_{K \times M}$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3.4, $K \times M$ is a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$. So for $(k,m) \in U \times V$. $\zeta_{K \times M}(0,0) \geq \zeta_{K \times M}(k,m)$. Hence, $1 - \zeta_{K \times M}(0,0) \leq 1 - \zeta_{K \times M}(k,m)$. That is $\bar{\zeta}_{K \times M}(0,0) \leq \bar{\zeta}_{K \times M}(k,m)$.

Now for any $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2), (k_3, m_3) \in U \times V$, we have

$$\begin{split} \zeta_{K\times M}((k_{1},m_{1})*(k_{3},m_{3})) &\geq \min\{\zeta_{K\times M}((k_{1},m_{1})*((k_{2},m_{2})*(k_{3},m_{3}))),\zeta_{K\times M}(k_{2},m_{2})\}.\\ \text{Mext } 1 - \zeta_{K\times M}((k_{1},m_{1})*(k_{3},m_{3})) \\ &\leq 1 - \min\{\zeta_{K\times M}((k_{1},m_{1})*((k_{2},m_{2})*(k_{3},m_{3}))),\zeta_{K\times M}(k_{2},m_{2})\}.\\ \text{That is, } \bar{\zeta}_{K\times M}((k_{1},m_{1})*(k_{3},m_{3})) &\leq \max\{1 - \zeta_{K\times M}((k_{1},m_{1})*((k_{2},m_{2})*(k_{3},m_{3}))),1 - \zeta_{K\times M}(k_{2},m_{2})\}\\ . \text{ Finally, } \bar{\zeta}_{K\times M}((k_{1},m_{1})*(k_{3},m_{3})) \\ &\leq \max\{\bar{\zeta}_{K\times M}((k_{1},m_{1})*((k_{2},m_{2})*(k_{3},m_{3}))),\bar{\zeta}_{K\times M}(k_{2},m_{2})\}.\\ \text{ So, } \bigotimes(K\times M) \text{ is a DIFH-ideal in } U\times V. \\ \Box$$

Theorem 3.3.8. Let $K = (\alpha_K, \zeta_K)$ and $M = (\alpha_M, \zeta_M)$ be two DIFH-ideals in BCKalgebras respectively U and V. Then $K \times M$ is a DIFH-ideals in BCK-algebras $U \times V$ if and only if $\bigoplus (K \times M) = (\alpha_{K \times M}, \bar{\alpha}_{K \times M})$ and $\bigotimes (K \times M) = (\bar{\zeta}_{K \times M}, \zeta_{K \times M})$ are DIFH-ideals in $U \times V$. *Proof.* The proof can be done at ease taking clues from Theorem 3.3.6 and Theorem 3.3.7.

Proposition 3.3.9. Let in a BCK-algebra $U \times V$ an IFS $K \times M = (\alpha_{K \times M}, \zeta_{K \times M})$ be a DIFH-ideal. Then $\alpha_{K \times M}((0,0) * ((0,0) * (k,m))) \leq \alpha_{K \times M}(k,m)$ and $\zeta_{K \times M}((0,0) * ((0,0) * (k,m))) \geq \zeta_{K \times M}(k,m), \forall (k,m) \in U \times V.$

Proof. This can be proved easily.

Provided that this proposition does not support for all *BCI*-algebra $U \times V$.

Corollary 3.3.1. Let $K \times M = (\alpha_{K \times M}, \zeta_{K \times M})$ be a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$. Then the sets, $D_{\alpha_{K \times M}} = \{(k, m) \in U \times V / \alpha_{K \times M}(k, m) = \alpha_{K \times M}(0, 0)\},\$ and $D_{\zeta_{K \times M}} = \{(k, m) \in U \times V / \zeta_{K \times M}(k, m) = \zeta_{K \times M}(0, 0)\}\$ are H-ideals in U.

Proof. Let $K \times M = (\alpha_{K \times M}, \zeta_{K \times M})$ be a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$. Obviously, $(0,0) \in D_{\alpha_K \times M}$ and $D_{\zeta_K \times M}$. Now, let $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2), (k_3, m_3) \in U \times V$, such that $(k_1, m_1) * ((k_2, m_2) * (k_3, m_3)), (k_2, m_2) \in D_{\alpha_K \times M}$. Then $\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * ((k_2, m_2) * (k_3, m_3))) = \alpha_{K \times M}(0, 0) = \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)$. Now, $\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_3, m_3)) \leq max\{\alpha_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1) * ((k_2, m_2) * (k_3, m_3)), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\} = \alpha_{K \times M}(0, 0)$.

Again, since $\alpha_{K \times M}$ is a DFH-ideal in $U \times V$, $\alpha_{K \times M}(0,0) \leq \alpha_{K \times M}((k_1,m_1)*(k_3,m_3))$. Therefore, $\alpha_{K \times M}(0) = \alpha_{K \times M}((k_1,m_1)*(k_3,m_3))$. It follows that, $((k_1,m_1)*(k_3,m_3)) \in D_{\alpha_{K \times M}}$, for all $(k_1,m_1), (k_2,m_2), (k_3,m_3) \in U \times V$. Therefore, $D_{\alpha_{K \times M}}$ is a H-ideal in $U \times V$. In the same manner we can prove $D_{\zeta_{K \times M}}$ is also an H-ideal in $U \times V$. \Box

Theorem 3.3.10. For a DIFH-ideal $K \times M$ in $U \times V$, either K or M is a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$.

Proof. Since $K \times M$ is a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$, then for all $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2), (k_3, m_3) \in U \times V$, we have

 $\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_3, m_3)) \le \max\{\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * ((k_2, m_2) * (k_3, m_3))), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\}.$ By putting $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 0$, we get,

 $\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, 0) * (k_3, 0)) \leq max\{\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, 0) * ((k_2, 0) * (k_3, 0))), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, 0)\} \cdots (i).$ Also we have, $\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, 0) * (k_3, 0)) = \alpha_{K \times M}((k_1 * k_3), (0 * 0)) = max\{\alpha_K(k_1 * k_3), \alpha_M(0 * 0)\} = \alpha_K(k_1 * k_3) \cdots (ii).$ similarly, $\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, 0) * ((k_2, 0) * (k_3, 0))) = \alpha_K(k_1 * (k_2 * k_3)) \cdots (iii).$

Again by using Lemma 3.3.2 we have, $max\{\alpha_{K\times M}(k_1,0),\alpha_{K\times M}(k_2,0)\}$

 $= max\{\alpha_K(k_1), \alpha_K(k_2)\}\cdots(iv). \ \alpha_{K\times M}(k_2, 0) = \alpha_K(k_2)\cdots(v).$

So from (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and(v) we get, $\alpha_K(k_1 * k_3) \le max\{\alpha_K(k_1 * (k_2 * k_3)), \alpha_K(k_2)\}.$

In the similar way we can proof, $\zeta_K(k_1 * k_3) \ge \min\{\zeta_K(k_1 * (k_2 * k_3)), \zeta_K(k_2)\}$. Hence K is a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$.

3.4 Upper and lower level sets

Definition 3.4.1. Let in a BCK/BCI-algebra $U \times V$, $K \times M = (\alpha_{K \times M}, \zeta_{K \times M})$ be a DIFH-ideal, and $a, b \in [0, 1]$, Then UC of level a and LC of level b of $K \times M$, are as followes:

$$\alpha_{K\times M,a}^{\leq} = \{(k,m) \in (U \times V) / \alpha_{K\times M}(k,m) \leq a\}$$

and $\zeta_{K\times M,b}^{\geq} = \{(k,m) \in (U \times V) / \zeta_{K\times M}(k,m) \geq b\}.$

Theorem 3.4.1. Let $K \times M = (\alpha_{K \times M}, \zeta_{K \times M})$ be an IFS in $U \times V$, then $K \times M$ is a DIFSA in $U \times V$ iff for any $a, b \in [0, 1]$, UC of level a and LC of level b of $K \times M$ are SAs in $U \times V$.

Proof. Assume that $K \times M$ be an IFS in $U \times V$. Now for any $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2) \in \alpha_{K \times M, a}^{\leq}$, we have $\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) \leq a$ and also $\alpha_{K \times M}((k_2, m_2) \leq a$. Again let $K \times M$ is a DIFSA in $U \times V$, then

$$\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2)) \leq max\{\alpha_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\}$$
$$\leq max(a, a)$$
$$= a$$

Therefore it implies that $(k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2) \in \alpha_{K \times M, a}^{\leq}$.

Similarly, for any $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2) \in \zeta_{K \times M, b}^{\geq}$, we have $\zeta_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) \geq b$ and also $\zeta_{K \times M}((k_2, m_2) \geq b$. then

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2)) &\geq \min\{\zeta_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1), \zeta_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\} \\ &\geq \min(b, b) \\ &- b \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it implies that $(k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2) \in \zeta_{K \times M, b}^{\geq}$. Hence, UC of level a and LC of level b of $K \times M$, are SAs in $U \times V$. Conversely, let UC of level a and LC of level b of $K \times M$, are SAs of BCK/BCIalgebra $U \times V$ and if possible also let $K \times M$ is not a DIFSA in $U \times V$. Then there exist $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2) \in U \times V$, such that $\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1)*(k_2, m_2)) > max\{\alpha_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\}$. Now let $a_0 = \frac{1}{2}\{\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1)*(k_2, m_2)) + max\{\alpha_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\}$. This implies, $\alpha_{K \times M}((k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2)) > a_0 > max\{\alpha_{K \times M}(k_1, m_1), \alpha_{K \times M}(k_2, m_2)\}$. So $(k_1, m_1) * (k_2, m_2) \notin \alpha_{K \times M, a}^{\leq}$. But $(k_1, m_1), (k_2, m_2) \in \alpha_{K \times M, a}^{\leq}$, which is a contradiction. Thus it proves that $K \times M$ is a DIFSA in $U \times V$. Hence the proof ends. \Box

Theorem 3.4.2. If for $a, b \in [0, 1]$, $\alpha_{K \times M, a}^{\leq}$ and $\zeta_{K \times M, b}^{\geq}$ be either contain no elements or form an H-ideals in $U \times V$. Then $K \times M$ is a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$.

Proof. Staightforward

3.5 Summary

The chapter includes introduction of the concept of DIFH-ideals in BCK/BCIalgebras and a study on its essential properties. It also exhibits an extension of the notion of the DP of IFSs to the DP of two DIFSAs and two DIFH-ideals of two BCK/BCI-algebras U and V. For any numbers of BCK-algebra same can be made more widespread. It is mathematically settled that for two DIFH-ideals in U and V, the DP of them is also a DIFH-ideal in $U \times V$. But the reverse may not hold. We have also found that the DP of two IFSs becomes DIFH-ideal and DIFSA if for any $a, b \in [0, 1]$ UC of level a and LC of level b of that IFSs are H-ideals or SAs in BCK-algebra $U \times V$.