

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 2.1 substring formation process
- Figure 2.2 show the client site encryption & decryption process
- Figure 3.1 working process
- Figure 3.2 data flow diagram for compression Techniques
- Figure 3.3 compression rate versus different word size among original, reverse, complement, reverse complement sequence based on reverse with palindrome technique of different file size
- Figure 3.4 compression ratio versus different word size among original, reverse, complement, reverse complement sequence based on reverse with palindrome technique of different file size
- Figure 3.5 compression rate versus file size among original, reverse, complement, reverse complement sequence based on reverse with palindrome technique of different word size.
- Figure 3.6 compression ratio versus file size among original, reverse, complement, reverse complement sequence based on reverse with palindrome technique of different word size.
- Figure 3.7 compression rate versus file size of cellular and artificial sequence based on reverse with palindrome technique of different word size.
- Figure 3.8 compression ratio versus file size of cellular and artificial sequence based on reverse with palindrome technique of different word size.
- Figure 3.9 compression rate versus different word size among original, reverse, complement, reverse complement sequence based on Genetic palindrome and palindrome technique of different file size
- Figure 3.10 compression ratio versus different word size among original, reverse, complement, reverse complement sequence based on Genetic palindrome and palindrome technique of different file size
- Figure 3.11 compression rate versus file size among original, reverse, complement, reverse complement sequence based on genetic palindrome with palindrome technique of different word size.
- Figure 3.12 compression ratio versus file size among original, reverse, complement, reverse complement sequence based on genetic palindrome with palindrome technique of different word size.

- Figure 3.13 compression rate versus file size of cellular and artificial sequence based on genetic palindrome with palindrome technique of different word size
- Figure 3.14 compression rate versus file size of cellular and artificial sequence based on genetic palindrome with palindrome technique of different word size.
- Figure 3.15 % modification on actual text versus file size
- Figure 3.16 % modification on actual text versus % encryption
- Figure 3.17 % encryption versus compression rate
- Figure 3.18 entropy coding in genomic sequences versus file size
- Figure 4.1 Process of selection encryption
- Figure 4.2 compression encryption process
- Figure 4.3 Process diagram
- Figure 4.4 Merge Process
- Figure 4.5 Two tier compression-encryption method
- Figure 4.6 Process of Repeat technique
- Figure 4.7 example of process –I
- Figure 4.8 level 1 swapping
- Figure 4.9 level 2 swapping
- Figure 4.10 Huffman tree after swapping at level 1
- Figure 4.11 Huffman tree after swapping at level 0
- Figure 4.12 Huffman Tree
- Figure 4.13 swapping two nodes in level 1
- Figure 4.14 swapping two nodes in level 1
- Figure 4.15 Huffman tree using word
- Figure 4.16 Huffman tree after swapping
- Figure 4.17 compression rate versus word size among original, reverse, complement and reverse complement sequences using Repeat technique of data set-I
- Figure 4.18 compression rate versus file size among original, reverse, complement and reverse complement sequences using Repeat technique of data set-I
- Figure 4.19 compression rate versus word size among original, reverse, complement and reverse complement sequences using Repeat technique of data set-II
- Figure 4.20 compression rate versus file size among original, reverse, complement and reverse complement sequences using Repeat technique of data set-II

- Figure 4.21 the word size versus compression rate of artificial data
- Figure 4.22 the file size versus compression rate of artificial data
- Figure 4.23 file size versus compression rate of cellular DNA sequences and artificial data
- Figure 4.24 word size versus compression rate of artificial data
- Figure 4.25 file size versus compression rate of artificial data
- Figure 4.26 file size versus compression rate of cellular DNA sequences and artificial data
- Figure 4.27 file size vs. % modification for the actual text of data set-I
- Figure 4.28 % encryption vs. compression rate of the original file of data set-I
- Figure 4.29 % encryption vs. % modification of the original file of data set-I
- Figure 4.30 file size vs. % modification for the actual text of data set-II
- Figure 4.31 % encryption vs. compression rate of the original file of data set-II
- Figure 4.32 % encryption vs. % modification of the original file of data set-II
- Figure 4.33 file size vs. % modification of the actual text of different level
- Figure 4.34 the % encryption vs. compression rate of different level
- Figure 4.35 file size vs. entropy of different level
- Figure 4.36 different level time in encryption & decryption vs. file size
- Figure 4.37 file size vs. % modification of actual file of different level
- Figure 4.38 % encryption vs. compression rate of different level
- Figure 4.39 % encryption vs. entropy of different level
- Figure 4.40 different level time in encryption & decrypt vs. file size
- Figure 4.41 file size vs. % modification of actual file
- Figure 4.42 % encryption vs. compression rate
- Figure 4.43 % encryption vs. entropy of different level
- Figure 4.44 different level time in encryption & decryption vs. file size
- Figure 4.45 % encryption vs. compression rate of different level
- Figure 4.46 % encryption vs. Entropy of different level
- Figure 4.47 different level time in encryption & decryption vs. file size
- Figure 4.48 the % encryption vs. compression rate of different level
- Figure 4.49 entropy vs. encryption of different level
- Figure 4.50 different level time in encryption & decryption vs. file size
- Figure 4.51 % encryption vs. compression rate of different level
- Figure 4.52 % encryption vs. entropy of different level
- Figure 4.53 different level time in encryption & decryption vs. file size

Figure 4.54 % encryption Vs. % modification of original file

Figure 4.55 compression rate vs. file size for data set-I using Repeat & Huffman's method

Figure 4.56 compression rate versus file size for data set-II using Repeat & Huffman's methods

Figure 4.57 entropy vs. file size for data set-I

Figure 4.58 The entropy vs. file size for data set-II

Figure 5.1 compression encryption process

Figure 5.2 procedure of process

Figure 5.3 file size versus compression rate of cellular sequence

Figure 5.4 file size versus compression rate of artificial sequence

Figure 5.5 file size versus compression rate of cellular & artificial sequence

Figure 5.6 file size versus disk utilization and encryption rate

Figure 5.7 cellular & artificial sequence compression and decompression time

Figure 5.8 file size versus compression rate of cellular sequence

Figure 5.9 file size versus compression rate of artificial sequence

Figure 5.10 file size versus compression rate of cellular & artificial sequence

Figure 5.11 file size versus disk utilization & encryption rate of cellular & artificial sequence

Figure 5.12 cellular & artificial sequence compression and decompression time

Figure 5.13 file size versus % effect on actual text of data set-1

Figure 5.14 encryption versus compression rate of data set-1

Figure 5.15 encryption versus effect on actual text of data set-1

Figure 5.16 file size versus percentage effect on actual text of data set-2

Figure 5.17 encryption versus compression rate of data set-2

Figure 5.18 encryption versus effect on actual text of data set-2

Figure 5.19 entropy versus file size before and after encryption of data set-2

Figure 5.20 entropy versus file size before and after encryption of data set-2

Figure 5.21 time in encryption & decryption vs. File size before compression of data set-1

Figure 5.22 file size versus avalanche effect & encryption rate before compression of data set-1

Figure 5.23 time in encryption & decryption vs. file size time before compression of data set-2

Figure 5.24 file size versus avalanche effect & encryption rate before compression of data set-2

Figure 5.25 time in encryption & decryption vs. File size of data set-1

Figure 5.26 file size versus avalanche effect of data set-1

Figure 5.27 file size versus encryption rate of data set-1

- Figure 5.28 time in encryption & decryption vs. file size of data set-2
- Figure 5.29 file size versus avalanche effect of data set-2
- Figure 5.30 file size versus encryption rate of data set-2
- Figure 5.31 time in encryption & decryption vs. file size of library file
- Figure 5.32 file size versus avalanche effect of library file
- Figure 5.33 file size versus encryption rate of library file
- Figure 6.1 cellular sequence orientation vs. compression rate of different file size(data set-I)
- Figure 6.2 file size of cellular sequence vs. compression rate of different orientation(data set-I)
- Figure 6.3 artificial sequence orientation vs. compression rate of different file size(data set-I)
- Figure 6.4 artificial sequence file size vs. compression rate of different orientation (data set-I)
- Figure 6.5 file size vs. compression rate of cellular & artificial sequences (data set-I)
- Figure 6.6 cellular sequence orientation vs. compression rate of different file size(data set-II)
- Figure 6.7 file size of cellular sequence vs. compression rate of different orientation(data set-II)
- Figure 6.8 artificial sequence orientation vs. compression rate of different file size(data set-II)
- Figure 6.9 artificial sequence file size vs. compression rate of different orientation (data set-I)
- Figure 6.10 file size vs. compression rate of cellular & artificial sequences (data set-I)