## List of Tables

Description	Page no.
Table 5.6.A: Modified BG Prasad scale (Singh et. al., 2017)	40
Table 5.7.1.A: classification of stress according to CSI values	41
Table 5.7.2.A: Classification of stress (Psychological) according to occupational	42
stress index (Srivastava and Singh, 1984)	
<b>Table 5.7.3.A:</b> Heat stress situation prediction based on WBGT index, workload and	43
work/rest regime (Source: OSHA, 2017)	10
<b>Table 6.1</b> A: Height, weight and BMI of the Adult subjects in terms of Mean $\pm$ SD	49
<b>Table 6.1 B:</b> Height, weight and BMI of the children subjects in terms of Mean $\pm$ SD	50
Table 6.2 A: Motion stereotype (% of respondents) for Rotary control-Analog	54
display operation of all the adult subjects	
Table 6.2 B: Motion stereotype (% of respondents) for rocker switch-electric light	55
unit operation of all the adult subjects	
Table 6.2 D: Index of reversibility (IR) of all the control-display units for adults	56
<b>Table 6.2 E:</b> Mean response initiation time (ms) of adult for operation of all the	57
control display units	
Table 6.2 F: Comparison of motion stereotype (% of respondents) for Rotary	57
control-Analog display operation between adult male and female subjects	58
Table 6.2 G: Comparison of motion stereotype (%) for rocker switch-electric light	58
unit operation among between the adult (n=2600) male and female (n=2628) subjects	
Table 6.2 H: comparison of Index of reversibility (IR) between the adult male and	59
female for all analog display tested in the study	
Table 6.2 I: Comparison of motion stereotype (%) for digital display operation	60
between the adult male and female	
<b>Table 6.2 J:</b> comparison of Index of reversibility (IR) for all the digital displays	61
between the adults male and female	
<b>Table 6.2 K:</b> Mean response initiation time (ms) of adult male and female subjects	62
for operation of the entire control display units.	
Table 6.2 L: Comparison of Motion stereotype (% of respondents) for Rotary	63
control-Analog display operation between adult and children	
Table 6.2 M: Comparison of Motion stereotype (%) for rocker switch-electric light	63
unit operation between adult and Children	
Table 6.2 N: Comparison of Index of reversibility (IR) for all the analog control-	64
display units between adult and children	
Table 6.2 O: Comparison of motion stereotype (%) for digital display operation	65
between adult subjects (n=4887) and children subjects (n=386)	
Table 6.2 P: Comparison of Index of reversibility (IR) for all the digital displays	67
between adults (n=4887) and children (n=386)	
Table 6.2 Q: Comparison of Mean response initiation time (ms) between adult and	67
children subjects during operation of the entire control display unit	78
Table 6.2 R: Pattern of motion stereotypes found in the study for Bengali (Indian)	68
population (adult and children)	
Table 6.2 S: Comparison of general stereotypes found for two similar control-display	68

units among Chinese, American (Yu and Chan, 2004) and Bengali (Indian) population	
<b>Table 6.3 A:</b> Percentage (%) of color stereotype (for different criteria) among all the subjects (n=3627)	75
Table 6.3 B: Comparison of color stereotype (percentage of respondents) for	77
criterion I between male (n=1987) and female (n=1640) subjects	
Table 6.3 C: Comparison of color stereotype (percentage of respondents) for	78
criterion II between male (n=1987) and female (n=1640) subjects	
Table 6.3 D: Comparison of color stereotype (for criterion III) percentage (%)	79
between male (1987) and female (1640) subjects	
Table 6.3 E: Comparison of color stereotype (for concept IV) percentage (%)	80
between male (1987) and female (1640) subjects	
Table 6.3 F: Comparison of color stereotype (percentage of respondents) for	81
criterion I (thermal sensation of colour) between females of urban and rural areas	
Table 6.3 G: Comparison of color stereotype (percentage of respondents) for	82
criterion II (colour impression for on and off response) between females of urban and	
rural areas	
Table 6.3 H: Comparison of color stereotype (percentage of respondents) for	83
criterion III (colour for safe and danger zones) between females of urban and rural	
areas	
Table 6. 3 I: Comparison of color stereotype (percentage of respondents) for concept	84
IV (colour for traffic signal) between females of urban and rural areas	0.4
Table 6.3 J: Comparison of color stereotype (percentage of respondents) for concept	84
I (thermal sensation of colours) between males of urban and rural areas	0.6
Table 6.3 K: Comparison of color stereotype (percentage of respondents) for concept   United and the state of t	86
II (colours for on and off response) between males of urban and rural areas	07
<b>Table 6.3 L</b> : Comparison of color stereotype (percentage of respondents) for concept	87
III (colour for safe and danger zones) percentage (%) between males of urban and	
rural areas	00
<b>Table 6.3 M:</b> Comparison of color stereotype (percentage of respondents) for	88
concept IV (colour for traffic signal) between males of urban and rural areas	91
<b>Table 6.4 A:</b> Classification stress according to CSI values <b>Table 6.4 B:</b> Heart Rate in resting (n=44) and after work (n=44) condition	91 92
<b>Table 6.4 C:</b> Percentage of workers under different cardiovascular stress levels	92 92
Table 0.4 C. Percentage of workers under different cardiovascular suess levels   Table 6.4 D: Response initiation time (ms) for control display operation at different	92 92
stress levels	92
<b>Table 6.4 E:</b> Comparison of direction of motion stereotype (% of respondents) of the	93
factory workers for different sets of rotary control- display operation at normal	95
resting state and different levels of physiological stress	
Table 6.4 F: Comparison of direction of motion stereotypes (% of respondent) of the	94
factory workers for different sets rocker switch-electric light operation ("on"	74
response) at normal resting state and different levels of physiological stress	
Table 6.4 G: The indexes of reversibility (IR) for all the control-display	95
configurations in different stress conditions	))
<b>Table 6.4 H:</b> Percent difference of IR of the subjects from different stress level for	95
all the control-display units operation	))
an the control-display units operation	

<b>Table 6.4 I:</b> Thermal parameters recorded during different bell metal processing jobs	99
Table 6.4 J: Comparison of Motion stereotype (% of respondents) of the subjects	100
without heat stress and the subjects at higher heat stress for different sets of rotary	100
control -analog display units	
Table 6.4 K: Comparison of motion stereotype (% of respondents) of the subjects	101
without heat stress and the subjects at higher heat stress for different sets of rocker	101
switch-electric light unit operation	
<b>Table 6.4 L:</b> Comparison of index of reversibility (IR) of the subjects from high heat	101
stress and no heat stress for rotary control analog display units operation	101
<b>Table 6.4 M: comparison of</b> mean response initiation time (sec) for all control-	102
display unit operation	102
	104
<b>Table 6.4</b> N: Distribution of the subjects (n=100) according to the OSI (occupational stress index) scores (Srivastava and Singh, 1984)	104
	104
<b>Table 6.4 O:</b> Motion stereotype (% of respondents) of the subjects under low, moderate and high job related psychological stress for the operation of rotary control-	104
analog display Table 6.4. B: Motion storeotyme (% of respondents) of the subjects under low	105
<b>Table 6.4 P</b> : Motion stereotype (% of respondents) of the subjects under low, moderate and high job related psychological stress for the operation of different	105
rocker switch-electric light unit operation	106
<b>Table 6.4 Q:</b> Motion stereotype (% of respondents) of the subjects under low,	100
moderate and high job related psychological stress for the operation of different	
digital display unit operation	107
<b>Table 6.4 R:</b> Mean Response Initiation time (ms) of the subjects under low, moderate	107
and high job related psychological stress for the operation of all the control-display	
unit operation Table $(AS)$ Index of Bouersibility (IB) of the subjects under low moderate and high	107
<b>Table 6.4 S</b> : Index of Reversibility (IR) of the subjects under low, moderate and high	107
job related psychological stress for the operation of all the control-display unit	
operation <b>Table 6.5 A:</b> Direction of motion and chosen position (Y-ZR, Y-ZL, X-Y, X-Z	112
Plane) of rotary switches for the operation of digital and analog display with	112
spatially arranged rotary switches for adult male ( $n=250$ ) and female ( $n=250$ ) subjects	
<b>Table 6.5 B</b> : Index of Reversibility of adult male (n=250) and female (n=250)	113
subjects for the operation of digital and analog display with spatially arranged rotary	115
switches	
<b>Table 6.5 C:</b> Mean response initiation time (ms) of adult male (n=250) and female	114
(n=250) subjects for the operation of the digital and analog display with spatially	114
arranged rotary switches	
Table 6.6 A: According to hand grip strength frequency and percentage of left	116
handed and right handed subjects	110
<b>Table 6.6 B.</b> Comparison of motion stereotype (%) between right hander and left	117
hander adult subjects (n=1000) for different sets of rotary control- display	11/
combinations	
Table 6.6 C: comparison of motion stereotype (%) between right hander and left	118
hander adult subjects ( $n=1000$ ) for different sets of digital displays	110
<b>Table 6.6 D.</b> comparison of motion stereotype (%) between the right hander and left	119
Table 0.0 D. comparison of motion screetype (%) between the right hander and left	11)

hander adult subjects (n=1000) for different sets of rocker switch-electric light	
combinations	100
<b>Table 6.6 E.</b> Comparison of the index of reversibility (IR) of right hander and left	120
hander adult (1000) subjects for all the control-display configurations tested in this	
	101
Table 6.6 F: Percent (%) difference of IR of right hander and left hander subjects for	121
all the control-display configurations tested in this study	100
Table 6.6 G: Mean Response Time (ms) of the right hander and left hander subjects	122
for the operation of all the control display units	105
<b>Table6.7</b> A: Classification of the adult (n=5228) subjects according to modified B. G. Prasad	125
Socio economic scale (Singh et. al, 2017) Table 6.7. B: Variation in motion starostyme (% of respondents) of the adult male	105
<b>Table 6.7 B: Variation in</b> motion stereotype (% of respondents) of the adult male	125
subjects (n=2600) from different social class for Rotary control-Analog display	
operation Table 67 C: Variation in motion starseture (% of respondents) of adult male	107
<b>Table 6.7 C:</b> Variation in motion stereotype (% of respondents) of adult male $(n = 2600)$ subjects from different appeal class for all the realizer switch electric light	127
(n=2600) subjects from different social class for all the rocker switch- electric light	
unit operation Table (7 D) varieties in Index of reversibility (D) of the adult male $(n-2600)$ subjects	
<b>Table 6.7 D:</b> variation in Index of reversibility (IR) of the adult male (n=2600) subjects from different again along for all the control display units operation	128
from different social class for all the control-display units operation Table 6.7 F: Dercent difference of IP of all the adult male $(n=2600)$ subjects among	100
<b>Table 6.7 E:</b> Percent difference of IR of all the adult male (n=2600) subjects among different again along for all the control display units	128
different social classes for all the control-display units Table 6.7 F: Mean remonse initiation time (me) of adult male $(n-2600)$ subjects	100
<b>Table 6.7 F:</b> Mean response initiation time (ms) of adult male (n=2600) subjects	129
during operation of all control display units Table $(7, C)$ . Variation in motion storestyne (9), of respondente) of the adult famile	
<b>Table 6.7 G:</b> Variation in motion stereotype (% of respondents) of the adult female	130
(n=2628) subjects from different social class for rotary control-Analog display	
operation Table $(7 \text{ H})$ Variation in motion standards $(9)$ of more adapted of the adult famile	1.2.1
<b>Table 6.7 H:</b> Variation in motion stereotype (% of respondents) of the adult female	131
(n=2628) subjects from different social class for all the rocker switch- electric light	
unit operation <b>Table 6.7 I:</b> Index of reversibility (IR) of all the adult female (n=2628) subjects from	101
different social class for all the control-display units operation	131
<b>Table 6.7 J:</b> Percent (%) difference of IR values of all the adult female (n=2628)	100
	132
subjects between different social classes for all the control-display units operation <b>Table 6.7 K:</b> Comparison of mean response initiation time (ms) of the female	100
	133
subjects from all the social class for operation of all the control display units <b>Table 6.8 A:</b> Classification of the adult (n=5228) subjects according to their	107
educational level	137
	100
<b>Table 6.8 B:</b> Variation in motion stereotype (% of respondents) of the adult male $(n=2600)$ subjects from different education levels for Potery control Analog display	138
(n=2600) subjects from different education levels for Rotary control-Analog display	
operation Table 68 C: Variation in motion staracture (% of respondents) the adult male	100
<b>Table 6.8 C:</b> Variation in motion stereotype (% of respondents) the adult male $(n=2600)$ subjects from different education level for realizer switch electric light unit	139
(n=2600) subjects from different education level for rocker switch- electric light unit	
operation Table 6.8 D: Mean regroups initiation time (me) of adult male $(n-2600)$ subjects	
<b>Table 6.8 D:</b> Mean response initiation time (ms) of adult male (n=2600) subjects	140
during operation of all control display units Table 6.8 F: The Index of reversibility (IB) of the adult male $(n-2600)$ subjects from	
<b>Table 6.8 E:</b> The Index of reversibility (IR) of the adult male (n=2600) subjects from	140
different education levels for all the control-display units operation	

<b>Table 6.8 F:</b> Variation in motion stereotype (% of respondents) the adult female (n=2628) subjects from different education levels for Rotary control-Analog display	141
operation	
<b>Table 6.8 G:</b> Motion stereotype (%) for rocker switch- electric light unit operation	142
among all the adult female ( $n=2628$ ) subjects	172
<b>Table 6.7 H:</b> Mean response initiation time (ms) of adult female (n=2628) subjects during	142
operation of all the control display units	142
<b>Table 6.8 I:</b> Index of reversibility (IR) for all the control-display units of all the adult	143
female (n=2628) subjects	145
Table 6.9 A: distribution of the rural and urban male and female subjects in the	145
studied population	110
Table 6.9 B. Motion stereotype (%) of rural and urban subjects for different sets of	146
rotary control- display combinations	140
Table 6.9 C: Motion stereotype (%) of rural and urban subjects for different sets of	148
rocker switch-electric light combinations	140
Table 6.9 D. Comparison of the index of reversibility (IR) of rural and urban subjects	149
for all the control-display configurations tested in this study	147
<b>Table 6.9 E:</b> Percentage (%) difference of IR of rural and urban subjects for all the	149
control-display configurations tested	147
Table 6.9 F: Mean Response Time (ms) of the rural and urban subjects for the	150
operation of all the control display units	150
<b>Table 6.10 A:</b> Gender distribution (frequency and percentage) of the subjects	154
volunteered for the study	134
Table 6.10 B: Direction of motion stereotype (%) of all the subjects for completing	157
the tasks assigned to them	157
Table 6.10 C: Response initiation time (ms) of all the subjects for completing the	157
tasks assigned to them	157
Table 6.10 D: Response (%) of the subjects for the questions asked to them for	159
modification of conventional gas oven control	157
Table 6.10 E: Comparison of Direction of motion stereotype (%) for the gas oven	163
nonusers for operation of conventional and modified gas oven operation	105
Table 6.10 F: Comparison of Response initiation time (ms) of the non user of gas	163
oven for operation of conventional and modified gas oven operation	105
Table 6.10 G: Comparison of Direction of motion stereotype (%) of the regular users	164
of gas oven for the operation of conventional and modified gas oven burner	10-
Table 6.10 H: Comparison of Response initiation time (ms) of the regular users of	165
gas oven for operation of conventional and modified gas oven burner	105
<b>Table 6.10.I:</b> Comparison of % of error during completing assigned tasks of gas oven	166
operation between gas oven users and gas oven non users for conventional and	100
modified gas oven	
Table 7.A: Dominant colour preference/ colour stereotypes of the Bengali (Indian)	170
population	1/0
<b>Table 8.A</b> : control-display units fabricated and tested in the present study	174
	1/4
	1