

## **Fixed Point Theorems in Strong Fuzzy Metric Spaces Using Generalized Altering Distance Function**

*A.Nagoor Gani<sup>1</sup> and M.Mohamed Althaf<sup>2</sup>*

PG & Research Department of Mathematics  
Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous), Tiruchirappalli-20, Tamilnadu, India  
E-mail: [1ganijmc@yahoo.co.in](mailto:1ganijmc@yahoo.co.in), [2althaf2maths@gmail.com](mailto:2althaf2maths@gmail.com)

*Received 20 May 2018; accepted 10 December 2018*

### **ABSTRACT**

In this paper we achieve unique fixed point theorems in strong fuzzy metric spaces by using altering distance function. Moreover some of the existing results in the literature.

**Keywords:** altering distance function, fuzzy metric, strong fuzzy metric space, continuous t-norm

### **1. Introduction**

Zadeh invented the theory of fuzzy sets in 1965 [12]. The theory of fuzzy set has been developed extensively by many authors in different fields such as control theory, engineering sciences neural networks, etc. The concept of fuzzy metric space was introduced initially by Kramosil and Michalek [7]. Later on, George and Veeramani [4] gives the modified notion of fuzzy metric spaces due to Kramosil and Michalek and analysed a Hausdorff topology of fuzzy metric spaces. Recently, Gregori et al. [7] gave many interesting examples of fuzzy metrics in the sense of George and Veeramani and have also applied these fuzzy metrics to colour image processing. In 1988, Grabiec [6] proved an analog of the Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces. In his proof, he used a fuzzy version of Cauchy sequence. Khan et al. propounded a new notion of Banach fixed point theorem in metric spaces by introducing a control function called an altering distance function in 1984[8]. Recently, Shen et al. [12] introduced the notion of control function in fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  is given by  $\varphi(M(Tx, Ty, t)) \geq k(t) \cdot \varphi(M(x, y, t))$ ,  $\forall x, y \in X, t > 0$ , obtained fixed point result for self-mapping  $T$ .

In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorems in the sense of strong fuzzy metric spaces in the above contraction by using generalized altering distance function, which are the generalization of some existing results in the literature.

### **2. Preliminaries**

The basic definitions are recalled here.

**Definition 2.1.** A fuzzy set  $\tilde{A}$  is defined by  $\tilde{A} = \{(x, \mu_A(x)): x \in A, \mu_A(x) \in [0, 1]\}$ . In the pair  $(x, \mu_A(x))$ , the first element  $x$  belongs to the classical set  $A$ , the second element  $\mu_A(x)$  belongs to the interval  $[0, 1]$ , is called the membership function.

**Definition 2.2.** A binary operation  $*$ :  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous t-norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $*$  is associative and commutative,
- (2)  $*$  is continuous,
- (3)  $a * 1 = a$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$ ,
- (4)  $a * b \leq c * d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  for all  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$

**Examples 2.3.**

- i. Lukasiewicz t-norm:  $a * b = \max\{a + b - 1, 0\}$
- ii. Product t-norm:  $a * b = a \cdot b$
- iii. Minimum t-norm:  $a * b = \min(a, b)$

**Definition 2.4.** A fuzzy metric space is an ordered triple  $(X, M, *)$  such that  $X$  is a nonempty set,  $*$  is a continuous t-norm and  $M$  is a fuzzy set on  $X \times X \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfies the following conditions:

- $\forall x, y, z \in X$  and  $s, t > 0$
- (KM1)  $M(x, y, 0) = 0, \forall t = 0$
  - (KM2)  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  if and only if  $x = y, t > 0$
  - (KM3)  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$
  - (KM4)  $M(x, z, t + s) \geq M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s)$
  - (KM5)  $M(x, y, \cdot): [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is left-continuous.
- Then  $M$  is called a fuzzy metric on  $X$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A fuzzy metric space is an ordered triple such that  $X$  is a nonempty set,  $*$  is a continuous t-norm and  $M$  is a fuzzy set on  $X \times X \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfies the following conditions:

- $\forall x, y, z \in X$  and  $s, t > 0$
- (GV1)  $M(x, y, t) > 0, \forall t > 0$
  - (GV2)  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  if and only if  $x = y, t > 0$
  - (GV3)  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$
  - (GV4)  $M(x, z, t + s) \geq M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s)$
  - (GV5)  $M(x, y, \cdot): (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous.
- Then  $M$  is called a fuzzy metric on  $X$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space.  $M$  is said to be strong if it satisfies the following additional axiom:

$$(GV4') M(x, z, t) \geq M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, t), x, y, z \in X, t > 0,$$

then  $(X, M, *)$  is called a strong fuzzy metric space.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space, for  $t > 0$  the open ball  $B(x, r, t)$  with a centre  $x \in X$  and a radius  $0 < r < 1$  is defined by

$$B(x, r, t) = \{y \in X : M(x, y, t) > 1 - r\}.$$

Fixed Point Theorems in Strong Fuzzy Metric Spaces Using Generalized Altering  
Distance Function

A subset  $A \subset X$  is called open if for each  $x \in A$ , there exist  $t > 0$  and  $0 < r < 1$  such that  $B(x, r, t) \subset A$ . Let  $\tau$  denote the family of all open subsets of  $X$ . Then  $\tau$  is topology on  $X$ , called the topology induced by the fuzzy metric  $M$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space

- (i) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be convergent to a point  $x$  in  $(X, M, *)$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ .
- (ii) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is called a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, M, *)$ , if for each  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and  $t > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon$  for each  $n, m \geq n_0$
- (iii) A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.
- (iv) A fuzzy metric space in which every sequence has a convergent subsequence is said to be compact.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. For all  $u, v \in X, M(u, v, \cdot)$  is non-decreasing function.

**Proof:** If  $M(u, v, t) > M(u, v, s)$  for some  $0 < t < s$ .

Then  $M(u, v, t) * M(v, v, s - t) \leq M(u, v, s) < M(u, v, t)$ ,

Thus  $M(u, v, t) < M(u, v, t) < M(u, v, t)$ , (since  $M(v, v, s - t) = 1$ )  
which is a contradiction. ■

**Definition 2.10.** A function  $\psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is an altering distance function if

- (i)  $\psi$  is monotone increasing and continuous
- (ii)  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$

### 3. Main results

**Definition 3.1.** A function  $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called control function or an altering distance function if it satisfies the following properties:

- (AD1)  $\varphi$  is strictly decreasing and continuous;
- (AD2)  $\varphi(\lambda) \geq 0, \forall \lambda \neq 1$  if  $\varphi(\lambda) = 0$  if and only if  $\lambda = 1$ .

It is obvious that  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1^-} \varphi(\lambda) = \varphi(1) = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a complete strong fuzzy metric space with continuous  $t$ -norm  $*$  and let  $T$  is a self-mapping in  $X$ . If there exists functions  $\psi_1, \psi_2: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$  and an altering distance function  $\varphi$  then  $\varphi(u) = \psi_1(u, u)$  such that

$$\varphi(M(Tu, Tv, t)) \geq \psi_1(N(u, v, t)) - \psi_2(N(u, v, t)) \quad (1)$$

where  $N(u, v, t) = (M(u, Tu, t)) * (M(v, Tv, t)) * (M(Tu, v, t)) * (M(u, Tv, t)) * (M(u, v, t)) * \{\max(M(u, Tu, t), M(v, Tv, t))\}$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $u$  be any arbitrary point in  $X$  and define a sequence  $\{u_n\} \in X$  such that  $u_{n+1} = Tu_n$ .

Assume that  $u_{n+1} = Tu_n = u_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $u_n$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

A.Nagoor Gani and M.Mohamed Althaf

Suppose  $u_{n+1} \neq u_n$ , put  $u = u_{n-1}$  and  $v = u_n$  in equation (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(M(Tu_{n-1}, Tu_n, t)) &\geq \psi_1(N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) - \psi_2(N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) \\ \varphi(M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) &\geq \psi_1(N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) - \psi_2(N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t) &= (M(u_{n-1}, Tu_{n-1}, t)) * (M(u_n, Tu_n, t)) * (M(Tu_{n-1}, u_n, t)) \\ &\quad * (M(u_{n-1}, Tu_n, t)) * (M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) \\ &\quad * \{\max(M(u_{n-1}, Tu_{n-1}, t), M(u_n, Tu_n, t))\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t) &= (M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) * (M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) * (M(u_n, u_n, t)) \\ &\quad * (M(u_{n-1}, u_{n+1}, t)) * (M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) \\ &\quad * \{\max(M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t), M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t))\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t) &= (M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) * (M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) * 1 * (M(u_{n-1}, u_{n+1}, t)) \\ &\quad * \{\max(M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t), M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t))\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t) &= (M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) * (M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) * (M(u_{n-1}, u_{n+1}, t)) \\ &\quad * \{\max(M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t), M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t))\} \end{aligned}$$

If  $\max(M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t), M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) = M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)$

$$\begin{aligned} N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t) &= (M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) * (M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) * (M(u_{n-1}, u_{n+1}, t)) \\ &\quad * M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t) = (M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) * (M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) * (M(u_{n-1}, u_{n+1}, t)) \quad (3)$$

Here  $(X, M, *)$  is a strong fuzzy metric space then we have

$$M(u_{n-1}, u_{n+1}, t) \geq M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t) * M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t) \quad (\text{by using (GV4')})$$

Using above inequalities in (3) we get

$$N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t) \geq (M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) * (M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) * M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t) * M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)$$

Which implies

$$N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t) \geq (M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) * (M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) \quad (4)$$

$$\Rightarrow N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t) \geq \min \{M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t), M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)\} \quad (\because a * b = \min(a, b))$$

If  $\min \{M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t), M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)\} = M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)$

$$N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t) \geq M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t) \quad (5)$$

Substitute (5) in (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) &\geq \psi_1(M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) - \psi_2(M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) \\ &> \psi_1(M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) = \varphi(M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This shows contradiction.

Therefore  $\min \{M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t), M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)\} = M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)$

Thus we have

Fixed Point Theorems in Strong Fuzzy Metric Spaces Using Generalized Altering  
Distance Function

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) &\geq \psi_1(M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) - \psi_2(M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) \\ &> \psi_1(M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) = \varphi(M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t))\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

This shows that the sequence  $\{M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)\}$  is non-decreasing

Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t) = 1, t > 0$

Next we prove that the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy's sequence.

Assume that  $\{u_n\}$  is not a Cauchy's sequence then for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1, t > 0$  then there exists sequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  and  $\{u_{m_k}\}$  where  $n_k, m_k \geq n$  and  $n_k, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $n_k > m_k$ )

$$\text{Such that } M(u_{n_k}, u_{m_k}, t) \leq 1 - \epsilon \quad (8)$$

Let  $n_k$  be least integer exceeding  $m_k$  satisfying the above property

$$\text{That is } M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t) > 1 - \epsilon, n_k, m_k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } t > 0 \quad (9)$$

Put  $u = u_{n_k-1}$  and  $v = u_{m_k-1}$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(M(Tu_{n_k-1}, Tu_{m_k-1}, t)) &\geq \psi_1(N(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t)) - \psi_2(N(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t)) \\ \varphi(M(u_{n_k}, u_{m_k}, t)) &\geq \psi_1(N(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t)) - \psi_2(N(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t))\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}N(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t) &= (M(u_{n_k-1}, Tu_{n_k-1}, t)) * (M(u_{m_k-1}, Tu_{m_k-1}, t)) \\ &* (M(Tu_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t)) * (M(u_{n_k-1}, Tu_{m_k-1}, t)) \\ &* (M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t)) \\ &* \{\max(M(u_{n_k-1}, Tu_{n_k-1}, t), M(u_{m_k-1}, Tu_{m_k-1}, t))\} \\ N(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t) &= \\ (M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t)) * (M(u_{m_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t)) * (M(u_{n_k}, u_{m_k-1}, t)) * \\ &(M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t)) * (M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t)) * \\ &\{\max(M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t), M(u_{m_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t))\}\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

If  $\max(M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t), M(u_{m_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t)) = M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t)$

$N(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t) =$

$$\begin{aligned}(M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t)) * (M(u_{m_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t)) * (M(u_{n_k}, u_{m_k-1}, t)) * \\ (M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t)) * (M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t)) * \\ M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t)\end{aligned}$$

A.Nagoor Gani and M.Mohamed Althaf

$$N(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t) = \left( M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{m_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{n_k}, u_{m_k-1}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t) \right) \quad (12)$$

By (GV4') it follows that

$$\left( M(u_{n_k}, u_{m_k-1}, t) \right) \geq \left( M(u_{n_k}, u_{m_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{m_k}, u_{m_k-1}, t) \right) \text{ and} \quad (13)$$

$$\left( M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t) \right) \geq \left( M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{n_k}, u_{m_k-1}, t) \right)$$

Applying the previous inequalities we get

$$\left( M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t) \right) \geq \left( M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{n_k}, u_{m_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{m_k}, u_{m_k-1}, t) \right) \quad (14)$$

Substituting (9), (13), and (14) in (12) we have

$$N(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t) \geq \left( M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{m_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{n_k}, u_{m_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{m_k}, u_{m_k-1}, t) \right) * (1 - \epsilon) * \left( M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{n_k}, u_{m_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{m_k}, u_{m_k-1}, t) \right)$$

$$N(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t) \geq \left( M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{m_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{n_k}, u_{m_k}, t) \right) * (1 - \epsilon) \quad (15)$$

Using (8) we obtain,

$$N(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t) \geq \left( M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{m_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t) \right) * (1 - \epsilon) * (1 - \epsilon)$$

$$N(u_{n_k-1}, u_{m_k-1}, t) \geq \left( M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{m_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t) \right) * (1 - \epsilon) \quad (16)$$

From (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi \left( M(u_{n_k}, u_{m_k}, t) \right) \\ & \geq \psi_1 \left( \left( M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{m_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t) \right) * (1 - \epsilon) \right) \\ & - \psi_2 \left( \left( M(u_{n_k-1}, u_{n_k}, t) \right) * \left( M(u_{m_k-1}, u_{m_k}, t) \right) * (1 - \epsilon) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in above inequality we obtain

$$\varphi(1 - \epsilon) \leq \psi_1(1 - \epsilon) - \psi_2(1 - \epsilon) < \varphi(1 - \epsilon) \quad (17)$$

which implies that we get a contradiction.

Hence  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy's sequence.

Fixed Point Theorems in Strong Fuzzy Metric Spaces Using Generalized Altering  
Distance Function

Since  $X$  is complete fuzzy metric space and there exist  $z \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = z$

That is  $M(u_n, z, t) = 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

Put  $u = u_{n-1}$  and  $v = z$  in equation (1) we get

$$\varphi(M(Tu_{n-1}, Tz, t)) \geq \psi_1(N(u_{n-1}, z, t)) - \psi_2(N(u_{n-1}, z, t)) \quad (18)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} N(u_{n-1}, z, t) &= (M(u_{n-1}, Tu_{n-1}, t)) * (M(z, Tz, t)) * (M(Tu_{n-1}, z, t)) \\ &\quad * (M(u_{n-1}, Tz, t)) * (M(u_{n-1}, z, t)) \\ &\quad * \{\max(M(u_{n-1}, Tu_{n-1}, t), M(z, Tz, t))\} \\ \varphi(M(Tu_{n-1}, Tz, t)) &\geq \psi_1 \left( (M(u_{n-1}, Tu_{n-1}, t)) * (M(z, Tz, t)) * (M(Tu_{n-1}, z, t)) * \right. \\ &\quad \left. (M(u_{n-1}, Tz, t)) * (M(u_{n-1}, z, t)) * \right. \\ &\quad \left. \{\max(M(u_{n-1}, Tu_{n-1}, t), M(z, Tz, t))\} \right) - \\ \psi_2 \left( (M(u_{n-1}, Tu_{n-1}, t)) * (M(z, Tz, t)) * (M(Tu_{n-1}, z, t)) * (M(u_{n-1}, Tz, t)) * \right. \\ &\quad \left. (M(u_{n-1}, z, t)) * \{\max(M(u_{n-1}, Tu_{n-1}, t), M(z, Tz, t))\} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (19)

$$\varphi(M(z, Tz, t)) \geq \psi_1(M(z, Tz, t)) - \psi_2(M(z, Tz, t))$$

Since  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are non-increasing function. Hence  $M(z, Tz, t) = 1$ , and  $z = Tz$ .

Therefore  $z$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

To prove Uniqueness,

Suppose that  $w$  is another fixed point of  $T$ , that is  $Tw = w$  where  $w \neq z$

Now we show that  $w = z$ ,

$$\varphi(M(Tw, Tz, t)) \geq \psi_1(N(w, z, t)) - \psi_2(N(w, z, t))$$

$$\text{Where } N(w, z, t) = (M(w, Tw, t)) * (M(z, Tz, t)) * (M(Tw, z, t)) * (M(w, Tz, t)) * \\ (M(w, z, t)) * \{\max(M(w, Tw, t), M(z, Tz, t))\}$$

$$N(w, z, t) = (M(w, w, t)) * (M(z, z, t)) * (M(w, z, t)) * (M(w, z, t)) * (M(w, z, t)) \\ * \{\max(M(w, w, t), M(z, z, t))\}$$

$$N(w, z, t) = 1 * (M(w, z, t))$$

$$\varphi(M(Tw, Tz, t)) \geq \psi_1(1 * (M(w, z, t))) - \psi_2(1 * (M(w, z, t)))$$

Again  $\psi_1$  is non-increasing function in all its variable,

Hence  $z = w$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$ . ■

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a complete strong fuzzy metric space with continuous t-norm  $*$  and let  $T$  is a self-mapping in  $X$ . If there exists functions  $\psi_1, \psi_2: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$  and an altering distance function  $\varphi$  then  $\varphi(u) = \psi_1(u, u)$  such that

$$\varphi(M(Tu, Tv, t)) \geq \psi_1(N(u, v, t)) - \psi_2(N(u, v, t)) \quad (20)$$

where  $N(u, v, t) = (M(Tu, v, t)) * (M(u, Tv, t)) * (M(u, v, t))$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof:** The proof of the above theorem 3.2 considering the fuzzy contraction on the fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$ ,  $\varphi(M(Tu, Tv, t)) \geq \psi_1(N(u, v, t)) - \psi_2(N(u, v, t))$  where,

$$N(u, v, t) = (M(Tu, v, t)) * (M(u, Tv, t)) * (M(u, v, t)). \quad \blacksquare$$

#### REFERENCES

1. K.Amit and K.V.Ramesh, Common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space using control function, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., 28 (2013) 517-526.
2. P.N.Dutta, Choudhury and S.Binayak, A fixed point result using a function of 5-variables, Journal of Physical Science, 11 (2007) 57-61.
3. B.S.Choudhury, Strong common coupled fixed point result in fuzzy metric spaces, Journal of Physical Sciences, 17 (2013) 1-9
4. A.George and P.Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64 (1994) 395-399.
5. A.George and P.Veeramani, On some results of analysis for fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 90 (1997) 365-399.
6. M.Grabiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27 (1988), 385-389.
7. V.Gregori, Samuel Morillas and A.Sapena, Examples of fuzzy metrics and applications, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 170 (2011) 95-111.
8. M.S.Khan, M.Swaleh and S.Sessa, Fixed point theorems by altering distance between the points, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 30 (1984) 1-9.
9. I.Kramosil and J.Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika, 11 (1975) 326-334.
10. P.Kumam et. al., An altering distance function in fuzzy metric fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, (2015) 1-19.
11. B.Schweizer and A.Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 10 (1960) 313-334.
12. Y.Shen, D.Qiu and W.Chen, Fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Applied mathematics Letters, 25 (2012) 138-141
13. T.Dosenovic, D.Rakic and M.Brdar, Fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces using altering distance, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics University of Nis, Serbia, 2014, 1517-1524.
14. L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. Control, 8 (1965) 338-353.



Fixed Point Theorems in Strong Fuzzy Metric Spaces Using Generalized Altering  
Distance Function