

On H-hyper Connected Spaces

P. Vimala Devi

The Standard Fireworks Rajaratnam College for Women
Sivakasi, Tamilnadu, India

E-mail: vimalkathir15@gmail.com

Received 2 December 2018; accepted 23 December 2018

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we define H-hyper connected spaces in generalized topological spaces with hereditary class H and we prove the characterizations of H-hyper connected spaces using μ -open, μ -rare and σ -open sets. Also, we give the relation between μ -hyper connected and H-hyper connected spaces.

Keywords: μ -hyper connected spaces, H-resolvable spaces, H-hyper connected spaces, μ -rare and μ -dense set.

Mathematical Subject Classification (2010): 54D05

1. Introduction

In 2007, Csaszar [1] introduced a class of subsets of a nonempty set called hereditary class and studied modification of generalized topology via hereditary classes. A subfamily μ of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is called a generalized topology (GT) [2] if $\emptyset \in \mu$ and μ is closed under arbitrary union. The pair (X, μ) is called a generalized topological space (GTS). The elements of μ are called as μ -open sets. The compliments of μ -open sets are called as μ -closed sets. The largest μ -open set contained in a subset A of X is denoted by $i_\mu(A)$ [1] and is called μ -interior of A . The smallest μ -closed set containing A is called the μ -closure of A and is denoted by $c_\mu(A)$. If (X, μ) is a generalized topological space, then M_μ denotes the union of all elements of μ [3]. A GTS (X, μ) is said to be strong if $X \in \mu$. A GT μ is said to be a quasi topology on X if $M, N \in \mu$ implies $M \cap N \in \mu$ [4]. A subset A of X is said to be μ -rare or μ -nowhere dense if $i_\mu c_\mu(A) = \emptyset$. The family of all μ -rare sets is denoted by $H_r(\mu)$. For a subset A of X , $A \in \sigma$ (resp. $A \in \pi$) if $A \subset c_\mu i_\mu(A)$ (resp. $A \subset i_\mu c_\mu(A)$). A hereditary class H of X is a nonempty collection of subset of X such that $A \subseteq B, B \in H$ implies $A \in H$ [1]. A hereditary class H of X is an ideal [5,6] if $A \cup B \in H$ whenever $A \in H$ and $B \in H$. With respect to the generalized topology μ and a hereditary class H , for each subset A of X , a subset $A^*(H)$ or simply A^* of X is defined by

$A^* = \{x \in X / M \cap A \notin H\}$ for every $M \in \mu$ containing x [1]. Also, for every $A \subseteq X$, $c_\mu^*(A)$ is defined as $c_\mu^*(A) = A \cup A^*$ which induces a GTS μ^* finer than μ and is defined as $\mu^* = \{A \subseteq X / c_\mu^*(X - A) = X - A\}$ [1]. The elements of μ^* are known as μ^* -open sets and a subset A of (X, μ^*) is called μ^* -closed if $X - A$ is μ^* -open. A subset A of X is said to be σ - H -open (resp. π - H -open) if $A \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$ (resp. $A \subset i_\mu c_\mu^*(A)$)[1]. A hereditary class H is said to be μ -condense [8] if $\pi(\mu) \cap H = \{\emptyset\}$. A subset A of a GTS (X, μ) with a hereditary class H is called μ -dense (resp. μ^* -dense) if $c_\mu(A) = X$ (resp. $c_\mu^*(A) = X$). A GTS (X, μ) is called μ -hyper connected [7] if every nonempty μ -open set G of X is μ -dense. The following Lemmas will be useful in the sequel and we use some of the results without mentioning it, when the context is clear.

Lemma 1.1. [4, Theorem 2.2] If (X, μ) is a quasi topological space, then $i_\mu(A \cap B) = i_\mu(A) \cap i_\mu(B)$ for $A, B \subset X$.

Lemma 1.2. [8, Theorem 2.1] Let (X, μ) be a GTS with a hereditary class H . Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) H is μ -condense.
- (b) $X = X^*$

Lemma 1.3. [3] Let (X, μ) be a GTS with a hereditary class H . If $A \in H$, then $A^* = X - M_\mu$.

Lemma 1.4. [9, Lemma 1.3] If (X, μ) is a quasi topological space and $A \subset X$, then $G \cap c_\mu(A) \subset c_\mu(G \cap A)$ for every $G \in \mu$.

Lemma 1.5. [3, Theorem 3.8] The set $M - H (M \in \mu, H \in H)$ constitute a base B for μ^* .

Lemma 1.6. [8, Theorem 2.9] Let (X, μ) be a GTS with hereditary classes H and S on X . If $H \subset S$, then $A^*(S) \subset A^*(H)$ for every subset A of X .

Lemma 1.7. [11, Theorem 2.14] Let (X, μ) be a quasi topological space and H be an ideal. Then $A^* - B^* = (A - B)^* - B^*$.

2. H -Hyper connected Spaces

A subset A of a GTS (X, μ) is said to be H -dense if $A^* = X$.

Theorem 2.1. Every H -dense set is μ^* -dense.

On H-hyper connected spaces

Proof: Let A be a H -dense subset of X . Then $A^* = X$ and so $c_\mu^*(A) = A \cup A^* = X$. Therefore, A is μ^* -dense.

The following example shows that the converse of theorem 2.1 need not be true in general.

Example 2.2. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\{\phi\}, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ and $H = \{\{\phi\}, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$. If $A = \{a, b, c\}$, then A is μ^* -dense and $A^* = \{b, c, d\}$.

Definition 2.3. A space (X, μ) with a hereditary class H is called H -hyper connected if every nonempty μ -open set is H -dense.

Clearly, every H -hyper connected space is μ -hyper connected. Example 2.4 shows that the converse need not be true in general and Theorem 2.5 shows that if X is μ -hyper connected space with a μ -condense hereditary class H , then X is H -hyper connected.

Example 2.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\{\phi\}, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ and $H = \{\{\phi\}, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$. Clearly, X is μ -hyper connected space. If $A = \{a\}$, then A is a nonempty μ -open set and $c_\mu^*(A) = \{a, d\}$. Hence X is not a H -hyper connected space.

Theorem 2.5. Let (X, μ) be a quasi topological space with a hereditary class H . Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) X is H -hyper connected.
- (b) X is μ -hyper connected and H is μ -codense.

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b) Suppose X is H -hyper connected. Clearly, X is μ -hyper connected. Let U be a nonempty set such that $U \in \mu \cap H$. Since $U \in \mu$, by hypothesis, $U^* = X$. Also, by Lemma 1.3, $U \in H$ implies that $U^* = X - M_\mu$. Therefore, $X = X - M_\mu$ and so $X \cap M_\mu = \phi$ which implies that $M_\mu = \phi$, a contradiction to $\phi \neq U \in \mu$ and so $U \notin \mu \cap H$. Hence H is a μ -codense hereditary class.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) Let $\phi \neq U \in \mu$ and $x \in X$. Suppose $x \notin U^*$. Then there exists a μ -open set G containing x such that $U \cap G \in H$. Also, $U \cap G \in \mu$ and so $U \cap G = \phi$. Hence $x \notin c_\mu(U)$, a contradiction to X is μ -hyper connected. Therefore, $U^* = X$ and hence X is H -hyper connected.

Proposition 2.6. Let (X, μ) be a generalized topological space with a hereditary class H . Then A is σ - H -open if and only if there exists μ -open set G such that

$$G \subset A \subset c_{\mu}^*(G).$$

Proof: Suppose A is σ -H-open. Then $A \subset c_{\mu}^* i_{\mu}(A)$. Let $G = i_{\mu}(A)$. Then $i_{\mu}(A) \subset A \subset c_{\mu}^* i_{\mu}(A)$ implies that $G \subset A \subset c_{\mu}^*(G)$. Conversely, suppose that there exists μ -open set G such that $G \subset A \subset c_{\mu}^*(G)$. Then $G \subset A \subset c_{\mu}^* i_{\mu}(G) \subset c_{\mu}^* i_{\mu}(A)$ implies that A is a σ -H-open set.

The following Theorem 2.7, Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.9 give the properties of H-hyper connected spaces.

Theorem 2.7. Let (X, μ) be H-hyper connected. If A contains a nonempty μ -open set, then A is σ -H-open.

Proof: Let A be a nonempty set such that A contains a nonempty μ -open set G. Now X is H-hyper connected implies that $G^* = X$. Hence $c_{\mu}^*(G) = X$. Therefore, $G \subset A \subset c_{\mu}^*(G)$ and so A is σ -H-open, by Proposition 2.6.

Theorem 2.8. If (X, μ, H) is H-hyper connected and $\mu_1 \subset \mu$ is a GT on X, then (X, μ_1, H) is H-hyper connected.

Proof: Suppose that (X, μ, H) is H-hyper connected. Therefore, every nonempty μ -open set is H-dense. Let $\mu_1 \subset \mu$. Let G be a μ_1 -open set and so it is a μ -open set. Therefore, $G^*(\mu, H) = X$. Since $\mu_1 \subset \mu$, by Lemma 1.6, $G^*(\mu, H) \subset G^*(\mu_1, H)$. Therefore, $G^*(\mu_1, H) = X$. Hence (X, μ_1, H) is H-hyper connected.

Theorem 2.9. Let (X, μ) be a quasi topological space with an ideal H. Then (X, μ, H) is H-hyper connected if and only if (X, μ^*, H) is H-hyper connected.

Proof: Suppose that (X, μ^*, H) is H-hyper connected. Since $\mu \subset \mu^*$, by Theorem 2.8, (X, μ, H) is H-hyper connected. On the other hand, let G be a nonempty μ^* -open set. Since $\beta = \{U - I : U \in \mu, I \in H\}$ is a base for μ^* , $U - I \subset G$ for some $U \in \mu$ and $I \in H$. Now (X, μ, H) is H-hyper connected implies that $\mu^* = X$. Therefore, by Lemma 1.7,

$$\begin{aligned} X - I^* &= U^* - I^* = (U - I)^* - I^* = (U - I)^* - (X - M_{\mu}) \\ &= (U - I)^* \cap M_{\mu} \subset (U - I)^* \subset G^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(X - (X - M_{\mu})) \subset G^*$ and so $M_{\mu} \subset G^*$. Also, $X - M_{\mu} \subset G^*$. Hence $M_{\mu} \cup X - M_{\mu} \subset G^*$ and so $X \subset G^*$ which implies that $X = G^*$ where G is nonempty μ^* -open set. Hence (X, μ^*, H) is H-hyper connected.

On H-hyper connected spaces

The following Theorem 2.10 gives the characterization of H – hyper connected spaces using μ – open sets

Theorem 2.10. Let (X, μ) be a GTS with a hereditary class H. Then X is H – hyper connected if and only if for each nonempty μ – open sets U and V of X, $U \cap V \notin H$.

Proof: Let U and V be any nonempty μ – open subset of X. Now X is H – hyper connected implies that $U^* = X$. Hence $U \cap V \notin H$. Conversely, suppose that X is not H – hyper connected. Therefore, there exists a nonempty μ – open set U such that $U^* \neq X$. Hence there exists $x \in X$ such that $x \notin U^*$ and so there exists a μ – open set V containing x such that $U \cap V \in H$, a contradiction. Therefore, U is H – dense. Hence X is H – hyper connected.

Theorem 2.11. Let (X, μ) be a GTS with a hereditary class H. If X is H – hyper connected, then the following hold.

- (a) $i_\mu c_\mu^*(A) = M_\mu$ for every nonempty π – H – open set A of X.
- (b) Every nonempty π – H – open set is μ – dense.

Proof: (a) Let A be a nonempty π – H – open set. Then $i_\mu c_\mu^*(A)$ is a nonempty μ – open set. Therefore, by hypothesis, $(i_\mu c_\mu^*(A))^* = X$ and so $c_\mu^* i_\mu c_\mu^*(A) = X$. Also, A is a π – H – open set implies that $c_\mu^*(A) = c_\mu^* i_\mu c_\mu^*(A)$ which in turn implies that $c_\mu^*(A) = X$. Hence $i_\mu c_\mu^*(A) = i_\mu(X) = M_\mu$.

Therefore, $i_\mu c_\mu^*(A) = M_\mu$ for every nonempty π – H – open set A of X.

(b) Suppose A is a nonempty π – H – open set. Then by (a), $i_\mu c_\mu^*(A) = M_\mu$. Also, A is a π – H – open set implies that $c_\mu(A) = c_\mu i_\mu c_\mu^*(A)$ and so $c_\mu(A) = c_\mu i_\mu c_\mu^*(A) = c_\mu(M_\mu) = X$. Therefore, A is μ – dense.

Theorem 2.12. Let (X, μ) be a quasi topological space with a hereditary class H and $A \subset X$. Then the following hold.

- (a) $i_{\sigma-H}(A) = A \cap c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$.
- (b) $c_{\sigma-H}(A) = A \cup i_\mu^* c_\mu(A)$.

Proof:

(a) $A \cap c_\mu^* i_\mu(A) \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu(A) = c_\mu^*(i_\mu(A)) \cap i_\mu c_\mu^* i_\mu(A) = c_\mu^* i_\mu(A \cap c_\mu^* i_\mu(A))$, by Lemma 1.1. Hence $A \cap c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$ is a σ – H – open set. Hence

$$i_{\sigma-H}(A \cap c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)) = A \cap c_\mu^* i_\mu(A).$$

P. Vimala Devi

Now $A \cap c_\mu^* i_\mu(A) \subset A$ implies that $i_{\sigma-H}(A \cap c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)) \subset i_{\sigma-H}(A)$ and so $A \cap c_\mu^* i_\mu(A) \subset i_{\sigma-H}(A)$. Also, $i_{\sigma-H}(A)$ is $\sigma-H$ -open implies that $i_{\sigma-H}(A) \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu(i_{\sigma-H}(A)) \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$ and so $i_{\sigma-H}(A) \subset A \cap c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$. Hence $i_{\sigma-H}(A) = A \cap c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$.

(b) $c_{\sigma-H}(A) = X - i_{\sigma-H}(X - A)$ Hence by (a),

$$c_{\sigma-H}(A) = X - ((X - A) \cap c_\mu^* i_\mu(X - A)) = \\ (X - (X - A) \cup (X - c_\mu^* i_\mu(X - A))) = A \cup i_\mu^* c_\mu(A).$$

Therefore, $c_{\sigma-H}(A) = A \cup i_\mu^* c_\mu(A)$.

The following Theorem 2.13 gives the characterization of μ -hyper connected spaces using μ -dense, μ -rare and σ -open set.

Theorem 2.13. Let (X, μ) be a quasi topological space. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) X is μ -hyper connected.
- (b) For every subset A of X, either A is a μ -dense set or a μ -rare set.
- (c) $A \cap B \neq \phi$ for every nonempty μ -open sets A and B.
- (d) $A \cap B \neq \phi$ for every σ -open sets A and B of X.

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b) Suppose that X is μ -hyper connected space. Let $A \subset X$. Suppose A is not a μ -rare set. Then $i_\mu c_\mu(A) \neq \phi$. Since $i_\mu c_\mu(A)$ is a nonempty μ -open set by hypothesis $c_\mu i_\mu c_\mu(A) = X$. Hence $X = c_\mu i_\mu c_\mu(A) \subset c_\mu(A)$ implies that A is a μ -dense set

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Let A and B be μ -open sets. Suppose $A \cap B = \phi$. Now by Lemma 1.4, $A \cap c_\mu(B) \subset c_\mu(A \cap B) = c_\mu(\phi) = X - M_\mu$ implies that $A \cap c_\mu(B) \neq A$. Hence B is not a μ -dense set. Also, $\phi \neq B = i_\mu(B) \subset i_\mu c_\mu(B)$ implies that $i_\mu c_\mu(B) \neq \phi$ and so B is not a μ -rare set, a contradiction to our assumption. Therefore, $A \cap B \neq \phi$.

(c) \Rightarrow (d) Suppose that A and B are nonempty σ -open sets and $A \cap B = \phi$. Then there exists μ -open sets U and V such that $U \subset A \subset c_\mu(U)$ and $V \subset B \subset c_\mu(V)$. Now $U \cap V \subset A \cap B = \phi$ implies that $U \cap V = \phi$ where U and V are μ -open sets, a contradiction to our assumption. Therefore $A \cap B \neq \phi$.

(d) \Rightarrow (a) Suppose that A and B are μ -open sets. Then A and B are σ -open sets and so by assumption $A \cap B \neq \phi$. Hence $X \subset c_\mu(A)$ and $X \subset c_\mu(B)$. Therefore, A and B are μ -dense sets. Hence X is μ -hyper connected.

Theorem 2.14. Let (X, μ) be a quasi topological space. Let the family of all

On H-hyper connected spaces

non μ -dense sets is denoted by $H_0(\mu)$. If X is μ -hyper connected, then $H_0(\mu) = H_r(\mu)$.

Proof: Clearly, $H_r(\mu) \subset H_0(\mu)$. If possible, let $A \notin H_r(\mu)$. Then $i_\mu c_\mu(A) \neq \emptyset$.

Hence there exists $\phi \neq B \in \mu$ such that $B \subset c_\mu(A)$. Clearly, $X - B \in H_0(\mu)$. Now $c_\mu(A \cup (X - B)) = c_\mu(A) \cup c_\mu(X - B) = c_\mu(A) \cup (X - B) \supset B \cup (X - B) = X$ implies that $A \cup (X - B)$ is μ -dense, a contradiction to Theorem 2.17 of [10]. Hence $H_0(\mu) = H_r(\mu)$.

3. Conclusion

The main purpose of this paper was to present H-hyper connected spaces in generalized topological spaces with hereditary class H, highlighting the properties of H-hyper connected spaces. The possible generalization is plan to extend the study of H-hyper connected spaces using various subsets of generalized topological spaces with hereditary class H.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

1. A.Csaszar, Modification of generalized topologies via hereditary classes, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 115 (2007) 29-36.
2. A.Csaszar, Generalized open sets in generalized topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 106 (2005) 351-357.
3. A.Csaszar, Extremally disconnected generalized topologies, *Annals Univ. Sci. Budapest.*, 47 (2004) 91-96.
4. A.Csaszar, Remarks on quasi topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 119 (1-2) (2008) 197-200
5. K.Kuratowski, Warszawa, 1933.
6. K.Kuratowski, Topology I, *Academic Press*, New York, 1966.
7. E.Ekici, Generalized hyperconnectedness, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 133 (2011) 140-147
8. Sheena Scaria and V.Renukadevi, On hereditary classes in generalized topological spaces, *J. Adv. Res. Pure. Math.*, 3(2) (2011) 21-30.
9. V.Renukadevi and K.Karuppai, On modifications of generalized topologies via hereditary classes, *J. Adv. Res. Pure. Math.*, 2(2) (2010) 14-20.
10. V.Renukadevi, Remarks on generalized hyperconnectedness, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 136(3) (2012) 157-164
11. V.Renukadevi and P.Vimaladevi, Compatible hereditary classes in GTS, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 147(2) (2015) 259-271.