
Rural-Urban Variation of Pulmonary Function in Relation to Age, Sex and Anthropometric Variables among the Bengalee Population

Sengupta Piyali, Mal Sumita, Mahata Hiranmoy and *Dhara Prakash C.
Ergonomics and Sports Physiology Division, Department of Human Physiology with Community Health, Vidyasagar University, Midnapore-721102, West Bengal
*E-mail : prakashdhara@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT

Pulmonary function variables depend on height, age and gender. There is evidence of considerable variations in pulmonary functions in different ethnic groups and across generations. The aim of the present study was to assess pulmonary efficiency parameters of adult Bengalee population and their variations in relation to age, sex and anthropometric variables. Effort was also made to assess the variation of pulmonary functions of the people living in rural and urban areas. A total of 319 subjects were selected for the study having the age range 20-85 years. Among them 171 was male and 148 were female. All the subjects were classified into rural and urban groups. Height and weight were measured with standardized techniques. Pulmonary function test (PFT) was performed with the help of a portable micro spirometer (Model: DATOSPIR MICROC). BMI and COPD were computed by standardized techniques. There was a significant difference ($p < 0.001$) in height and weight between males and female subjects but no such significant difference was observed in case of BMI. It was observed from the results that in urban population height, weight and BMI values were higher than that of the rural population for both sexes ($p < 0.05$ or lesser). The PFT parameters were significantly higher in male than in females ($p < 0.001$). The results showed that the PFT values were higher in urban areas than that in rural areas for both sexes ($p < 0.001$). There was a significant difference ($p < 0.05$, or lesser) in PFT parameters among three age groups for male subjects. There was a significant correlation ($p < 0.05$ or lesser) between PFT parameters and height, weight and BMI for both sexes. GOLD Spirometric Criteria for COPD Severity was taken for the present study and it was found that most of the subjects of the selected population were belonged to mild COPD for both sexes. It was concluded that there was a clear cut rural urban difference in pulmonary function efficiency parameters. PFT parameters were significantly correlated with height, weight, and BMI parameters.

Key words : PFT, Urban-Rural difference, COPD

INTRODUCTION

Life expectancy has risen sharply during the past century and is expected to continue to rise in virtually all populations throughout the World (1). Nearly 20% of the adult population has reduced FEV_1 values, indicating impaired lung function (2). The majority of these individuals with reduced FEV_1 have COPD, asthma, or fibrotic lung disease (2). Spirometric investigation is seen as a gold standard for diagnosing airway obstruction. Therefore, spirometry is increasingly seen as a quality standard in general practice (3, 4). Spirometry is the most frequently performed pulmonary function test. Pulmonary function variables depend on height,

age and gender. There is evidence of considerable variations in pulmonary function in different ethnic groups and across generations (5).

Pulmonary function tests check how well our lungs work. The tests determine how much air our lungs can hold, how quickly we can move air in and out of our lungs and how well our lungs put oxygen into and remove carbon dioxide from our blood.

Pulmonary function tests provide an assessment of respiratory system in terms of its functions. These are quantitative measures of various aspects of Broncho pulmonary functions which help us to define the normal functions and to determine the nature and extent of Broncho pulmonary dysfunctions (6). Pulmonary function declines slowly throughout adult life, even in healthy persons. Cross-sectional analyses have suggested that the decline may accelerate after age 70 (7). Lung function tests have been increasingly applied for evaluation and clinical management of respiratory disarray and have developed into an integral part of assessment of pulmonary disease. Pulmonary function values are influenced by environmental and genetic, geographic condition and ethnic and racial origin and technical parameters (8).

Factors such as obesity (9, 10, 11), body fat distribution (11, 12), alcohol consumption (13), dietary composition (14, 15, 16), physical activity and several other cardiovascular risk factors (11) have been associated with pulmonary function in some studies, although investigations of these factors, particularly within a single study, have been relatively infrequent.

The aim of the present study was to assess pulmonary efficiency parameters of adult Bengali population and their variations in relation to age and sex. Efforts were also made to assess the variation of pulmonary functions of the people living in rural and urban areas.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Selection of site:

The study was carried out in different rural and urban areas of Purba and Paschim Midnapore districts, West Bengal.

Selection of subject:

About 319 subjects were selected for the study having the age range 20-85 yrs. All the subjects volunteered for the study. The subjects were divided into male and female groups. Each group was again subdivided into three age groups: Younger adult (20 -40 years), older adults (41 – 60 years) and elderly groups (61-85 years). All the subjects were classified into rural and urban groups.

Measurement of height:

Height was taken with the help of an anthropometry with an accuracy of 0.1 cm. At first the subject was asked to stand straight on the floor and then the vertical distance from floor to vertex (maximum bulge portion of the head) was measured.

Measurement of weight:

Weight of the subject was taken by a portable weighing machine (Libra). Subject was asked to stand straight on the weighing machine in minimum clothing and reading was taken from the

scale of the machine with an accuracy of 0.5 kg.

Measurement of BMI:

The body mass index (BMI) was calculated from the collected height (mt) and weight (kg) data.

$$\text{BMI} = \text{Weight (kg)} / \text{Height}^2 \text{ (mt)}$$

Pulmonary function test:

Pulmonary function test (PFT) was performed with the help of a portable Micro spirometer (Model: DATOSPIR MICROC). In this experiment the subject at first asked to take a maximum breath as much as possible through a disposable mouth piece attach to the spirometer and then to exhale forcefully through the mouth piece while the nose was closed with nose clip. The following parameters were measured – Forced vital capacity (FVC), Forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁), Peak expiratory flow (PEF).

Assessment of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD):

To assess the severity of COPD, lung function value was predicted from the standard prediction equation of normal male subjects (17). Following is the equation for predicted FEV₁.

$$\text{For male subject: Predicted FEV}_1 = -0.028 \text{ X A} + 0.047 \text{ X H} - 3.737$$

$$\text{For Female subject: Predicted FEV}_1 = -0.027 \text{ X A} + 0.021 \text{ X H} - 0.254$$

A- Age in years,

H- Height in Centimeters

The criteria followed for categorization of the severity of COPD were based upon the GOLD spirometric criteria for COPD severity as given in following table (18):

Classification of Severity of Airflow Limitation in COPD (Based on Post-Bronchodilator FEV₁)		
In subjects with FEV ₁ /FVC < 0.70		
GOLD 1:	Mild	FEV ₁ ≥ 80% predicted
GOLD 2:	Moderate	50% ≤ FEV ₁ < 80% predicted
GOLD 3:	Severe	30% ≤ FEV ₁ < 50% predicted
GOLD 4:	Very Severe	FEV ₁ < 30% predicted

Statistical analysis:

Data were summarized into mean and standard deviation values using Microsoft Excel. For performing t-tests and one way ANOVA different software packages were used. Correlation coefficient between height, weight, BMI and PFT parameters were determined by employing product moment correlation coefficient through MS Excel.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The physical profile of the subjects, viz., height, weight and BMI has been presented in Table 1a. The results, which showed the comparison of height, weight, and BMI between male and

female subjects, indicated that there was a significant difference ($p < 0.001$) of height and weight between male and female subjects. In case of BMI no significant difference was noted between male and female subjects.

Table 1a: Comparison of height, weight & BMI Between male and female subjects

Gender	Height (cm)	Weight (Kg)	BMI (Kg/ m ²)
MALE (n=171)	160.6 ±6.88	59.3 ±53.09	23.0 ±21.12
FEMALE (n=148)	150.6 ±6.14	48.1 ±11.85	21.1 ±4.67
t value	13.726**	5.731**	0.638 NS

** $p < 0.001$ NS=Not Significant

Table 1b: Comparison of height, weight and BMI between urban and rural subjects

Gender	Rural / urban	Height (cm)	Weight (Kg)	BMI (Kg/ m ²)
Male	Urban (n=113)	162.3 ±5.86	60.3 ±9.16	22.9 ±3.08
	Rural (n=58)	158.1 ±7.70	45.8 ±8.72	18.3 ±2.84
	T value	4.037**	9.997**	9.475**
Female	Urban (n=85)	152.0 ±5.8	54.0 ±10.2	23.4 ±4.23
	Rural (n=63)	148.7 ±6.36	40.0 ±8.79	18.0 ±3.20
	Tvalue	3.183*	8.667**	8.391**

* $p < 0.05$ ** $p < 0.001$

The comparison of height, weight, and BMI between rural and urban areas indicated that there was a significant difference ($p < 0.001$) of all the parameters between subjects of urban and rural areas (Table 1b). It was observed from the results that in urban areas height, weight and BMI values were higher than that of rural areas for the respondents of both sexes. The finding of the present study was not in conformity with some previous studies which reported that the above mentioned parameters were higher in rural areas than in urban areas (19, 20). The comparison of height, weight, BMI among different age groups has been presented in Table 1c. The results of ANOVA revealed that there was a significant difference ($p < 0.001$) in height, weight and BMI among different age groups. It was noticed that the values of the anthropometric variables were decreased with the increasing age. The reason for decline in stature in advanced age could be due to thinning of intervertebral discs as well as flabbiness of muscles, which changed the posture. The decline in stature appears to be a common phenomenon and was also reported by Brahmam (21), Tyagi and Kapoor (22), Kapoor and Tyagi (23), Bhardwaj and Kapoor (24). Aiken (25) also reported that a loss of collagen between spinal vertebrae causes the spine to bow and the height to shrink. With advancing age the cartilage disc between vertebrae degenerates causing the vertebrae to come close together thus resulting in decrease in stature.

Table 1c: Comparison of height, weight and BMI among different age groups

Age groups	Gender	PFT Parameters		
		Height (cm)	Weight (Kg)	BMI (Kg/ m ²)
20-40 years (n=99)	Male	161.9 ±6.82	56.8 ±10.83	21.6 ±3.57
41-60 years (n=47)		160.6 ±7.02	56.5±11.25	21.8 ±3.56
61-85 years (n=25)		157.8 ±5.5	47.2 ±10.7	18.9 ±3.8
F value		3.869*	8.018**	6.195*
20-40 years (n=94)	Female	151.9 ±5.4	50.0 ±11.0	21.6 ±4.3
41-60 years (n=31)		149.8 ±8.28	39.4 ±11.09	17.4 ±3.78
61-85 years (n=23)		146.1 ±4.12	37.5 ±4.93	17.6 ±2.25
F value		6.371*	15.130**	13.591**

*p<0.05 **p<0.001

The body weight was found to decrease with advancement of the age. A larger change was noted the upper age group (61 -85 years) than that of other age groups. However, it was opined that the body weight was increased with age till the age of 49 years and decreased after 50 years (26). Increase in body weight till middle age shows the accumulation of fat with age. It can be due to more appetite among the subjects in younger age groups leading to increased energy intake, fat rich diet and relatively less energy expenditure due to lesser involvement in physical activity. The decline in body weight in more advanced age may be attributed to the decrease in muscle mass in response to reduced amount of protein intake as well as decline in number and size of muscle fibers due to degenerative diseases associated with the advancing age. It may partly be due to bones becoming lighter because of gradual mineral mass loss (26). The Increase in body weight and BMI with age and declining in advanced age has also been reported by Tyagi and Kapoor (22), Kapoor and Tyagi (23) and Tandon (27). This study was not in conformity with the study of Chung et al (28).

Table 2a showed the comparison of different pulmonary function test (PFT) parameters between male and female subjects and it was observed from the results that the PFT parameters were significantly higher (p<0.001) in males than that in females. Budhiraj et al (8) also reported lower pulmonary function values in female than the male subjects in similar age group. The sex difference in lung function may be attributed to various factors including of sex hormone, sex hormone receptor, or intracellular signaling pathway in addition to physiological and anatomical differences in respiratory system of male and female (29, 30). This study also supports the hypothesis of the study of Choudhuri and Choudhuri (31).

Table 2a: Comparison of Different parameters of Pulmonary Function Test (PFT) between male and female healthy population

PFT Parameters	Male (n=171)	Female (n=148)	t value
FVC (Lit)	2.57±0.74	1.93±0.81	7.633**
FEV₁ (Lit)	2.21±0.61	1.68±0.58	8.310**
PEF (Lit/Sec)	3.78±1.74	2.96±1.41	4.811**

**p<0.001

It was observed from table 2b that there were a significant differences (p<0.001) of PFT parameters between rural and urban subjects. The results showed that the PFT values were higher in the subjects of urban areas than that in rural areas. But no significant difference was observed in case of FVC for both sexes. The finding of the present study was in agreement with the study of Malik and Jindal in the 1985 (32).

Table 2b: Comparison of different parameters of Pulmonary Function Test (PFT) between rural and urban subjects among the selected population

PFT Parameters	Male		Female		t value	
	Urban (n=113)	Rural (n=58)	Urban (n=85)	Rural (n=63)	Male	Female
FVC (Lit)	2.62±0.58	2.46±1.00	1.93±0.74	1.86±0.90	1.370NS	0.560 NS
FEV₁ (Lit)	2.31±0.58	2.00±0.63	1.74±0.61	1.53±0.49	3.230**	2.217 NS
PEF (Lit/Sec)	4.18±1.88	2.93±1.06	3.30±1.47	2.38±0.98	4.689**	4.269**

*p<0.05 **p<0.001 NS=Not Significant

Different PFT parameters showed significant difference (p<0.001) among different age groups and it was observed from the results that the values were decreasing with the increasing age for both sexes (Table 2c). However in case of females the FVC and FEV₁ had no significant difference among the age groups but PEF showed significant difference (p<0.001) among age groups.. The relationship of pulmonary function test with age has been also observed by other studies which supports the findings of the present study. Lung function increases linearly with age until the adolescent growth spurt at age 10 yrs in females and 12 yrs in males (33). Lowering PEF may also be due to narrowing of small airways in the lungs (34). The probable cause for the findings may be because of the airflow at low lung volume mainly depends on elastic recoil, resistance of the lung and resistance of small airways. Deposition of suspended particulate matters in the lungs and airways gradually decrease the diameter of airway and conversely increase resistance in lungs and airways. As a result elastic recoil pressure of the lung decreases. Narrowing and resultant increase in small airway resistance and/or decrease in elastic recoil pressure of the older subject may cause decreased flow at these low lung volumes (35).

Table 2c: Comparison of Different parameters of Pulmonary Function Test (PFT) between different age groups of the selected population

Age groups	Gender	PFT Parameters		
		FVC (Lit)	FEV ₁ (Lit)	PEF (Lit/Sec)
20-40 years(n=99)	Male	2.74±0.78	2.43±0.55	4.30±1.89
41-60 years(n=47)		2.53±0.52	2.06±0.51	3.13±1.27
61-85 years(n=25)		2.01±0.79	1.71±0.72	2.78±0.98
F value		10.414**	18.357**	13.374**
20-40 years(n=94)	Female	2.00±0.72	1.78±0.54	3.24±1.51
41-60 years(n=31)		2.00±1.17	1.62±0.58	2.44±0.87
61-85 years(n=23)		1.69±0.82	1.35±0.51	2.10±0.85
F value		0.923 NS	4.239 NS	6.420*

*p<0.05 **p<0.001 NS=Not Significant

Table 3: Comparison of FVC and FEV₁ between the present study (male subjects) and other study

Parameters	Present study (N=172)	Wood workers † (n=17)	Office workers† (n=41)
FVC (lit)	2.57±0.74	3.89 ± 0.64*	4.99 ± 0.57*
FEV ₁ (lit)	2.21±0.61	3.45 ± 0.54*	4.07 ± 0.51*

† Milanowski et al (39) * p< 0.05

Table 3 showed the comparison of FVC and FEV₁ among the present study and the other studies. It was observed from the results that the values were significantly (p<0.05) lower in case of present study than that of the other studied population. It was noted that there was a significant difference in both PFT parameters between the present study and the study of Milanowski et al (36). The wood workers and office workers had higher values of FVC and FEV₁ than that of the subjects of the present study.

Table 4 showed the correlation between anthropometric parameters (height, weight, BMI) and PFT parameters of the selected population. The results showed that there was a significant correlation between PFT parameters and height, weight, BMI for both sexes (p<0.05 or less). Lung volumes are related to body size, and standing height is the most important correlating variable. In children and adolescents, lung growth appears to lag behind the increase in standing height during the growth spurt, and there is a shift in the relationship between lung volume and height during adolescence (37, 38).

Table 4: Correlation between height, weight and BMI and PFT parameters of the selected population (male=172, female=148)

	FVC		FEV ₁		PEF	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Height (cm)	0.35**	0.15 NS	0.34**	0.30 **	0.28**	0.33 **
Weight (kg)	0.18*	0.12 NS	0.29**	0.24 **	0.27**	0.32 **
BMI	0.05 NS	0.07 Ns	0.18*	0.15 NS	0.18*	0.24 *

*p<0.05 **p<0.001 NS=Not Significant

Table 5: COPD severity of the selected population (male=172, female=148)

Severity of COPD	Male (n=171)		Female (n=148)	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
I. Mild COPD	165	96.5	129	87.2
II. Moderate COPD	5	2.92	15	10.1
III. Severe COPD	1	0.58	4	2.7
IV. Very Severe COPD	0	0.00	0	0.00

GOLD Spirometric Criteria for COPD severity was done for the present study and it was found that most of the subjects of the selected population were belongs to mild COPD for both sexes. It was observed from Table 5 that 96.5% of male and 87.2% of female subjects showed mild COPD whereas 2.92% of male and 10.1% of female subjects shoed moderate COPD.

CONCLUSION

From the findings of the study it can be concluded that there was a significant difference of height and weight between male and female subjects in urban areas. The values of height, weight and BMI of the urban population were higher than that of rural areas for both sexes. The results also revealed that the height, weight and BMI values were decreases with increasing age.

PFT parameters were significantly higher in males than that in females. The results also revealed that the PFT values were higher in urban areas than that in rural areas. PFT values were decreasing with the advancement of age for both sexes. PFT parameters were significantly correlated with height, weight and BMI parameters. Most of the subjects of the studied population had mild COPD for both sexes.

REFERENCES

1. Arias E, Anderson R, Kung H. National vital statistics reports. Hyattsville (MD) 7 National Centre for Health Statistics; 2003.
2. Mannino DM, Ford ES, Redd SC. Obstructive and restrictive lung disease and markers of inflammation: data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination. *Am J Med* 2003; 114:758–762.
3. Schneider A, Gindner L, Tilemann L, Schermer T, Dinant GJ, Meyer FJ. Diagnostic accuracy of spirometry in primary care. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine* 2009; 9:31.
4. Bateman ED, Hurd SS, Barnes PJ, Bousquet J, Drazen JM, FitzGerald M. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention: GINA executive summary. *Eur Respir J* 2008; 31(1):143–78.
5. Ostrowski S, Gorzywa A, Mieczkowska J, Rychlik M, Lachowska P, Lopatynski J. Pulmonary functions between 40 and 80 years of age. *Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology* 2005; 4: 127-33.
6. Slonim NB and Hamilton HL. *Respiratory Physiology*. 2nd ed. The CV Mosby Co. America. 1971.
7. Knudson RJ. Aging of the respiratory system. *Curr Pulmonol* 1989; 10:1–24.
8. Budhiraj S, Singh D, Pooni PA, Dhoori GS. Pulmonary functions in normal school children in age group of 6-15 years in north India. *Iran J Pediatr* 2010; 20(1): 82–90.
9. Jenkins SC and Moxham J. The effects of mild obesity on lung function. *Respir Med* 1991; 85:309-11.
10. Scanlon PD, Beck KC, Enright PL. Does obesity affect spirometry [abstract]? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994; 149:A520.
11. Higgins M, Keller JB, Wagenknecht LE. Pulmonary function and cardiovascular risk factor relationships in black and in white young men and women: the CARDIA study. *Chest* 1991; 99:315-22.
12. Collins LC, Hoberty PD, Walker JF. The effect of body fat distribution on pulmonary function tests. *Chest* 1995; 107:1298-1302.
13. Garshick E, Segal MR, Worobec TG. Alcohol consumption and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1989; 140:373-78.
14. Britton JR, Pavord ID, Richards KA. Dietary antioxidant vitamin intake and lung function in the general population. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995; 151:1383-87.
15. Shahar E, Folsom AR, Melnick SL. Dietary n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and smoking-related chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 1994; 331:228-33.
16. Sharp DS, Rodriguez BL, Shahar E. Fish consumption may limit the damage of smoking on the lung. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994; 150:983-87.
17. Chatterjee, S., Saha, D., Chattopadhyay, B.P.(1988): Pulmonary function studies in healthy non-smoking men of Calcutta. *Annals of Human Biology*; **15**: 365-374.

18. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. (Updated 2014). <http://www.goldcopd.org>
19. Fogelholm M, Valve R, Absetz P, Heinonen H, Uutela A, Patja K. Rural-urban differences in health and health behavior: a baseline description of a community health-promotion programme for the elderly. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* 2006, 34, 632–640.
20. Leino M, Raitakari OT, Porkka KV, Helenius HY, Viikari JS. Cardiovascular risk factors of young adults in relation to parental socio-economic status: the cardiovascular risk in young Finns study. *Annals of Medicine* 2000, 32, 142–151.
21. Brahmam GNV. Nutritional Status of the Aged in Public Health Implication of Ageing in India. Indian Council of Medical Research: New Delhi, 1994, pp 92- 98.
22. Tyagi R and Kapoor S. Morpho-physiological changes with age among high altitude females. *Man in India*, 1999; 79(1&2):173-178.
23. Kapoor S and Tyagi S. Fitness, Fat Patterns and Changing Body Dimensions with Age in Adult Males of a high Altitude Population. In: M.K. Bhasin and S.L. Malik (eds). *Science of Man in the service of Man*. Delhi. 2002, Chapter 8:129- 136.
24. Bhardwaj S and Kapoor S. Nutritional Anthropometry and Health Status: A Study Among Dhanka Tribals of Rajasthan. *Anthropologist* 2007; 9(3):211-214.
25. Aiken LR. *Ageing: An Introduction to Gerontology*. SAGE Publication Inc., New York, 1995.
26. Verma S, Kapoor S, Singh IP. A study of age changes in physical fitness (as measured by rapid fitness index) and its relationship with other body measurements among Lodha tribals of West Bengal. *Indian Anthropologist* 1987; 17: 101-108.
27. Tandon K. Obesity, its distribution pattern and health implications among Khatri population. Unpublished Ph.D. theses, Department of Anthropology, University of Delhi, Delhi. 2006.
28. Chung SJ, Han YS, Lee SI, Kang S. Urban and rural Differences in the Prevalence of Gender and Age specific Obesity and related Health Behaviors in Korea: *J Korean Med Sci* 2005; 20: 713-20.
29. Card JW, Zeldin DC. Hormonal influences on lung function response to environmental agents. *Proceedings American Thoracic Society* 2009; 6(7): 588–595.
30. Algadir A, Aly F, Zafar H. Sex based difference in lung functions of Saudi adults. *J Phys Ther Sci* 2012; 24(1): 5–9.
31. Choudhuri D and Choudhuri S. Effect of Gender and Body Mass Index on Pulmonary Function Tests in Adolescents of Tribal Population of a North Eastern State of India: *Indian J Physiol Pharmacol* 2014; 58(2).
32. Malik SK, Jindal SK. Pulmonary function tests in healthy children. *Indian Pediatr.* 1985; 22(9): 677-681.
33. Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Brusasco V, Crapo RO, Burgos F, Casaburi R. *Eur Respir J* 2005; 26: 948–968.

34. Prieto L, Gutiérrez V, Morales C, Perpiñan J, Inchaurreaga I. Variability of peak expiratory flow rate in allergic rhinitis and mild asthma: relationship to maximal airway narrowing. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 1998; 80(2):151-8.
35. Mead J, Turner JM, Macklem PT and Little JB. Significance of the relationship between lung recoil and maximum expiratory flow. *J. Appl. Physiol* 1967; 22 : 95-108.
36. Milanowski J, Góra A, Skórska C, Traczyk EK, Mackiewicz B, Sitkowska J, Cholewa B, Dutkiewicz J. Work-Related Symptoms Among Furniture Factory Workers In Lublin Region (Eastern Poland), *Ann Agric Environ Med* 2002, 9, pp99–103.
37. Degroodt EG, Quanjer PH, Wise ME, Van Zomeren BC. Changing relationships between stature and lung volumes during puberty. *Respir Physiol* 1986; 65: 139–153.
38. Borsboom GJ, van Pelt W, Quanjer PH. Pubertal growth curves of ventilatory function: Relationship with childhood respiratory symptoms. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993; 147: 372–378.
39. Milanowski J, Góra A, Skórska C, Mackiewicz B, Krysińska-Traczyk E, Cholewa G, Sitkowska J, Dutkiewicz J. The Effects of Exposure to Organic Dust on the Respiratory System of Potato Processing Workers: *Ann Agric Environ Med*. 2002; 9(2):243-7.